

“A timely take on the current state of vaccination science and policy in America, *Louis Pasteur Condemns Big Pharma: Vaccines, Drugs, and Healthcare in the United States* by Stephen Heartland is an informative and potentially controversial guide for protecting your autonomy and health...

...Refreshingly, this book doesn't attempt a complete takedown of Big Pharma, but is instead a well-structured presentation of arguments and potential solutions...

...His family's personal experience with a child's vaccine-related illness is heartbreaking and relatable, and though some may view her tragedy as anecdotal, and not a condemnation of the overall vaccination system, the pain and passion it has inspired in the author is very real, which is both moving and persuasive. His decision to seek and explain a middle path – a compromise on the binary of vaccine opposition – is therefore admirable, and becomes an unexpected vehicle for a much larger political message...

...The final chapter is the most hopeful, and one that may unite diverse readers, as it suggests clear and practical ways to become directly involved...

...Regardless of your political stance or firmly entrenched belief towards vaccines... this is an informative and inherently thought-provoking read.” – **SPR, Self-Publishing Review**

“The author's main topic is vaccines, focusing on ways to improve their safety and efficacy...

...Heartland's twist is to situate his claims in the life and work of Louis Pasteur, the discoverer of germs, developer of immunization, and (of course) inventor of pasteurization...

...the 16 solutions offered by Heartland to ensure the health of children and adults are quite cogent...

...the author does a good job of presenting the main issues and providing policy solutions.” – **Kevin Baldeosingh, Indie Reader Pro Review**



## **NOTE FROM THE AUTHOR:**

As you read through this book, please reflect on these four quotes by Mark Twain that are relevant and applicable to the subject matter contained within this book:

**“If you don’t read the newspaper, you’re uninformed. If you read the newspaper, you’re misinformed.”**

**“It is easier to fool people than to convince them that they have been fooled.”**

**“No man’s life, liberty, or property are safe while the legislature is in session.”**

**“We have the best government that money can buy.”**

The truth of these sayings has not changed since Twain’s lifetime in the United States. It has only gotten worse. The government and our elected representatives have been paid off by Big Pharma and other lobbyists, and the people and children of the United States are suffering due to this widespread collusion and corruption.

We have been fooled, all of us, to some degree by the never-ending narratives that have been and continuously are being pushed our way by Big Pharma, Big Tech, and Big Government. After much research and study on the subject matter of health, science, and medicine, I was astonished to see how far they have pushed us.

Make no doubt about the end goal, which is more money and power for the few at the top. If we allow this pattern to continue, we may lose all our freedoms and liberties in the future. The covid vaccine mandates, along with the continuous Big Tech and media misinformation propaganda from the government and its corrupted organizations were just a test shot across the bow to see if we would line up and be compliant to their unjustified vaccine trials.

The line in the sand must be drawn. We must stand together and allow it to go no farther.

- Stephen Heartland



**LOUIS PASTEUR CONDEMNS  
BIG PHARMA**

**Vaccines, Drugs, and Healthcare  
in the United States**



LOUIS PASTEUR CONDEMNS BIG PHARMA

LOUIS PASTEUR CONDEMNS BIG PHARMA  
Vaccines, Drugs, and Healthcare in the United States

Stephen Heartland

Quill by Knight Publishing, LLC  
Columbus, Ohio.

***LOUIS PASTEUR CONDEMNS BIG PHARMA: Vaccines, Drugs, and Healthcare in the United States.***

Copyright 2024. Stephen Heartland. All Rights Reserved, *with the EXCEPTION of CHAPTER THREE - 16 SOLUTIONS*, which may be freely copied and distributed at will by the reader.

[stephenheartland630@gmail.com](mailto:stephenheartland630@gmail.com)

Quill by Knight Publishing, LLC.

P.O. Box 340455 Columbus, Ohio. 43234

Available in these formats:

- 979-8-9904721-0-5 (eBook)
- 979-8-9904721-1-2 (Paperback)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2024914986

**Disclaimer:**

The author is not a medical doctor, is not trained in any related field of medicine, and has no work-related medical expertise. The information contained in this book and in *Chapter Three - 16 Solutions* is based upon the research and experiences of the author, is for educational and informational purposes only, and is not intended to be a substitute for medical care and advice. If you need medical care or advice, please seek professional guidance from your own healthcare provider. This book is sold, and *Chapter Three – 16 Solutions* are distributed with the understanding that the author and publisher are not providing medical, legal, or other advice to the reader, and that neither the author nor the publisher are liable or responsible for any injury, loss, or damage allegedly arising from this information. The views contained herein are the author's opinions. It is still possible to have an opinion in the United States of America, one can only hope, without the constant threat of legal action taking place against them.

## Acknowledgements

To Louis Pasteur, who opened the eyes of science to microbial beings, how they can affect our health, and who provided us with *The Germ Theory of Disease*, which changed medicine forever. Many thanks to him for being the first to invent laboratory created and tested vaccines for humankind, which saved many lives. And most important of all, thanks be to him for showing us how important cleanliness and hygiene are. More lives have been saved due to hygienic conditions than all the medical interventions that ever have been or will be created.

To Fleming, Florey, Chain, and all the others who contributed to the creation of antibiotics. These new types of drugs helped save many lives during the wars, and also for many others fighting bacterial infections.

To Barbara Loe Fisher, who co-authored *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*, which was the seminal work in the United States on the adverse effects of vaccines, and how they related to science and the law. Barbara struggled against great odds and opposition to prove the original DPT vaccine was adversely affecting countless children. Also, thank you Barbara, for pursuing *Informed Consent* for vaccines. It should be a choice, never a mandate. Your organization *National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC)* has been a beacon of light for consumers in the United States who seek the truth about vaccines.

In addition to Barbara's above-mentioned book and organization, I wanted to thank other authors for the following books without which this book would have been most difficult to finish. I have read dozens of books on this subject, but it is these four incredible books noted here that are standouts, and are must reads for anyone who would like more information on the subject matter contained within this book.

Dr. Robert Sears wrote *The Vaccine Book (2019 Third Edition)*, which was helpful for me to gain a wider perspective and greater understanding on vaccines and children and their health.

*HPV Vaccine on Trial – Seeking Justice for a Generation Betrayed* written by Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. This book was incredible, and revealed the process of drug and vaccine approval in the United States, and all the fraud, lies, and deception committed against people along the way by Big Pharma, which the FDA and CDC not only allowed, but assisted in.

And finally, as I was nearing completion of the book, I realized I needed more evidence to support my conclusions. I found this evidence in *Miller's Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*, written by Neil Z. Miller 2016. The information in his book gave me the support I needed for the conclusions I had already made.

*These 4 books listed above are must reads* for anyone who truly wants to find out the truth of what is happening in the healthcare system in the United States, especially regarding vaccines. They are eye opening. It is mainly these 4 books and my own personal experiences that shaped what I have noted as the solutions to rectify the problems we have today. It will become obvious to anyone who reads these books to conclude that Big Pharma has too much power, is not using this power in the best interests of the public and needs to be stopped from their malfeasance of duty to the public they should be serving. I want to thank each of these authors for caring enough about the children and the wrongs being perpetrated against them to take the time to write these books, and to inform the public and create awareness of the problems so that people will realize things need to change.

To the whistleblowers within government agencies and Big Pharma companies, who saw irregularities, frauds, and deceptions occurring against the public, and who have risked their livelihoods, names and positions, and sometimes even their freedoms in order to pursue and promote the truth. To live with the thought of protecting others and their lives and health at the risk of your own demise is a true sign of character and integrity.

Speaking of whistleblowers, many thanks be to Robert W. Malone (MD, MS), who was one of the inventors of the mRNA vaccine technology, and therefore knowledgeable about the lack of safety and inherent risks of these quickly made covid vaccine products. During this recent time of covid, he was a single solitary voice of reason and sanity who attempted to educate the population concerning the dangers of these covid vaccines on health, and he protested mightily against their use. He suffered for speaking the truth and attempting to save lives and was censored swiftly along with many others to stifle any public dissent. He recently published a book titled *Lies My Government Told Me* (2022), which noted much of the malfeasance of duty regarding covid vaccines towards the people of the United States and the world by Big Pharma, Big Tech, and Big Government. It is a highly recommended read.

To all the parents of children who have been adversely affected by vaccines. Know that my heart is with you in your struggle, as I have also suffered due to my daughter's vaccine related injuries. Only by banding together can we alter the situation which currently exists, so that we may protect future generations from the current malfeasance of duty by our present healthcare system.

To my friends, JWP, DL, C&D, and BLH, with whom I communicated often over the ideas found within these pages. Without your help and criticisms this book would certainly not have been possible.

To my daughter QK, whose presence in my life forever changed my perception of reality, helped to give my life meaning and purpose, and whose suffering caused me to search for truth about what happened to her, thereby causing me to research these topics until the truth became known.

To my wife, who has suffered along with me these years, and whose love and support made this research and final product possible. Thank you so much. And many thanks to God for sending you to me, and for making this dream a reality.



## **CONTENTS**

### **CHAPTER ONE – INTRODUCTION 1**

The current bifurcation of beliefs in the United States towards vaccination is analyzed. First, Pro-Vaccine and their arguments, followed by the Anti-Vaxxers. When analyzing an issue from both sides, it is helpful to realize that the truth usually falls somewhere in the middle, which I call the Middle Path of Reason.

#### **1.0 The Extremes: Pro-Vaccine vs Anti-Vaccine**

##### **1.1 Pro-Vaccine, a Historical Basis**

##### **1.2 Anti-Vaccine, and Theories of Vaccine Related Diseases in Children**

##### **1.3 Conclusion: The Middle Path of Reason**

### **CHAPTER TWO - LOUIS PASTEUR - SCIENCE AND TRUTH FOR THE BETTERMENT OF MANKIND 17**

Louis Pasteur was a true scientist. He wanted to help people with his inventions, and he wanted to show people how the study of science could be beneficial to their lives. Descriptions of what he was experimenting on and how these things changed the way we live today are analyzed.

When Louis Pasteur invented the first lab created vaccines, he thought it may be possible to rid the world of the diseases that have plagued mankind for millennia. We take a step back in time and see how he accomplished what he did, and how it was applied to science and medicine.

As we focus on how Louis Pasteur labored in love for the people of France and the world, we take a look at how our modern Big Pharma companies operate in secrecy and without any transparency to the public and rest of the scientific community. An

examination of modern scientific methods is compared and contrasted with those of Louis Pasteur.

## **2.0 Louis Pasteur - The GOAT**

### **2.1 Louis Pasteur's Triumphs - In a Nutshell.**

### **2.2 Louis Pasteur and His Methods.**

### **2.3 Today in Science.**

### **2.4 Public Experiments for All to See.**

### **2.5 Spontaneous Generation.**

### **2.6 The Interim Years Before His Next Round of Public Experiments.**

### **2.7 Charbon. Splenic Fever. Or as we Call it Today, Anthrax.**

### **2.8 Chicken Cholera. The First Lab Created Vaccine.**

### **2.9 Back to the Task of Defeating Anthrax. Back into the Public Arena.**

### **2.10 Today in Science. No Transparency.**

### **2.11 The Trials: Vaccine/Drug vs Placebo.**

### **2.12 Vaccine Ingredients.**

### **2.13 The KISS Principle. Multiple Vaccine Shots in a Day. Multivalent (Combination) Vaccines.**

### **2.14 Covid Vaccines.**

### **2.15 Hospitals, Surgery, and The Germ Theory.**

### **2.16 Puerperal Fever, Bacterial Infection after Childbirth.**

### **2.17 Doctors and the Practice of Medicine Today.**

### **2.18 Hydrophobia. Or as we Know it Today, Rabies.**

### **2.19 Today in Science and Medicine.**

**2.20 Test Subjects Under a Totalitarian Regime.****2.21 Louis Pasteur Condemns Big Pharma.****2.22 What Would Louis Paster Do?****CHAPTER THREE – 16 SOLUTIONS 87**

The Solutions to the problems we are facing regarding vaccines, drugs, and the healthcare system in the United States are analyzed. Each one of these solutions has the potential of being a stand-alone law. The purpose of these solutions is to remove the veil of secrecy Big Pharma has been hiding behind, while at the same time making improvements to the system which benefit the health and lives of people, and especially the children of the United States.

**VACCINES, DRUGS, AND HEALTHCARE IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY****3.0 Lack of Transparency.****3.1 Corruption.****3.2 My Personal Experience.****3.3 Hope for the Future.****16 SOLUTIONS**

**Solution #1: ELIMINATE PAYOFFS IN CLINICS TO PROMOTE VACCINATIONS.**

**Solution #2: PRACTICE INFORMED CONSENT WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**

**Solution #3: PARENTS SHOULD DECIDE WHAT IS RIGHT FOR THEIR CHILDREN.**

**Solution #4: DELAY HEP-B VACCINATION UNTIL A PRE-TEEN YEAR.**

**Solution #5: ELIMINATE GARDASIL FROM THE LIST OF RECOMMENDED VACCINES.**

**Solution #6: USE TRUE PLACEBOS AND NOT CHEMICALLY ACTIVE PRODUCTS AS PLACEBOS IN TRIALS.**

**Solution #7: BE TRANSPARENT - CLEARLY PUBLISH ALL DRUG AND VACCINE TRIAL DATA.**

**Solution #8: TEST INDIVIDUAL VACCINE INGREDIENTS FOR SAFETY.**

**Solution #9: LABEL ALUMINUM CONTENT IN VACCINES - INCLUDE A WARNING.**

**Solution #10: REMOVE LIABILITY PROTECTION OF THE VACCINE MANUFACTURERS.**

**Solution #11: DECLARE VACCINE RISKS IN ADVERTISING.**

**Solution #12: LEGALLY TREAT VACCINES LIKE DRUGS.**

**Solution #13: AFTER ANTIBIOTIC THERAPY, DELAY VACCINATIONS.**

**Solution #14: BAN CONSUMER DRUG AND VACCINE ADVERTISING.**

**Solution #15: DISALLOW ANY MANDATE OF VACCINES UNPROVEN FOR SAFETY OR EFFECTIVENESS.**

**Solution #16: ELIMINATE CONSUMER PENALTIES FOR NON-VACCINATION.**

**CHAPTER FOUR – THE SOURCE MATERIAL 151**

The sources for the solutions are provided and support the recommendations for the actions to be taken to remedy the problems. Without these sources, your author was told that all these solutions provided would amount only to opinions. It is due to the extensive research and previous work of others that these solutions were made possible. Many thanks be to the other authors whose valuable works helped to create an awareness of the problems we are facing today.

**Solution #1: ELIMINATE PAYOFFS IN CLINICS TO PROMOTE VACCINATIONS.****Solution #2: PRACTICE INFORMED CONSENT WITHOUT PREJUDICE.****Solution #3: PARENTS SHOULD DECIDE WHAT IS RIGHT FOR THEIR CHILDREN.**

- a. Political Corruption.
- b. State Sanctioned Medical Kidnapping.
- c. A Recently Attempted Medical Kidnapping.
- d. Summary.
- e. Child Protective Services (CPS) – Abuse of Power
- f. A Tribute to Senator Nancy Schaefer

**Solution #4: DELAY HEP-B VACCINATION UNTIL A PRE-TEEN YEAR.**

- a. Hep B and Political Corruption.
- b. The Hep B Vaccine Protects You for Life?
- c. Hep B Vaccine has Toxic Levels of Aluminum.
- d. The Vaccine Overload Theory.

**Solution #5: ELIMINATE GARDASIL FROM THE LIST OF RECOMMENDED VACCINES.**

- a. Does HPV cause cancer?
- b. Do HPV Vaccines Prevent Cancer?
- c. HPV Vaccine Injuries and Deaths Led to Activism.
- d. HPV Vaccine Ingredients Appear to be the Problem.

**Solution #6: USE TRUE PLACEBOS AND NOT CHEMICALLY ACTIVE PRODUCTS AS PLACEBOS IN TRIALS.**

- a. No True Placebos in Vaccine and Drug Trials.
- b. WHO and FDA are Good Friends of Big Pharma.
- c. Scientific Fraud Means Lies and Deceit.
- d. The Progression of Deceit.
- e. What a Scientific Study on Placebos Revealed.
- f. Another Recent Definition Change that Endangers the Public.

**Solution #7: BE TRANSPARENT - CLEARLY PUBLISH ALL DRUG AND VACCINE TRIAL DATA.**

- a. With Truth on Your Side There is Nothing to Hide.
- b. Transparency Will Create an Incentive for Truth and a Disincentive for Fraud.
- c. Truth Should be the Goal of Scientific Research. Only Studies Based on Truth can Advance Science for the Betterment of Mankind.
- d. A Note on the Covid Trials.

**Solution #8: TEST INDIVIDUAL VACCINE INGREDIENTS FOR SAFETY.**

**a. No Safety Testing of Individual Ingredients is Required for Vaccines.**

**b. Polysorbate 80.**

**c. Sodium Borate aka Borax.**

**d. Saccharomyces Cerevisiae aka Brewer's Yeast.**

**e. Other Vaccine Ingredients**

**f. mRNA - messenger RNA. Newest Vaccine Ingredient Allowed by FDA.**

**Solution #9: LABEL ALUMINUM CONTENT IN VACCINES - INCLUDE A WARNING.**

**a. Aluminum and Childhood Diseases.**

**b. Scientific Studies on Aluminum.**

**Solution #10: REMOVE LIABILITY PROTECTION OF THE VACCINE MANUFACTURERS.**

**Solution #11: DECLARE VACCINE RISKS IN ADVERTISING.**

**Solution #12: LEGALLY TREAT VACCINES LIKE DRUGS.**

**Solution #13: AFTER ANTIBIOTIC THERAPY, DELAY VACCINATIONS.**

**a. Most Disease Begins in the Gut aka The Gastrointestinal Tract.**

**b. Antibiotics can Cause Injury and Death.**

**c. Probiotics are Beneficial After Antibiotic Use.**

**d. Infants – Antibiotics – Vaccines – Time.**

**Solution #14: BAN CONSUMER DRUG AND VACCINE ADVERTISING.**

- a. The Basic Equation.
- b. Lessons from Nazi Germany.
- c. The Power of Advertising. Lessons from Ghandhi.
- d. Covid-19 and the Media. Suppression of Dialogue.
- e. A Foreign Perspective of American Media Power.

**Solution #15: DISALLOW ANY MANDATE OF VACCINES UNPROVEN FOR SAFETY OR EFFECTIVENESS.**

- a. The Relentless Pursuit of Money and Power by Big Pharma.
- b. Vaccine Mandates - A Brief History.
- c. Back to the Present Day.

**Solution #16: ELIMINATE CONSUMER PENALTIES FOR NON-VACCINATION.**

- a. Informed Consent
- b. Barbara Loe Fisher's Goal - Informed Consent.
- c. The 1986 Vaccine Act.
- d. A Brief History of DPT. The Original Diphtheria, Pertussis, and Tetanus Vaccine.
- e. Paying Attention to Patterns and Signs.
- f. Covid-19 and Informed Consent

**CHAPTER FIVE – A CALL TO ACTION** 257

Big Pharma money is corrupting our doctors and their offices, our elected officials, our governmental regulatory agencies, medical science and laboratory testing, and Big Tech and the media. The common people and our rights and health have been lost in the shuffle. Big Pharma is organized, and the people of this country are not, and this is why we have been easy to manipulate and be taken advantage of. It does not have to be this way. We need to create awareness of the problems we are facing today, and we need to bring them to light, where they can be seen. By working together in a common cause change is possible.

**a. Problems Can be Solved. Have Faith. We Can Do This.**

**b. Awareness of Problems Leads to Action.**

**c. Making Change Happen. The Call to Action.**

**d. Organizations that Advocate for Transparency, Truth, Freedom, and the Rights of People.**

***e. All Rights are Not Reserved.***

**ABOUT THE AUTHOR** 269

**END NOTES – THE BIBLIOGRAPHY**



“It ain’t what you know that gets you into trouble. It’s what you know for sure that just ain’t so.” – Mark Twain

## **CHAPTER ONE – INTRODUCTION**

### **1.0 The Extremes: Pro-Vaccine vs Anti-Vaccine**

How has it come to this, in a country where so many highly intelligent people reside, that many people fall into one of these two groups? We know who we are, and we know our thoughts on the matter, but why is it that we think as we do? Could it be that some external forces have led us to believe as we do, such as the news or other forms of media? Have we experienced vaccine damage personally, and understand this subject on a personal level? Do we know someone who has suffered from vaccines, and have seen and dealt with what comes after, be it death or the long suffering of vaccine related injury or disease? Or do we look with scorn upon others and their claims of vaccine damage and/or injury, and suspect they are part of some strange cult which is against one of the very foundations of modern science and medicine? How can these two sides be so far apart?

I do not believe that most people fall into these extremes of pro-vaccine or anti-vaccine, but fall somewhere in the middle, be it leaning to one or the other of these sides. There have been many interesting discussions with others about these topics, especially recently due to covid-19. These different viewpoints became very important during the recent covid epidemic. There were decisions which had to be made concerning the health of people and their families. And let’s be honest, it was often confusing what was the right thing to decide for our families.

If we listened to the news shows, read the mainstream newspapers, listened to late night talk-show hosts, listened to our doctors and healthcare workers, and looked up information at our fingertips with the

internet, all of these voices seemed to be very pro-vaccine and pointing us to follow the advice of the FDA, CDC, and WHO, which was to protect ourselves from covid virus by taking the new vaccines which were available to us. And for many of us this seemed to make sense at the time.

And there was the opposite side, the anti-vaccine side, which appeared to be in the minority, but was speaking up very loudly and boldly that these covid vaccines were dangerous, the government and their spokespersons could not be trusted, and that they did not want to be part of a vaccine trial that could end up badly, because these covid vaccines were rushed out too quickly and didn't have the time and testing done which was necessary for them to be proven "safe and effective." On top of the list of complaints was the fact that this side did not want to be forced or pressured into taking a vaccine against their will, because it was a violation of their personal rights and freedoms. They felt that it should be a personal choice, and not a mandate imposed upon them. This group avoided the vaccine if they could.

During covid, the middle ground was hard to find. It had to be redefined due to this pandemic and the great distress caused by the media frenzy, and also due to the new rules and regulations which were quickly implemented by the local, state, and federal governments. Those who were in the middle, who really didn't know what to make of all this covid virus and vaccine chatter, in the end realized that if they wanted to make money, keep their jobs, travel or go out anywhere, that they were going to have to get a covid vaccine. Many of these people did not like the fact that they were forced into getting a vaccine against their will, but they did so because they really had no choice. This group seemed to represent most Americans, and their hope was that the vaccines would work and that this covid nightmare would soon be over so they could continue on with their lives, unencumbered with rules and regulations imposed upon them from above.

For covid, vaccines were pushed on all of us in this country, whether we supported them or not, and the basic arguments from the extreme sides,

pro-vaccine and anti-vaccine, have not changed throughout the history of vaccination in this country. Is it our right as Americans to decline government mandates on a vaccine which may kill us or maim us for life? Or must we accept these vaccines in order not only to protect ourselves, but our families, and our communities as a whole? Isn't it our responsibility to our local community and our country at large to take the vaccines and "do the right thing?" Or do we have individual rights to choose what medicines and vaccines we take into our bodies, and to make these decisions for our children? Enough questions. We know these arguments.

I have never seen a country as bifurcated as it is now, either in politics or in vaccination beliefs. The reason for this, in my opinion, is the lack of time we have currently for real study of the issues. The standard of living in this country has gone down, way down, from where it was after World War II in the 1940s and 1950s until today, which is 2024. Taxes and higher prices for everything have been heaped upon us little by little, until now the burden has become almost too great to bear. Remember seeing those old movie clips about how life was in the 1950s? One guy, doing a basic service job such as filling up gas tanks for cars at the gas station, and cleaning off the car windows, could buy a house on this income, raise a family with four children, have a car in the driveway and all the modern conveniences available to him, and a wife who stayed at home and took care of the children. Is it possible to do this today on a basic minimum wage job? No, absolutely not. Most of us in this country are struggling to make ends meet. We need to work hard, and sometimes have multiple jobs just in order to have decent housing, a car, and pay our bills on time. And if there are children in this situation, how much time do we really have to study anything? There is no time for study, only time enough to maybe watch a little "news" on TV or check out the "news" which is on the internet. These are not attempts to take a deep dive into a subject and really understand it, they are simply cursory glances on a topic to help us decide where we stand on an issue.

If this is true of how we receive and understand news, then we are in trouble, all of us. Because those with money and power understand these things, and they have their tentacles involved in whatever it is we are doing and watching. Our TV and internet provider knows what shows and videos we have been watching, and they are keeping track of it. Google knows what we have been looking into, and they will help steer us towards more articles of the same type of material. And the data mining that is being done on all our shopping with credit cards is being monitored, so if we wonder why this or that information or advertisement comes into our email or ends up in our real mailboxes, we know why. Not only are we being tracked in what we do, we are also being led to a pasture where they want us to feed, and to buy and/or believe what they want us to. They consider us sheep.

And who are they? Big Tech, Big Government, and Big Pharma, of course. Knowledge is power, and if you can control the information flow, then you can control the minds and the beliefs of the people. If they can control our thoughts, then they can control our behaviors. We are being led to a pasture of their choosing, not ours, but they would like us to think that we arrived at these choices ourselves. And we did, in a way. Adolf Hitler was a bad guy, and we all know the evil he was guilty of, but he was right about one thing. That is, if you lie, lie big, and repeat the lie as often as you can, and it will eventually become the truth. This concept laid the groundwork for modern advertising and the brainwashing of the people through various media, and sadly enough, it does seem to work.

Advertising dollars buys time on TV and the internet. Yes, we know this. But what about the power that is wielded by those with so much money and power, have we really thought much about this? It has often been said that a dog will not bite the hand that feeds it. In general, this is a true statement. And with Big Pharma paying the most advertising dollars to these various types of media, which business with advertising affecting its bottom line will speak against Big Pharma, and do a real show on the actual news regarding drugs and vaccines and their casualties? You will

rarely hear negative news stories against Big Pharma and their drugs and vaccines, and this is why. They not only carry a large bag of money for advertising, but they also carry a large stick for punishment as well. The Big Pharma strategy for negative news regarding any drug or vaccine is to first label the accuser “anti-vaccine” or “anti-science”, then secondly to attack those who speak against Big Pharma personally, or silence them, and then thirdly, to destroy those against Big Pharma by discrediting them, getting them fired from their jobs, and making them a target for pro-vaccine extremists. These efforts have been very successful. This is too much power to have in too few hands. And as we all know from history: “Power corrupts, and absolute power corrupts absolutely.” The end result is that truth becomes hard to find, Big Pharma is allowed to continue cashing in, and with this money, to keep expanding its power base, all at the expense of the truth and health of people in our country.

What is the truth? Truth is the goal, and it lies upon the difficult path of thought, belief, open discussion, and understanding. A healthy dialogue on both sides of an argument is helpful to reveal the truth. Those who believe that all vaccines are good, whenever, or however you get them is almost like a child’s belief in Santa Claus. It is too good to be true. And the other side is just as bad, that all vaccines are bad and will kill you or harm you. There is no doubt that vaccines have saved millions of lives and have been a blessing for all of humanity. Who would want to argue about that? Just look at smallpox, which probably killed more people than any other disease in history. Smallpox has been eradicated from the earth. It is no more. And the reason for this is vaccination. Polio has basically been wiped out from the western hemisphere. There are small pockets of polio left on the earth, and once these are gone, hopefully polio will also be wiped off the face of the earth. These are the bright spots for the pro-vaccine crowd and are often referenced to support all the other vaccines. However, apples and oranges are different. Likewise, all vaccines are different, and they should be looked at on an individual basis, and not just as vaccines within the group belonging with smallpox and polio vaccines.

People who are pro-vaccine or anti-vaccine have been this way for many years, and it will be difficult to move their opinions towards the middle. Ben Franklin said that “A person convinced against his will is of the same opinion still.” It is a long and winding road to alter an existing opinion, especially one that has been entrenched for years or decades. I believe the only way to do this is to appeal to reason, and to show the facts. If this can be done, then we can at least get the ball rolling down the hill towards truth. Hopefully, most people in this great nation of ours will agree with the conclusions, and the solutions for existing problems as they are arrived at in this writing. Once this is done, perhaps together we could alter the existing state of affairs, and help everyone to lead better, more informed, and healthier lives.

And how can we do this? What analysis is necessary to determine the truth? For beginners, let’s start with what these opposing sides believe. Let’s begin with the pro-vaccine side. Those on this side have good reasons to believe as they do. And these reasons are built upon the shoulders of not only history, but of science as well.

### **1.2 Pro-Vaccine, a Historical Basis**

Throughout history, contagious diseases have plagued mankind. Millions died of smallpox, diphtheria, polio, and other contagious diseases. Mankind learned the hard way that “Cleanliness is next to Godliness.” Rubbish heaps in towns and open pits for raw sewage were breeding grounds for disease. Once these things were cleaned up, we were able to work on other things adversely affecting mankind along with the help of science. Clean drinking water and sewage systems for cities helped to take care of many ills that affected us. Science was instrumental in giving us these things. With the knowledge obtained from Louis Pasteur that small particles called “germs” were causing many problems with people’s health, science gradually embraced this idea, and created methods and innovations to make things better. Vaccines were developed, and they changed the way that we looked at disease and its causation. With the

benefits that accrued with these advances, we embraced and applauded the science and scientists responsible for these advances.

Penicillin was discovered. And with it, a new way to combat bacterial illnesses. This discovery saved hundreds of thousands of lives which would have been lost in World War II. Instead of being shot and/or injured and then dying from bacterial disease which began at the injury site, many of these lives were saved due to this new discovery. It is hard to believe that close observation of the effects of mold against bacteria led to this awesome life-saving discovery. Soon after penicillin many of the other antibiotics were discovered that we have and use today.

By the end of World War II, America became the leader of the free world, and the richest nation also. At this time, due to our cleaning up of the cities, clean drinking water, better waste disposal systems, and a way to fight off bacterial diseases, contagious diseases had already receded by 90% from what their previous highs were. But that 10% of contagious diseases which was left was still a battle worth fighting against. This is exactly the time that we focused on polio and its eradication.

Jonas Salk and Albert Sabin are the scientists who saw this project through to the end, and polio was finally dealt a crippling blow. And now, in the United States, polio is no more. Yes, there are still pockets of polio remaining in the world, but none in the western hemisphere. Let us continue to fight polio until it meets the same end as smallpox: complete extinction. The victory against polio was huge, and the whole world knew it. The scientists had conquered another major contagious disease that had affected the world for a long time. In a sense, the greatest part of the war against contagious diseases was won at this juncture. Two major prevalent diseases had been conquered and vanquished.

But scientists did not want to stop there, they wanted to rid the world of all the contagious diseases. Therefore, scientists began working to stop more minor diseases that were prevalent in society. These diseases are considered minor because they do not affect nearly the number of people that smallpox and polio affected and are not nearly as life threatening or

noticeably debilitating. These minor diseases include pertussis, measles, mumps, rubella, hepatitis A and B, chickenpox, ... and the list goes on. The scientists created vaccines for these diseases which are in use today, but none of these diseases have been eliminated from society. The vaccines have proven to be helpful in reducing the spread of these diseases and keeping them somewhat contained from spreading out of control. But outbreaks still occur, even to this day.

The pro-vaccine crowd wants everyone to be a part of the vaccinated team. They want everyone to do their share to keep contagious diseases rare. Any look back at history will show you that we have come a long way, and vaccines have been very beneficial to our standard of living and our health and longevity. Having history and science on their side, the pro-vaccine side can look with pride at the progress that has occurred over time. Contagious diseases have been put in their place. Let us keep them there. Many think that anyone who does not want to be fully vaccinated should have their sanity questioned, because it is obvious that vaccines have changed the world for the better. No argument there. So then, what is the problem? Why are there people who are advocating against taking some or all of these vaccines? Let's find out the answer to this question.

### **1.3 Anti-Vaccine, and Theories of Vaccine Related Diseases in Children**

When confused about why people believe the way that they do, ask them to explain it to you, and often you will find that not only does their position make sense, but that it puts your own belief system at risk. This can make people uncomfortable. Science and medicine have given us many useful methods, and one of these is called pattern recognition. If we can understand patterns, then we can understand many things, and change these things so that we can progress towards a better future. Vaccines have been beneficial to mankind, no doubt about it, but they come with risks. After all, you are injecting something directly into your bloodstream. Do you really know what is in the vaccines? Do you really know what could happen if you, or a loved one, has an adverse reaction to a vaccine?

Since we have advocated for lessons in the history of medical science as a guide to where we have been and what has been done, let us keep on going with this line of reasoning. After polio was defeated, scientists went after the minor contagious diseases and created vaccines for them. And we took them. Then they created more vaccines for even less significant diseases, and we took them. We and our children are taking many more vaccines today than we historically took 50 years ago. At some point, it did seem like we went overboard on these vaccines. It is important to remember at this point in our story that vaccines are not without risk. Vaccines can cause injury and death.

As the number of vaccines went up, then vaccine related illnesses began coming into the spotlight. Remember pattern recognition? Well, we have it. Where allergies were rare, now they are common. Where ADHD and Autism were basically non-existent, now they are prevalent, in fact, almost pandemic. And the list goes on from here. We have the patterns. And science is based upon pattern recognition. So, all of you who believe in “science” may want to start opening your eyes to the reality that is all around you. Some of it is not pretty, and it points to an overload of vaccines at too early an age for young children and the inability of their underdeveloped systems to handle them.

If you ask Big Pharma, or the minions of Big Pharma, what is the reason for the meteoric rise in childhood ailments since the 1970s, what would be their answer? Why was autism 1 in 10,000 back in 1970, and today it is less than 1 in 100? Their reply, “You can’t prove vaccines cause any childhood health problems!” This argument sounds eerily familiar, doesn’t it? It was the same argument Big Tobacco used as their defense. “You can’t prove smoking causes cancer!” Of course, this strategy worked for many years, decades in fact, until it was proven that smoking and cancer were linked. As an alternate reason for the growing number of children with physical and mental ailments, they say it may be due to over-hygienic environments, older women having children, expanded diagnostic criteria, environmental toxins, global warming, or of course, the answer they always give when they have no clue or want truth seekers

to be deflected far from the truth, that the cause must be genetic in nature. Of course, this last answer is ridiculous, but it is one of their standard answers. The other cited reasons may play a part, but certainly cannot be responsible for the exponential rise in these childhood ailments which have recently become common. Environmental/Industrial toxins certainly may play a part in the ailments of children who are exposed to such toxins, but it is not plausible that this would cause such a uniform rise in these childhood maladies across the country. None of Big Pharma's answers seeks to explore the possibility that injecting increasingly more chemicals, toxins, metals, or other materials directly into the bloodstream of an infant and/or young child could pose a significant risk to their health. Where is true scientific inquiry for the benefit of mankind? Big Pharma's answer seems to be, "Well, where is the profit in that?"

It was Hippocrates that claimed that diseases begin in the gut, or as we call it today, the gastrointestinal tract (GI tract). Apparently, he was right about this, as our current view on this topic has not really changed that much since his time. Thousands of years later we have learned much more about the body and how it works. We invented new things that proved beneficial to mankind, like antibiotics and vaccines. In fact, it is these two newer things which may be responsible for the sudden and relentless surge in what are now common childhood diseases, such as allergies, ear infections, and neurodevelopmental diseases (NDD), such as ADHD, Autism, and other early childhood cognitive and/or physical impairments.

The theory is fairly simple. And it is in three parts. First, infant's bodies and their defense systems are immature, and cannot handle all these vaccinations. During the first year of life, we do not even recommend children eat honey, "nature's perfect food," because the young and delicate system of a child cannot handle it. Why should we believe that vaccines which include toxins, preservatives, adjuvants, and foreign particles injected directly into infant's bloodstreams be considered a good

idea? If you look at the charts of history and vaccination since the early 1970s, you will see that the number of vaccines recommended has gone up dramatically, and concurrently the number of childhood diseases has gone up in tandem. Of course, this may just be a coincidence, but the evidence and patterns seem to indicate otherwise.

Secondly, we use, and often abuse antibiotics. When kids get sick, we give them antibiotics. As you may or may not know, antibiotics kill bacteria. They kill bacteria indiscriminately, both the good bacteria and the bad bacteria, which was making you ill. After taking these antibiotics, your body's immune system is wide open, exposed, and unprotected to other ills it normally would be able to fight off. Without the good bacteria, and with a weakened immune system, things can go awry, and quickly. It takes time for your body to build up its walls of defense again. One of these lines of defense is the good bacteria in your gastrointestinal (GI) tract. You can buy probiotics in the store to replace some of the good bacteria which was lost due to the antibiotics. This will help restore beneficial bacteria in your gut. It is important to note here that this rebuilding of good bacteria in your gut with or without probiotics takes time.

Now, let's combine these two things, antibiotics and vaccines, into the worst of all scenarios. Your child was sick and had taken antibiotics recently. And you have not given this child any probiotics, or enough time to rebuild a good colony of bacteria in their GI tract. Therefore, the body's defenses are still down, and your doctor tells you that doesn't matter, because your child appears to be fine now, and vaccines are proven to be "safe and effective," so there is no real danger. You go ahead and let the doctor, or their nurse administer vaccines to your child. What we have in this situation are toxins and other foreign materials injected directly into an immunocompromised and therefore weakened body. That doesn't sound like a great idea, does it?

And thirdly, and finally, there is the toxic overload theory. For some, this toxic overload is caused by too many vaccines, and too soon. For the people who advocate this theory, the sheer number of toxins within the vaccines, and the repeated exposure to these with each additional

vaccine causes an overload to the system, which causes these adverse effects that are prevalent today. There are a lot of toxins in these vaccines, so this theory is plausible. For other doctors and scientists, the toxic overload is linked primarily to aluminum, and the accumulation of aluminum within the body and brain with each additional vaccination causing aluminum poisoning. Aluminum poisoning and its side effects do match up with the symptoms and maladies of many of the adversely affected children, ranging from allergies to mental impairment, so there certainly is merit with this theory, also.

The result of these three scenarios added up together is what we are seeing today. An explosion in cases of allergies, autoimmune diseases, ear infections, ADHD, autism, and NDD (neuro developmental disorders), etc. How bad are the numbers currently? Here they are:

“1 in 2.5 children has an allergy. 1 in 6 children has a developmental disability. 1 in 9 children has ADHD. 1 in 11 children has asthma. 1 in 13 children have severe food allergies. 1 in 36 children has autism.”<sup>1</sup>

50 years ago, these “diseases” were either unknown or extremely rare. Now they are common, and our doctors and the medical “experts” are trying to convince us that these illnesses are “normal”, and probably have genetic causes.

What can we do to stop this? I think a little common sense would go a long way here. Trust me though, when I say there may be a little more than just simple opposition to change, because this would be going against the hand of Big Pharma and its minions, some of whom we voted for, and others who are stationed in other Big Pharma branches of power like the CDC and the FDA. These three letter agencies were originally designed to protect us. Just look and see what happened. Follow the money involved to better see the truth. There are conflicts of interest and corruption everywhere. The governmental agencies which were created to protect and serve the American people appear to have been manipulated into becoming paid minions of Big Pharma. They no longer appear to be serving the people they were supposed to be protecting.

There is simply too much money being pushed at them, and they appear unable to say “no” to this money.

#### **1.4 Conclusion: The Middle Path of Reason**

What should we do? A good starting place would be to limit the number of vaccines in infancy to only what you believe as a parent is absolutely necessary. Do not blindly trust your government, the CDC, or the FDA with your health or your children’s health. These institutions have been compromised by Big Pharma and their money and power. Where there is a lot of money sloshing around, you can be sure to find enormous conflicts of interest.

“If you think the CDC (or the American Academy of Pediatrics, AAP) is looking out for you and your child as it adds ever more vaccines to the recommended schedule, you may not realize that Julie Gerberding, director of the CDC for seven years, sidestepped right into a lucrative position as president of Merck’s vaccine division when President Obama took office in 2009. Or that more than a dozen senior scientists from within the CDC lodged a formal ethical complaint in 2016 about the organization being unduly influenced by ‘outside parties and rogue interests.’ Or that a congressional reform committee reported on rampant conflicts of interest between the CDC and vaccine manufacturers.”<sup>2</sup>

If you don’t or can’t believe all the blatant conflicts of interests which are ongoing, even as Big Pharma reaps a windfall of profits from the covid vaccines and everything else they are currently pushing on an unsuspecting public, then just look at the recommended vaccine at birth, the hepatitis B vaccine. The only reason to give this vaccine to a newborn child is if the mother has hepatitis B. Otherwise, it is basically useless for a newborn. If you do not believe that this is true, I encourage you to prove it to yourself. This information is easy to find. The National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) website is the main consumer advocate site for information on contagious diseases and vaccines in the United States. The NVIC is an excellent source of information on the history of diseases which have plagued mankind, and the vaccines which we use to fight against

them. The other site I would recommend is the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) website, as they represent government's viewpoint on vaccines and contagious diseases. It is a good idea to see both sides of these somewhat complex issues to have an informed opinion.

What else? When you decide which vaccines to give your child, and when to give them, please make sure your child is feeling well. Make sure their system can handle a vaccination. A sick child has a weakened immune system. If you have given them antibiotics recently, and they have recovered from their illness, please give them probiotics for a while before you consider any vaccinations, so the good bacterium in their intestinal tract has a chance to recover. The gastrointestinal (GI) tract is where the majority of illnesses begin (70-80%). A healthy GI tract is the main part of our body's natural defense system.

It is important to look up the vaccine ingredients as well. These can be found on the National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) site under disease/vaccine types, such as hepatitis B, then look for specific brand of hep B vaccine, such as Engerix, then go to the ingredient lists. For an FDA internet search, type in *FDA package insert Engerix*, and it should pop up right away. Then look through the package insert (PI) to find the vaccine ingredient list. The NVIC site is much easier to find pertinent information you may be looking for. If you can take a few minutes to read the food label ingredients in the grocery store aisle to see if these products are healthy, wouldn't it make sense to take a few minutes to do the same thing with vaccines? Your child's health and even life may depend on it. The CDC has recommendations, and these recommendations should not be considered mandates by anyone, any medical practice, or any government group or entity. Most states in the United States do not mandate any of these vaccines. Some of these vaccines do not seem to be necessary, and certainly not at the time of life they are recommended. The point is that the decision is usually up to you.

What other recommendations would be helpful to shift the balance of power from Big Pharma and its minions back towards focusing more on the health and well-being of our children, and our people? Instead of us bowing to and being obedient to Big Pharma, how about we demand that they serve us as they should? Aren't we the ones paying for their vaccines and medicines? And doesn't that mean that they should be working for us, listening to us, and serving our wants and needs?

Science is based on patterns, and these patterns appear to be showing us that too many vaccines, at too young of an age are causing deleterious effects in our children, both mentally and physically. It is time to do a major rethinking of the recommended vaccine schedule, and at what age which vaccines should be given to maximize protection of our children from contagious diseases, while also protecting them from adverse effects from the vaccines. *"Safe and effective" should not just be a slogan to promote vaccines. These words should represent truth after scientific proof has been established beyond doubt.*

Sometimes it is necessary to take a step back for a moment of reflection to see the truth. So, please step back with me in time, and see how lab created vaccines came to be, and what were the goals of Louis Pasteur, who made these vaccines possible. Let's take a brief look at Pasteur and his life, what his intentions were, and what he envisioned and hoped for mankind. As we do this, let us compare and contrast his methods to our "modern methods" to better see how it all came to be like it is today. Have we improved upon the foundations of science and medicine which he built for us?

If Pasteur were alive today to see what has happened to medical science and research, what would be his conclusions? What changes would he recommend to improve the way we approach scientific research and its application to people and their children? What would Louis Pasteur do?



“Happy is he who carries within him his own ideal, and lives in obedience to it.” – Louis Pasteur

## **CHAPTER TWO - LOUIS PASTEUR - SCIENCE AND TRUTH FOR THE BETTERMENT OF MANKIND**

### **2.0 Louis Pasteur - The GOAT**

Sometimes when you look at your surroundings and see things which are out of place, things which do not belong, you may wonder how it ended up being this way. Sometimes it is necessary to take a step back in time to reflect, and to remember how this happened. Likewise, I have decided to go back to the beginning of modern science in medicine, to the beginning of the first lab created vaccines, and to the greatest scientist ever to have lived. I thought that by going back in time that perhaps the truth would be revealed. What I discovered by doing this I felt I needed to share.

Louis Pasteur was the GOAT (greatest of all time). Why do I believe this? Because Pasteur helped mankind directly with his science. It was practical science. He changed the way we do things in everyday life. He changed the way we live and think about things. He improved the goods and products which are available at the grocery store and shopping mall for us. He gave science to people in a way we could understand. His public experiments not only proved what he intended to show us, but they laid open the possibility to immediately change and apply his techniques to save lives and improve the ways of doing things. He saved countless lives through his experiments and inventions and new methods. And he did not focus on money, but selflessly focused on how to help others and how to make the world a better place with little thought or regard for himself and his own health. Think to yourself, Albert Einstein was a great scientist, wasn't he? Yes, he was. But how much did Einstein change the way we think and live?

Things in modern science do not seem right, they do not feel right. It seems that the scientists of today want the people of this country to trust them, but without showing them how they came up with their hypotheses or without proving the validity of their theories. They want us to believe and take their vaccines and drugs, and to know these have been proven safe and effective through “scientific studies.” It feels like being in a used car sales lot where the salesman is explaining that the dents and imperfections in the car are really not that important, and that the person who owned the car previously only drove this car on the weekend back and forth to church, or some such story. It seems too good to be true. It seems like the medical community is attempting to sell us a story or some goods which may not be in our best interests to believe or to take. It makes us feel that we should not trust them. When you feel this way, it is often a good time to step back and try to understand the real risks and rewards of the decision you are about to make. Drugs and vaccines are potent products, and they may help you and/or protect you from an illness or they may end up being a cause of unnecessary sickness and or death.

This leads us to Louis Pasteur. What a story. What a life! If I could say it in French for emphasis, I would. But I do not speak French. I would not want to give readers the presumption I know this language, because I do not. I would like to focus on the life of Pasteur, his beliefs, intentions, what his goals were, and how he changed the world for the better. I would like to share how he altered the thinking of everyone on the planet, and how and why we do certain things every day, even down to the smallest of some of these details. Without Louis Pasteur, we would not have the standard of living or the way of life that we do today.

Then, after we have seen how Pasteur was able to accomplish what he did and by what methods he was able to do so, I would like to compare Pasteur’s science with the modern science that we have today. This comparison between the science of Louis Pasteur and the science of today is revealing. What it reveals is that Pasteur loved science, he wanted to help humanity with his discoveries, and

that he cared little about money, while today there appears to be almost a reckless pursuit of money and profits, with little regard for the health and welfare of the people or humanity. It is shameful what is happening today.

## **2.1 Louis Pasteur's Triumphs - In a Nutshell**

Louis Pasteur is why we have clean and purified water coming out of our taps at home. He is the reason why the beer, wine, and milk we buy at the store tastes good after traveling far and wide to arrive at the store for us to purchase. He is the reason we wash our hands often and do it almost as an afterthought today. He is the reason doctors and nurses wash their hands between patients. He is the reason for antiseptic conditions in the hospitals and maternity wards. He is the reason the silk industry in the world was saved from ruin, and therefore he is the main reason we still have and enjoy silk today. Pasteur created the first laboratory made vaccines and is the reason we have many of the vaccines we do today. He is the main reason we are able to enjoy the standard of living that we currently do.

Pasteur is the reason the cattle, sheep, and livestock industries were saved from anthrax, which was the result of the second vaccine created in a lab. The first vaccine he created was for chicken cholera. These vaccines were developed to save lives when disease and death were rampant and appeared inevitable. He discovered the causes of these diseases, and he created vaccines to prevent them. He developed the first lab created vaccine for use by people, which is for rabies, and it is still in use today. Rabies has a 100% fatality rate, so it is an easy choice to make whether to receive this vaccine or not when you have been bitten by a rabid dog and contracted rabies. The choice is insanity and a painful death, or the chance that the vaccine can save you. A simple choice to make.

In a nutshell, these are his triumphs over death and disease. Amazingly, this is not even a complete list of his discoveries and breakthroughs in science and medicine. It is only a partial list. He

achieved immortality in the scientific world through these discoveries, methods of implementation, and vaccines, which were made by use of the microscope, which showed us life on a microscopic scale, and also by his brilliant mind and experimental methods, which he altered for each of his breakthroughs as need be. For example, rabies is a virus, and he could not see it through his microscope, so he concluded correctly that the virus which caused rabies was too small to be seen. Therefore, he adjusted his experiments to account for this fact.

In a few words, “Thank You, Louis Pasteur” for having lived and having such a love for people which drove you to want to help the world and its people with your discoveries. Thank you for focusing on the science which made this possible, and not on money. Money appears to be the main focus of scientific endeavor in our modern world. If we could simply get back to scientific progress and breakthroughs, and not pursuit of money, perhaps we could expand upon the great gifts Pasteur gave us and keep on improving life here on earth for everyone.

## **2.2 Louis Pasteur and His Methods**

Louis Pasteur was above all things a man of the laboratory. He was an experimenter. In this capacity of devising, refining, and concluding his experimentation on a subject, he was a genius. He was many steps above others in the world in this regard. When other scientists of the time claimed to have made discoveries due to their experiments and attempted to pass off these discoveries as “facts”, Pasteur would often set up the same experiments in an attempt to verify these discoveries. Often, he had to report what he found was not a discovery at all, but flaws in the experimental process, and leaps to conclusions which were not verified. Then he would report these errors in an honest manner. This sometimes made him enemies, as he was demonstrating to others their flaws and lack of skill and expertise in their professions. This was to be a recurring theme throughout his whole life.

Pasteur would approach a new idea with trepidation. He would look at everything from both sides, and then he would form his hypotheses. With these hypotheses in mind, he would set out to prove or to disprove them. The laboratory was the true test of whether or not his hypotheses were valid. And he left nothing to chance. Even when he had finished his experiments and proven his hypotheses, he would do the experiments over and over again just to prove it to himself, and also to ensure that others could easily replicate his experiments. This was his scientific method. He was his own worst critic. In his own words, and including one of the great thoughts we know him for:

“... in the fields of observation, chance only favors the mind which is prepared...”<sup>1</sup>.

“‘Hypotheses,’ said Pasteur, ‘come into our laboratories in armfuls; they fill our registers with projected experiments, they stimulate us to research – and that is all.’ One thing only counted for him: experimental verification.”<sup>2</sup>.

“... he... conceived stimulating hypotheses often to be abandoned in face of a fact in contradiction with them. Above some note made a few days previously, where a suggested hypothesis had not been verified by fact, he would write: ‘error,’ ‘erroneous,’ for he was implacable in his criticism of himself.”<sup>3</sup>.

“Great problems were working in his mind; but he was careful not to propound them hastily, for he was the most timid, the most hesitating of men until he held proof in his hands. ‘In experimental science,’ he wrote, ‘it is always a mistake not to doubt when facts do not compel you to affirm.’”<sup>4</sup>.

### **2.3 Today in Science.**

Now let us compare Pasteur’s methods to a couple of observations from what is happening today in science. What is the state of science today? Have we really advanced? Have we used the methods Pasteur taught: to be safe, to be certain, to never rush to judgement, but to test and test again, until the truth of a discovery is verified beyond any doubt and can be replicated by others?

Unfortunately, the answer to these questions is no. It is apparent that scientists of today, and our government regulators, who are supposed to be protecting us from harm, have allowed hypotheses to be considered facts, and then used the entire population as guinea pigs for large laboratory studies.

In the case of Gardasil, the HPV vaccine, the regulators allowed this vaccine to be approved due to the hypothesis that in 30 years' time we should expect to be certain of lower cervical cancer rates in women. It has never been proven that this vaccine can prevent any type of cancer. It is only a hypothesis. The fact that this vaccine was FDA approved based on this hypothesis is absurd. The fact that this vaccine is required in some states for children as a precondition to attend school is unconscionable.

In the case of the covid-19 vaccines, which were rushed through the regulatory process and FDA approval (emergency authorization use) we have more of the same. These vaccines were highly experimental at the time and had not been proven to be safe and effective by any stretch of the imagination. No vaccine in the United States had ever been invented, approved, and used all within one year's time. It was a rash decision to use these covid vaccines. Louis Pasteur would never have condoned this type of outright recklessness and rush to conclusions in his laboratory. To rush a mandate for an unproven experimental vaccine upon the population at large was an ill-conceived notion which can lead to no good, and potentially cause great harm. What is being practiced today is not based upon experimental methods and proofs, but rather trial and error methods whereby the entire population are being used as lab rats for grand experiments. What is being practiced today cannot truly be considered "science."

Then why was this done? Why were these vaccines approved? Wasn't the government really trying to protect the American people? It does not appear to be so. As many have observed in the past, "If you follow the money, then you may find the reasons." Just ask yourself the question: "Did Big Pharma make money off of these

covid-19 vaccines?” When the love of money overpowers the love of humanity and progress of science to benefit humanity, we end up with conflicts of interest that may cause more harm than good.

Louis Pasteur loved science. He loved the scientific method. He loved people and helping them. The pursuit of money never crossed his mind. His life and his work were about the progress of science to help mankind and the glory of France.

“Napoleon III expressed surprise that it had not occurred to Pasteur to make a pecuniary profit out of his discoveries, which were worth tens of millions to the wine industry, and Pasteur made this fine response: ‘In France a scientist would think that he demeaned himself if he did such a thing.’ According to his standards, they must content themselves with glory and with the satisfaction of a duty fulfilled.”<sup>5</sup>

Imagine a scientist of today not seeking money as the main goal and believing it to be a duty to being helpful if and when you can to your country and people. Noble thoughts such as these appear to be hard to come by in this current age, and this bodes ill for our progeny and future generations.

## **2.4 Public Experiments for All to See**

Louis Pasteur was a chemist by trade. But by practice he crossed many fields of study and stepped on many toes on the way to prove the correctness of his hypotheses. Often, he was laughed at for his public statements concerning science and his discoveries. He knew men, and he knew their hearts were often full of lies, deceit, and treachery due to jealousy, which often gave way to anger. This is why he boldly did things out in the open, for all the world to see. A scientific discovery in a small laboratory was just that, and no more. After such a discovery it may take years or decades to fully implement these breakthroughs in science, as other scientists would need to verify in their laboratories the veracity of the conclusions, and then eventually after many more years of being accepted in the scientific community, then the people would finally be able to benefit from this scientific progress. Pasteur bypassed all

of this, and gave his science directly to the people, and he did it in a way that not only would they understand the experiments, but the experiments would once and for all conclusively prove his hypotheses correct, so that implementation for the public good could be immediately conferred. This was the beauty of Louis Pasteur and his methods. He gave science to the people, and the people could understand it and use it immediately for benefit in their everyday lives.

The naysayers were many, especially in the beginning. When a man professes belief in a theory or a hypothesis that is not currently accepted, then he immediately comes under attack. The attack is usually greatest from those who stand to lose monetarily, and by those who have professed belief in other contradictory theories, as their professional credibility is on the line. During all these public experiments, there were many who wanted Louis Pasteur to fail. These naysayers were not interested in science, they were more interested in money and protecting their egos and positions of power.

## **2.5 Spontaneous Generation.**

Spontaneous generation is a belief that something can spring forth from nothing. This was an ancient belief, passed down from some of the greatest thinkers, scientists, and philosophers that the world had produced over the past few thousands of years. The consensus in the public and in the scientific community at the time of Pasteur was that spontaneous generation was a fact.

“He could have gone back to Aristotle, quoted Lucretius, Virgil, Ovid, Pliny. Philosophers, poets, naturalists, all believed in spontaneous generation. Time went on, and it was still believed in.”<sup>6</sup>.

A very public debate raged in Pasteur's day over spontaneous generation. Since the invention of the microscope, and the discovery of microbes never before seen with the human eye, the argument was recommenced, and many in the scientific community

declared spontaneous generation to be a fact, and they “proved” it with their experiments.

The theory of spontaneous generation was useful for the atheists, who do not believe in God. If the earth and cosmos and all life “spontaneously generated,” then this appears to render God as unnecessary since it seems to be part of the natural order of things. This theory, which was considered a fact at that time was the main leg of the stool upon which atheism was defended and supported. Also, this theory was supportive of doctors who were careless in their surgeries and operational methods. When these doctors’ patients died due to some post operative infection, they would throw their hands up in the air and say, “I did all I could for the patient, but the infection spontaneously generated at the site of the surgery, and he died.” In other words, the patient’s death was not his fault. Spontaneous generation was cover for careless doctors.

Pasteur took up the gauntlet of challenge against spontaneous generation, declared the inaccuracies of the other side’s arguments and experiments, and went on to conduct his own experiments. The discussion was not only in the scientific community, but it was in the public view, and all wanted this issue to be resolved, one way or the other. Stories were in the newspapers and scientific journals. Many of these articles were making fun of Pasteur and his “ludicrous” theories.

Pasteur’s experiments proved that dust in the air and atmosphere would settle into the test liquids and were the cause of many of these living microbes to generate other small beings that the scientists were seeing in their microscopes. He posited that if properly purified and sealed, no containers with water or other liquids would grow any new forms of life. He proved there was no spontaneous generation by a multitude of experiments which he performed in full view of the scientific community and the public. Slowly and methodically, even the naysayers had to admit the truth of his position. The importance of the issue was not only if life could spontaneously form out of nothing, but what this would mean on a larger and even a spiritual scale. Did the earth and all of the cosmos

come out of nothing, was it always here, or was there a Creator? Pasteur's thoughts on the matter:

“There is no circumstance known in which it can be affirmed that microscopic beings came into the world without germs, without parents similar to themselves. Those who affirm it have been duped by illusions, by ill-conducted experiments, spoiled by errors that they did not perceive or did not know how to avoid.”<sup>7</sup>.

After Pasteur had completely defeated the naysayers by his public experimental proofs, they still grasped for straws in the air, or arguments by which to find a hole in Pasteur's methods and proofs. They still wanted to defeat him, even though he had proven publicly that they were wrong. They posited the question to Pasteur:

“If all comes from a germ, people said, whence came the first germ?”<sup>8</sup>.

It was the creation question. Did everything always exist? How did this all come into being? His answer:

“We must bow before that mystery, said Pasteur; it is the question of the origin of all things, and absolutely outside of the domain of scientific research.”<sup>9</sup>.

This defeat of the spontaneous generation theory was a blow to the atheists, who used this theory to assert that God does not exist. Pasteur put this fallacy of spontaneous generation to rest after many thousands of years with his simple experimental methods and proofs. As Pasteur had concluded that “There is no circumstance known in which it can be affirmed that... beings came into the world without... parents similar to themselves,” this presumes the concept of a Creator of these beings. If we lead this thought process to its ultimate conclusion, then the world, the cosmos, and all living things we see have their origin from a Creator, just as we are part of His creation. But being a man of the laboratory, and unable to prove this theory in a laboratory, Pasteur would never state the existence of God as a proven fact, even though in his heart and by his faith he believed it to be true.

This subject proved to be the basis for Pasteur's *Germ Theory of Disease*, which noted that tiny organisms unseen by the human eye were the cause of disease. Soon after this spontaneous generation question was settled once and for all, others adopted his theory, and attempted to apply it to many other related fields of study. Joseph Lister, the great British surgeon and a professed follower of Pasteur, applied this theory with much success. He created incredibly antiseptic conditions in his operating room, thereby reducing germs and disease, and this newfound method proved to save many lives.

"Lister took pleasure in declaring that he owed to Pasteur the principles which guided him."<sup>10</sup>

When the theory of spontaneous generation was finally disproven by Louis Pasteur, he was able to expand on what this meant for other branches of science. Clearing the minds of a scientific theory which had no basis in fact enabled the possibilities to help mankind with new theories stemming from these new thoughts. Getting rid of spontaneous generation enabled new thinking, new discoveries, and opened up science and medicine to truth and new methods to improve the lives of all mankind. It helped Louis Pasteur to develop his *Germ Theory of Disease*. The *Germ Theory of Disease* changed the way we thought, and the way we do things and exemplifies the noted and common phrase heard so often since this time, that "Cleanliness is next to Godliness."

As an aside, I wanted to mention Stephen Hawking, who is regarded by many to be one of the greatest scientists and physicists of modern times. I was reading an article about him and his beliefs. Would you believe he actually declared his belief in spontaneous generation? He even said something to the effect that the earth, the planets, and all the cosmos spontaneously developed. Perhaps he was reading Aristotle or some of the older philosophers who adhered to this belief, and this convinced him of its veracity, because certainly Pasteur laid this theory to rest, and even drove a barrage of nails into its coffin. It says something that a person with great intelligence such as Stephen Hawking or others like him can

be misguided by long standing antiquated and disproven notions such as spontaneous generation. It demonstrates that theories and beliefs which have been passed down through the centuries as facts are hard to change and get rid of, even for esteemed scientists who have the respect of people and the scientific community.

For atheism or a belief in God, it comes down to a simple question of faith. No flowery language or philosophical arguments changes this fact. A person who argues about the existence of God as their main focus, which cannot be proven either way, is wasting the precious time of others, and cannot be considered a great thinker, philosopher, or scientist, because they are allowing their unfounded opinions and emotions to enter into their field of study. Pasteur was too great a man to waste his time in such useless and fruitless arguments. People will believe what they want to believe unless there is undeniable evidence which proves something to be true. When proof shows a person is wrong in their beliefs, then it is a wise person who changes their position.

I do not understand why it is that some scientists believe they appear more intelligent by professing a belief in atheism and attempting to leave a Creator out of the realm of possibilities. It seems ironic that a few of these scientists would ridicule people who have faith and believe in God. Those who attempted to defend their belief in atheism based on the theory of spontaneous generation found themselves in an untenable and undefendable position, as the vast array of scientific experiments done by Pasteur proved beyond any doubt the fallacy of this theory, as it goes against the scientific and empirical evidence. Belief in spontaneous generation was the only leg that atheism had to stand on, and this leg had been removed by Pasteur's experiments. Pasteur believed in God, but he knew that he could not prove this belief, as it is a belief founded upon faith. Any decent scientist or philosopher should know they should separate what is based on faith and what is provable by logic and experimentation. Any respectable philosopher or scientist would never state as a fact something which cannot be proven.

## **2.6 The Interim Years Before His Next Round of Public Experiments.**

In the years before his next rounds of public experiments, many great things had transpired. He had saved the silk industry from ruin. The disease which had attacked the moths which made the silk had affected not only France, but the entire world. It was only in Japan that the industry was not affected by the moth disease, but it was probably only a matter of time before the Japanese silk industry suffered the same fate as the rest of the world. Louis Pasteur worked non-stop and solved the problems in this industry in about five years of time with his extensive labors and experimentation. He developed a selection process whereby only healthy silkworm seeds (eggs) were allowed to develop into adult silkworms, and the silk industry was saved. We would have no silk today without Pasteur and his work.

Also, during this time, Pasteur identified the microorganisms which were causing beer and wine to go sour. He created methods he showed to the producers how to avoid inclusion of certain microbes in the fermentation process. Pasteur then created a process by which he saved the wine and beer industry from having spoiled products. By heating the temperature of the wine and beer to a certain degree, and then closing the bottle properly, he showed that the contents would not spoil. This process became known as pasteurization. This enabled the transporting of these products over long distances without regard to time. This made worldwide distribution of wine and beer possible. This process also worked in varying degrees for other products as well. Look at the milk container in your refrigerator. It will most likely say the word “pasteurized” on it.

These endless labors took their toll on him, and he suffered a stroke. Even as he laid in bed, his thoughts were not directed about himself, but only about what he could do for his country:

“I am sorry to die; I wanted to do much more for my country.”<sup>11</sup>.

His importance to France and the world was noted by the fact that Emperor Napoleon III of France required daily reports as to his health conditions delivered to him by his footmen. All the world waited in hopeful suspense for his recovery, and their prayers were answered. He recovered, but still suffered physically from his stroke. After his recovery, he wanted to get back to his work. His family and friends were still concerned about his health and his working too much. And to this he replied:

“...one man’s life is worthless if not useful to others.”<sup>12</sup>

In other words, he wanted to get back to work, and as soon as possible. He wanted to be useful.

## **2.7 Charbon. Splenic Fever. Or as We Call it Today, Anthrax.**

More than any other scientist in history, Louis Pasteur gave science to the people. He showed them what he was working on. He included them in the process. The progress he made in solving the problems of anthrax killing sheep and cattle was made not only after many experiments and extensive laboratory work, but in the beginning was founded upon the help of shepherds and cattlemen in the field, who had an intimate knowledge of the comings and goings of the flocks and herds they oversaw and gave Louis Pasteur insights he needed to formulate his hypotheses. It is the people who gave Pasteur his premises and theories, and it is for the people and their benefit that he labored so hard. It was a labor of love. Not only did he have a love for solving the problems at hand by the scientific methods he employed, but he loved scientific progress that benefitted humanity and gave glory to France. A brief summary of anthrax disease in sheep:

“Animals stricken with this disease almost always died in a few hours; sheep were seen to lag behind the flock, with drooping head, shaking limbs and gasping breath; after a rigor and some sanguinolent evacuations, occurring also through the mouth and nostrils, death supervened, often before the shepherd had had time to notice the attack. The carcass rapidly became distended,

and the least rent in the skin gave issue to a flow of black, thick and viscid blood, hence the name of anthrax given to the disease. It was also called splenic fever, because necropsy showed that the spleen had assumed enormous dimensions; if that were opened, it presented a black and liquid pulp.”<sup>13</sup>.

“Horses, oxen, cows, sheep, everything succumbed, as did... persons, attacked by the contagion under diverse forms; a pin prick or a scratch is sufficient to inoculate shepherds, butchers, knackers or farmers with the malignant pustule.”<sup>14</sup>.

All of Europe and parts of Asia were suffering from this disease, and as described above, it appeared to be a disease not only responsible for killing animals, but people as well. Something had to be done to save the livestock industry from ruin, and also to save the lives of people and their animals. Someone had to solve the problem. That someone whom the people placed their hope upon was Louis Pasteur.

Pasteur invoked his *Germ Theory of Disease*, and attributed the sickness and deaths to microbes which could clearly be seen under the microscope. Other scientists within the Academy of Medicine invoked the age-old theory that the anthrax organism “spontaneously generated” within the living organisms, and this had caused their demise. You would think that this theory would have been sufficiently defeated after all the public experiments, laboratory proofs, and scientific evidence mounted against it, but it is not easy to dispel a notion that has become cemented in the public’s thinking over thousands of years as a fact, so this is understandable.

Pasteur’s powers of observation were coupled with patience, perseverance, and the relentless pursuit of truth. He wanted to solve this mystery. He wanted anthrax to be defeated and cease to exist.

“As soon as Pasteur left the carriage he hurried to the folds. Standing motionless by the gate, he would gaze at the lots which were being experimented upon, with a careful attention which nothing escaped; he would spend hours watching one of the

sheep which seemed to him to be sickening... He questioned farmers and their servants, giving much credit to the opinions of shepherds, who on account of their solitary life, give their whole attention to their flocks and often become sagacious observers.”<sup>15</sup>

While Louis Pasteur was at the farm, another disease of interest to the farmers was relayed to him, that of chicken cholera. Pasteur was no stranger to multitasking, so he added the study of chicken cholera to his schedule. He began work at once.

## **2.8 Chicken Cholera. The First Lab Created Vaccine.**

Amidst his research for the cause and cure of anthrax, he began his studies on chicken cholera.

“The animal suffering from this disease is powerless, staggering, its wings droop and its bristling feathers give it the shape of a ball; an irresistible somnolence overpowers it. If its eyes are made to open, it seems to awake from a deep sleep, and death frequently supervenes after a dumb agony, before the animal has stirred from its place; sometimes there is a faint fluttering of the wings for a few seconds.”<sup>16</sup>

Louis Pasteur studied chicken cholera disease, and quickly identified the microorganism which caused the disease, and he found an appropriate culture medium to create more so he could better study the microbe. After sufficient cultures of the chicken cholera microbe were available, he began his extensive experiments. Fresh batches of the microbe produced the same fatal results as expected. Then he tried inoculating the hens with an old culture which had been put aside for a while and the result was unexpected.

“...when some hens were inoculated with an old culture, put away and forgotten a few weeks before, they were seen with surprise to become ill and then to recover. These unexpectedly refractory hens were then inoculated with some new culture, but the phenomenon of resistance recurred... what could have attenuated the microbe?... Researches proved that oxygen was the cause...”<sup>17</sup>

“...while hens who had never had chicken-cholera perished when exposed to the deadly virus, those who had undergone attenuated inoculations, and who afterwards received more than their share of the deadly virus, were affected with the disease in a benign form, a passing indisposition, sometimes they remained perfectly well; they had acquired immunity.”<sup>18</sup>.

Chicken cholera cultures left out in the open air for a few weeks became attenuated, meaning weakened, and inoculation of the hens with these attenuated cultures proved effective at preventing chicken cholera from developing in these hens when later exposed to the fresh and deadly cultures. Almost by accident and divine providence he had developed the first lab created vaccine. The chicken cholera problem was solved.

He now felt somewhat confident that he could develop a vaccine for anthrax in some similar fashion, and to stop the great losses the farm community was facing due to that contagion. Looking out further than that he thought of creating vaccines which had perennially caused humankind problems from the dawn of time and eradicating them from the face of the earth.

## **2.9 Back to the Task of Defeating Anthrax. Back into the Public Arena.**

Louis Pasteur concluded his thinking on chicken cholera as being a relatively easy vaccine to obtain. Just leave it aside exposed to the air for a few weeks, and then you have a vaccine that works. Anthrax was different. The spores of anthrax, sometimes in the ground for years, or even decades, were found to be just as virulent as new spores. He must find a way to weaken, to attenuate the anthrax microbes so that they could be used as a vaccine.

Finally, he came up with his solution, which proved to be a combination of heat and time. Over and over again he proved to himself that this vaccine worked. Still, he was hesitant to proclaim it. He wanted there to be absolutely no doubt of its efficacy. Once he was ready to declare it publicly, he was approached with the question of conducting a public experiment.

“The noise which those experiments will necessarily cause, ... will strike every mind and convince those that have been doubting; the evidence of facts will have the result of ending all uncertainty.”<sup>19</sup>.

Pasteur was quick to accept the public challenge, and he outlined the program to be followed so that there could be no doubts as to the discovery and its usefulness for the farmers of the world. The program he outlined left no retreat. He would be either extremely successful, or he would fail miserably, and the naysayers and scoffers could bask in the glow of their negative wishes, thoughts, and jealousies and condemn him and his methods even more mercilessly than they already had done into an endless future.

Twenty-five sheep were to be vaccinated by the attenuated anthrax microbes. After the appropriate time when the vaccination had taken effect to give immunity to these sheep, these vaccinated sheep and twenty-five unvaccinated sheep along with them were to be given gradually stronger doses of the deadly anthrax cultures. And this was to be done in full purview of the scientists and the public, with newspaper ads indicating when and where these experiments were to take place.

“The twenty-five unvaccinated sheep will all perish,” wrote Pasteur, “the twenty-five vaccinated ones will survive.” ... It would thus be seen that vaccination did not prevent sheep from returning to their normal state of health after a certain time.”<sup>20</sup>.

Using attenuated anthrax may cause minor sickness for the sheep. Upon recovery from this minor illness, then the sheep would not only return to their prior state of health but would also be immunized from the anthrax disease, and therefore be impervious to any future exposure of this disease.

“On May 5 (1881) a numerous crowd arriving from Melun station or the little station of Cesson, was seen moving towards the yard of Pouilly le Fort farm; it looked like a mobilization of... agricultors, physicians, apothecaries, and especially veterinary surgeons. Most of these last were full of skepticism... They were exchanging

jokes and looks to the complete satisfaction of Pasteur's adversaries. They were looking forward to the last and most virulent inoculation."<sup>21</sup>.

It came to pass just as Pasteur had predicted. On June 2, twenty-five unvaccinated sheep had perished, and twenty-five vaccinated remained well. A couple days later, on June 4, one of the vaccinated sheep died. This caused some concern. Upon further investigation, it was found that the ewe that died had been pregnant and had died from a complication due to this condition, and was in no way connected to the anthrax, which was confirmed by a post-mortem examination. Louis Pasteur's experiment was a complete success.

"Those experiments at Pouilly le Fort caused a tremendous sensation; the whole of France burst out in an explosion of enthusiasm."<sup>22</sup>.

Louis Pasteur was hailed as a hero, and rightly so. By using an attenuated live culture of a virulent disease, he had found a preventive cure for that disease. The world rejoiced at the thought not only of their livestock no longer suffering and dying from this terrible disease, but that in the future, by the methods he had outlined for further advancements in this field of vaccination, that many diseases in man may be cured and forever wiped off the face of the earth. Such was the glint of hope in the eyes and minds of Pasteur and people throughout the world.

In the background as usual, there were the murmurings of the many scientists who were jealous of him and his abilities, and they constantly strove to impede his progress, throwing challenges and obstacles in his path at every opportunity. How and why was a "mere chemist" concerning himself with the work of real doctors and medically trained scientists? He was looked down upon as a hack meddling in a field of study he was not trained for, and so was believed by many not to be up to the task he was pursuing. In the Academy of Medicine where he was a member, it was almost like a constant fight that was wearing him out between the old ways of medicine which had been disproven by him, but which many still clung tenaciously as if life itself depended upon it.

“For months he had not attended the Academy of Medicine; he was tired of incessant and barren struggles; he often used to come away from the discussions worn out and excited. He would say to Messrs. Chamberland and Roux, who waited for him after the meetings, ‘How is it that certain doctors do not understand the range, the value, of our experiments? How is it that they do not foresee the great future of all these studies?’”<sup>23</sup>.

These skeptics found comfort in poorly done experiments by other scientists who were attempting and failing to replicate Pasteur’s results in their own laboratories. They attempted to use Pasteur’s methods, but their laboratory tests produced poor outcomes for the anthrax vaccine of sheep and other of his experiments. The negative publicity of these experiments confused the scientific community and the public as to the veracity of Pasteur and his methods. But Pasteur put out the fire of these naysayer’s refutations one by one and was always up to the challenge of coming to wherever they had “refuted” his theories and proving them again in full public view with new experiments. These scientists generally preferred to fight on paper and emotionally insist on their correctness. They did not want actual proof and demonstration of their errors to be demonstrated in front of the public, for they would then be exposed and found out for what they were, poor scientists and jealous and angry opponents who hated Pasteur for being right and being a vastly superior scientist.

Other scientists and medical practitioners looked on with amazement and lavishly praised Pasteur’s studies and successes. They shared the vision of Pasteur on what possibilities the newfound focus on microbes and their relation to infectious diseases could play in the future, and how this may change the world forever, and possibly help to create a world where there are no more of these infectious diseases.

“It is especially,” said Bouley, “on the question of the prophylaxis of virulent diseases that microbial doctrine has given the most marvelous results. To seize upon the most deadly virus, to submit them to methodical culture, to cause modifying agents to act upon

them in measured proportion, and thus to succeed in attenuating them in diverse degrees, so as to utilize their strength, reduced but still efficacious, in transmitting a benignant malady by means of which immunity is acquired against the deadly diseases: what a beautiful dream!! And M. Pasteur has made that dream into a reality!!!..."<sup>24</sup>.

While the fight was ongoing in the scientific community as to whether or not his theories were sound and true, testimonials kept coming in from everywhere thanking him for saving the family farms and preventing deaths of the animals and the people associated with those farms.

"In the meantime, testimonials of gratitude continued to pour in from the agricultors and veterinary surgeons who had seen the results of two years' practice of the vaccination against anthrax."<sup>25</sup>.

Although scoffers will continue to scoff no matter how great the evidence of scientific validity, the numbers did not lie. What the numbers revealed was that the death and carnage which had so greatly affected the farmers and their businesses was suddenly becoming more manageable. In response to an attack from an eminent scientist who was criticizing and attacking Pasteur's theories and methods, he gave his response with conclusive evidence no arguments could refute.

"Pasteur put forward, in response, a report from the veterinary surgeon Boutet to the Chartres Veterinary and Agricultural School, made in the preceding October. The sheep vaccinated in Eure et Loir during the last year formed a total of 79,392. Instead of a mortality which had been more than nine percent on the average in the last ten years, the mortality had only been 518 sheep, much less than one percent; 5700 sheep had therefore been preserved by vaccination. Amongst cattle 4,562 animals had been vaccinated; out of a similar number 300 usually died every year. Since vaccination, only eleven cows had died."<sup>26</sup>.

The evidence was in. Pasteur's vaccination of the livestock was saving farmer's lives and also their animal's lives. No amount of argument could alter the reality of these statistical facts.

## 2.10 Today in Science. No Transparency.

In Louis Pasteur's time, not only was the scientific community invited to watch the experiments as Pasteur provided proof for his hypotheses, but it was also in full purview of the public, with newspaper articles stating when and where the experiments would take place, how the experiments were set up, what the experiments were trying to prove, and exactly how the hypotheses, if proven to be correct, would benefit mankind. This was real science practiced by a real scientist.

What do we have today? And what could be the motto of our modern "scientific" community? I suppose a neat summary by scientists explaining their methods to the public today would be "*Trust the science, never question, shut up, and obey.*"

In Pasteur's time, he was patient with people as far as his patience would allow. Sometimes he would lose his temper due to blatant stupidity, professional jealousy or obvious things related to the completely vanquished theory of spontaneous generation which kept creeping back into many of his studies by other scientists. He would yell his proofs over and over again at these slow of mind until they understood it. Some pupils, and obviously some scientists, are a little slow to accept the facts.

Today Big Pharma scientists do not invite people to watch and observe experiments. The people are completely shut out of the experimental process and kept completely in the dark. Apparently, this is what Big Pharma wants. We are only told the results of the experiments after the Big Pharma companies who do them have either favorable outcomes they would like to report on a new drug or vaccine, or after the FDA has approved these drugs or vaccines for public use. We are told what the drug or vaccine will be used for, what the test results demonstrated, and reassured that it has been proven "safe and effective" through this process. The results and many aspects of the tests cannot be revealed, they say, because it is "proprietary information." That means the testing results and

information are only for Big Pharma, and they don't have to share it, so they don't. The American public and the rest of the scientific community are shut out of these private research endeavors.

In Pasteur's time, he would show by what methods he was going to prove his hypotheses, and these methods in the trials process were never altered. Today in science, the Big Pharma companies set up "studies" whereby trial designs and methodologies are created so that these studies are favorable for outcomes the company desires. They have worked hard to develop these new products for use as drugs or vaccines, and now they want their products to make money. So, they skew every single part of these studies in their favor. And even after all this preparation, sometimes the studies do not show the results or the numbers they need to gain approval or prove their hypotheses. The public is never aware of this because there is no transparency, and they are kept in the dark. The companies do not throw in the towel and give up at this point. They simply alter the studies, lie about what the studies appear to be proving, change the methods of the experiment, move the endpoints, or conduct more studies so they can find one or two studies to demonstrate their product is "safe and effective." This is not a scientific method for progress in science. It is more like deception foisted upon the people of America so that these companies can profit. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #7).

Another way Big Pharma attempts to justify and prove their reasoning and hypotheses is through statistics. I think Mark Twain said this best. His quote: *"There are lies, damned lies, and statistics. Facts are stubborn things, but statistics are pliable."* This quote and its practical application were not lost on Big Pharma. Big Pharma keeps track of many statistics as they do these experiments. Age, Sex, Height, Weight, Race, Income Level, country of origin, all these things and more are tabulated on spreadsheets as the experiments come to a conclusion. If the overall experiment proved to be a failure in almost every way and every category of what they were trying to prove, then they go to their statistical spreadsheets. It is here they often find their ray of gold and silver in an otherwise

dark cloud of poor results. Inside the Big Pharma company, one can almost hear the math guy go over to the marketing guy:

“Hey Joe, the numbers look terrible overall. But I found that 25–30-year-old girls who weighed between 100-120 pounds in New Guinea from one specific area appear to have results that are good enough to get us passed by the FDA. We also have this one other study from a rural province in Siberia based on 45–50-year-old goatherders with results that also do not look that bad. It helped that the goatherders were nomadic and we couldn’t locate them to do any follow up studies on them. In our worldwide studies, only these two trial subgroups out of the 100 we have the statistics for were deemed acceptable. Oh, and I almost forgot to mention, that 30-day trial testing period was too long. We had far too many noticeable injuries arising within the third and fourth week of the study, so we just shortened the timing of the study to two weeks, and that solved that problem. So basically, shorten the time of the study to two weeks, narrow the age ranges and/or weight ranges of these two groups, and then submit these two studies to the FDA and throw out the rest of the information.”

Again, this is not science. It is a misleading and deceitful cherrypicked narrative to gain approval of questionable drugs or vaccines. This type of scientific mischief is happening on a regular basis in today’s “modern” science. It is important to note here that *the FDA only sees what Big Pharma shows them*. You can be sure that Big Pharma will only show the FDA what is favorable for their products to be approved.

I want to emphasize the main point here. If these studies of today were brought out into the open and were seen by the public from start to finish, then these deceptions would be much more difficult to pull off. Let’s bring these things into the light of day so we can clearly see what is happening in these modern scientific tests and experiments. Let’s bring science back to the people. With a well-informed public, and with more information in front of all those deciding whether or not to take these drugs and/or vaccines, then

we have a much better chance at arriving at a truly “informed consent” of the patient.

### **2.11 The Trials: Vaccine/Drug vs Placebo.**

Louis Pasteur set up two fields in his trials for anthrax. One group of sheep was vaccinated and the group in a different field was unvaccinated. This method proved beyond the shadow of a doubt the veracity of his hypotheses regarding anthrax. What do we have today in “science”? You may have heard of placebos being used in the trials process, but here the public is deceived yet again by Big Pharma. What appears to be the modern Golden Rule of Big Pharma: *“If we can’t prove that our products are “safe and effective” by testing and experiments, then we need to lie, cheat, and deceive to get our products approved and make money. If the current definitions of specific words don’t work in our favor, then we need to change their meaning.”*

In drug trials, there are typically two groups. One is the drug/vaccine group, which is being tested, and the other is the control group, which historically has been given a true placebo, which has until recently been an inactive and harmless substance like a saline solution or sugar pill. This is no longer the way things are being done. The rules of the game have been changed. And the definitions have been changed as well. Placebos are thought of by the public as harmless substances, like sugar pills or saline solutions. But Big Pharma changed the widely accepted definition of this word. Big Pharma now labels whatever they want as placebos. And more often than not, these “placebos” are chemically active products such as previously FDA approved drugs, vaccines, or adjuvants. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #6). *Changing a widely accepted definition of a word like placebo and altering it for monetary gain is blatant deception of the public for profit.* This is a clear violation of the *Nuremburg Code*, which prohibits deception. Why would they do this? The answer appears to be to deceive the public into thinking there have been true experiments which were conducted in an impartial manner which included placebos in the testing. Nothing makes the public feel better about experiments like

vaccine and drug trials than knowing that the substances being studied have been proven to be “safe and effective” and included placebos as an experimental control. One thing you can say about Big Pharma is that at least they are consistent. They lie, cheat, and deceive at every opportunity they get so they can get their drugs/vaccines approved and make money.

If they could use fentanyl as their placebo and get away with it, they would. If they did this, a large number of the “placebo” group would die. Then Big Pharma could say: “Look, compared to the placebo group our drug/vaccine has an improved survivability ratio. Our drug/vaccine has been proven to save lives.” Of course I am exaggerating, but in essence this is what they are doing. They are taking products with active ingredients that will have more adverse effects on the “placebo” group than a harmless placebo like saline solution, and the results will therefore make their drugs/vaccines look better in the results on a comparative basis. This is outright deception. And it is happening every day. And the FDA and CDC know this is happening, and they say nothing. Therefore, they are culpable in this crime against humanity. When you change the definition of a widely accepted term, and change the meaning for your own gain, this is deceit. This is unconscionable behavior and needs to be stopped.

Sometimes the vaccine manufacturers do not label what their “placebos” were. This is just another factor in the deceit process. Louis Pasteur conducted his lab experiments and simplified the process so any competent scientist could replicate his experiments and confirm his results, and therefore prove again that the hypotheses are correct. And now, in our “modern” times, it is almost impossible to replicate another scientist’s results due to unknown placebos, unknown or altered experimental methods and or procedures, and this thing they call “proprietary information.” We need to have the ability to verify the results that Big Pharma provides as their test results to the FDA. There should be no proprietary information hidden from public view.

## 2.12 Vaccine Ingredients.

In Pasteur's day, the vaccines were pure and unadulterated. Only the attenuated organism cultures were given to effect immunity. There were no preservatives, metals, stabilizers, adjuvants, or human DNA, etc. in the vaccines as we have today. All these other things were added later. I do not think Pasteur would approve of many of the things being done with his invention of laboratory created vaccines which are occurring today. For one thing, to add extra variables into an equation leads to more unknowns. When experiments are kept simple, then it is easy to find mistakes and errors in the hypotheses. With all these additional variables, how can you be sure which one has caused which effect on the test subjects? And furthermore, how can you be sure that it is safe to give these extra chemicals or elements along with the attenuated germ cultures? Have you tested them individually? How did you arrive at your conclusions?

In Pasteur's day, one other scientist had tested anthrax on sheep over 500 times in his experiments, and he was no closer to solving the problem than when he had started. When Pasteur announced success, of course he was viewed with skepticism. It is right to be skeptical until a thing is proven true. We do not appear to have a skeptical audience today in America. With Pasteur and his success, along with the vaccines for polio and smallpox, we have come to trust vaccines in all forms. But not all vaccines are the same. Not all vaccine ingredients are the same. Where are the tests that prove each of these additional ingredients are safe to use in the human body, and at what levels? You may be as surprised as I was as to the answer. There aren't any. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #8). The "scientists" of today just added these ingredients at will and without experimental verification that they were safe to use. Again, what is happening today is not "science." It is more like trial and error.

To prove to the American people these vaccines are safe, each one of these individual vaccine ingredients should be tested for safety. Currently, there is no requirement that this is done. The FDA approves vaccines as a whole and there is no requirement for

individual vaccine ingredients to be tested for safety. This is how we ended up with formaldehyde in our vaccines. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #8). If it's good enough for corpses to be preserved, then it must be good enough for the living to take within vaccines. Such is common sense in the scientific community today.

When the vaccines were fresh, and used live attenuated germ cultures of the disease, they worked. They provided immunization with the least amount of danger to the test subjects, and they had no added chemicals. But we have strayed far from what Louis Pasteur showed us. We wanted to store these vaccines to be used whenever we wanted or needed them. This created the need for preservatives in the vaccines. Then often we could not stabilize these compounds so that the solution components were evenly distributed throughout the mixture, which created a need for stabilizers. Adjuvants came about as a solution to dead germs being used in vaccines, and not being as effective as live attenuated germs. Adjuvants are metals like Aluminum, which is seriously toxic to the human body, and is used in vaccines because it acts like an amplifier for the vaccine ingredients. Louis Pasteur did not know about any of these additional vaccine ingredients in his day. Each of them adds unknowns to the safety of the vaccines which are currently used on our infants and children, and also are found in adult vaccines. And none of them were tested for safety on an individual basis.

An example. How about Sodium Borate, also known as Borax? Yes, this is the same chemical which is used as a laundry detergent and as a pesticide. No food producer is allowed to use this chemical as a food additive due to being toxic, but it can be found in two vaccines. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #8). You are not allowed to include this chemical in food products, and therefore can't eat it, but injecting it directly into your veins is considered acceptable by the FDA. This is another example of a toxic ingredient which has not been tested for safety in human subjects. In some states your child will not be allowed to attend public school if they haven't injected a vaccine which includes this pesticide as recommended by the CDC.

This is the “science” of today. This is outrageous. But where is the outrage of the American public? Much of the American public seems to be asleep at the wheel of life as these dictates from above ordered us to take these CDC recommended vaccines. Perhaps our cell phones kept us too occupied to pay any attention.

### **2.13 The KISS Principle. Multiple Vaccine Shots in a Day. And Multivalent (Combination) Vaccines.**

Louis Pasteur was a simple man, and he wanted to keep things simple. Simply made experiments are simple to prove. He would have been an advocate of the KISS principle, which means “keep it simple, stupid.” He would not have approved of multiple vaccine shots to be given on one day, because if there is an adverse reaction, then how could you tell which vaccine caused the problem? In similar fashion, he would not have approved of multivalent vaccines, which contain more than one vaccine in a shot. The reasoning is the same. If there is an adverse reaction, then how can you tell which vaccine component caused the reaction? Perhaps this is exactly the reason and the purpose of combination (multivalent) shots, and the recommendation to give multiple shots in a day, so that Big Pharma can hide the truth concerning the vaccine injuries and deaths from people and create confusion as to their potential causes. Pasteur and his methods have ceased to be followed in a world driven by for-profit medicine. Money, instead of health, has replaced the goal in medicine. We need to move back to simpler and safer science and get away from the reckless methods these modern “scientists” advocate.

Step back and think about the multivalent/combination shots for a second. QuadriGen comes to mind. QuadriGen had 4 vaccines within it, and caused many injuries and deaths, and was removed from the FDA approved vaccines list. Then there was the DPT vaccine. DPT vaccines were often in the news and were suspected of causing autism, sudden infant death syndrome, encephalitis, and other health problems. DPT vaccine was the main reason the vaccine laws were changed in 1986, in response to a 1982 documentary: “DPT: Vaccine Roulette” and also due to the book

*DPT: A Shot in the Dark.* These information sources raised public awareness of the problems with the DPT vaccines at that time, and they forced a change in law and health policy. The American government and its agencies still deny that this original DPT vaccine caused any health problems, but they quietly and stealthily switched over to the much safer Japanese developed DPT vaccines in the early 1990s. And then there was MMR, another multivalent vaccination with three vaccines within it. MMR is suspected of causing many injuries, deaths, brain injuries, and autism. Let's face the facts. Multivalent shots have not historically had a good reputation. If we used the KISS principle, and kept things simple, many of the problems, injuries, and deaths we have had would not have occurred. Louis Pasteur would have objected to these multivalent shots. There are too many variables which can affect their safety and effectiveness.

## **2.14 Covid Vaccines.**

The covid vaccines. Their use was a crime against humanity. Big Pharma and the scientific community only saw money as their end goal. Where were the real scientists who advocated for prudence, time, more testing, and caution? These honest scientists who protested the use of these unproven experimental vaccines on the public were silenced as the mob mentality, government control over the media, and false information were spread in order to mandate people at large to take "the jab" or lose their jobs. And what were the ingredients of these covid vaccines? Oh, nothing of note the Big Pharma "scientists" said. But possibly the covid vaccines, using new mRNA technology which had never been tested for safety in humans, could alter your DNA at a subatomic level, with future side-effects being unknown. So, in other words, there is nothing to see here. *Just take the vaccines, believe the "science," and shut up. This is the new and improved modern scientific method.*

## 2.15 Hospitals, Surgery, and The Germ Theory.

What can you teach a man who knows everything? Nothing. There is no more to teach him. Such was the state of mind of the medical community when Louis Pasteur had concluded his experiments and proofs concerning spontaneous generation. For Pasteur, the success of his experiments was proof that something cannot come from nothing, and he expanded upon what this proof in other fields of study meant, and this included medicine. But the doctors did not want him to come into their field of expertise and to meddle in things they thought he did not know nor understand. After all, he was not a doctor, and he had no training in medicine. He was a chemist.

“... the majority of physicians and surgeons considered that it was a waste of time to listen to ‘a mere chemist,’ ... ”<sup>27</sup>.

He had expanded his theory regarding spontaneous generation and indicated that there must be tiny particles in the air floating all around us, and that some of these particles contained the germs of disease. If left sitting for a long time, he postulated that the medical tools and instruments would be covered in this dust and should be cleaned, or it could contaminate their patients and lead to further disease, health problems, and deaths. He advocated for clean and sterile conditions in the operating rooms, and explained how disease could be carried from one place to another by this dust in the air or by people touching things. He therefore encouraged doctors to wash their hands in between patients, and claimed that if they did not, that they could spread disease from one patient to the next.

“The correlation is certain, indisputable, between the disease and the presence of microorganisms.”<sup>28</sup>.

The response from the doctors was unexpected. You would think that a man who comes and proves his theory, and then shows how you can save lives and help others with this theory would be treated with respect. This is not the reception Pasteur received from the doctors and the medical community at large. They simply laughed at him and told him not to meddle in things he did not understand.

During this time, anesthesia was invented. Now the doctors were able to perform their surgeries while the patient was unconscious, and undisturbed by pain. This enabled the surgeons to perform operations and take their time, to be precise in their surgical methods, and finish their work on the patient without the patient squirming or writhing in pain. The surgeries during this time may have proven to be a success, but the aftermath of the surgery was not. The vast majority of patients died following surgeries due to post-operative infections.

“But at that very moment when the surgeon’s art was emboldened by being able to disregard pain, it was arrested, disconcerted, and terrified by the fatal failures which supervened after almost every operation. The words pyemia, gangrene, erysipelas, septicemia, purulent infection, were bywords in those days.”<sup>29</sup>.

Although Pasteur may have been the butt of many jokes for doctors during his life, not everyone was laughing at his theories. In fact, some had listened intently and applied his methods to their professions with some success. Joseph Lister was a surgeon from Britain who paid outspoken praise to the work of Pasteur, and thanked him, as an excerpt from the following letter addressed to Pasteur indicates:

“Allow me to take this opportunity to tender you my most cordial thanks for having, by your brilliant researches, demonstrated to me the truth of the germ theory of putrefaction, and thus furnished me with the principle upon which alone the antiseptic system can be carried out...”<sup>30</sup>.

At the end of the letter Lister invited Pasteur to visit him at the hospital where he did his surgeries, to see how he had used the science that Pasteur had conferred on him in his practice of medicine. As with any new theory, Lister met with violent criticism and ridicule.

“People turned into ridicule Lister’s minute precautions in the dressing of wounds... and those who lost nearly all their patients by poulticing them had nothing but sarcasms for the man who was so infinitely superior to them.”<sup>31</sup>.

Lister, with his calm assurance of being in the right, calmly accepted the criticisms in patience. He let the other doctors talk while he went on perfecting his newfound methods to save lives.

“No one, however skeptical, whom he invited to look at his results, could preserve his skepticism in the face of such marked success.... Lister took pleasure in declaring that he owed to Pasteur the principles which had guided him.”<sup>32</sup>.

No matter how many lives had been saved, no matter how favorable the statistical success looked for Lister’s surgeries compared with his contemporaries, no matter how often Pasteur’s theories had been repeated, confirmed, and re-proven, there were still those who were not convinced, and did not want to change with the new knowledge which was re-shaping medical science and especially the practice of surgery. To these naysayers and scoffers, time stood still. One surgeon, in the Academy of Science, almost 10 years after Lister had been applying Pasteur’s methods successfully in his operations, rose and voiced his opinion on the germ theory:

“That theory, in its application to clinical surgery, is completely unacceptable.... The original purulent infection, though coming from the wound, was born... within the patient, and not outside him... originally, before the propagation of the contagium germ, a purulent infection was spontaneously produced and developed... that is why I oppose the extension to surgery of the germ theory which proclaims the constant exteriority of that principle.”<sup>33</sup>.

Needless to say, Pasteur rose to his feet, and defended his theory based on 20 years of studies, proofs, and experiments. To keep hearing people bringing back to life the dead theory of spontaneous generation was simply too much for Pasteur to bear, and he let his thoughts be known with passion and fervor and exclamation. When will the final nail be placed in the coffin of this disproven

spontaneous generation theory once and for all? He must have wondered.

Following this doubt of the benefit of his germ theory of disease, Pasteur thought it would be fitting to give an exposition to the doctors and surgeons on how to maintain antiseptic conditions in their hospitals and operating rooms. He left no stone unturned in his quest to enlighten these doctors, because lives could be saved by these efforts. He spoke of germs being scattered everywhere in the hospitals, and warned of the dangers inherent within these germs. He went into elaborate details regarding cleansing hands, cleaning instruments, heating and sterilizing sponges and bandages, boiling water, and taking extreme care in the minutest of things. It is to Louis Pasteur we owe many thanks for attaining antiseptic conditions in the operating rooms and constant vigilance against the possibility of contamination by germs in the air and surroundings.

“He came down to the smallest details, seeing in each one an application of the rigorous principles which were to transform Surgery, Medicine, and Hygiene. How many human lives have since then been saved by the dual development of that one method!”<sup>34</sup>.

## **2.16 Puerperal Fever, Bacterial Infection After Childbirth.**

Change comes slowly in medical science, as people are slow to adopt new thinking. Women were dying after childbirth, many women. Epidemics were taking place in the hospitals where women were to give birth, and these were often looked at as places of death, and not so much as of new life. Pasteur wondered as to the causes of death in these places and set out to see if he could be of help.

“Might not the application of his theories to the progress of surgery be realized in obstetrics? Could not those epidemics be arrested which passed like scourges over lying-in hospitals? It was still remembered with horror how, in the Paris Maternity Hospital, between April 1 and May 10, 1856, 64 fatalities had taken place

out of 347 confinements. The hospital had to be closed, and the survivors took refuge at the Lariboisiere Hospital, where they nearly all succumbed, pursued, it was thought, by the epidemic.”<sup>35</sup>.

Such instances of widespread death among women in these maternity hospitals was not uncommon. Many more instances of these epidemics claiming the lives of many new mothers were reported. Hospitals were repeatedly closed, then cleaned up, then had to be closed again after a time. The doctors and nursing staff did not know the cause, or what to do about improving the situation so that these problems did not arise again.

“Under the influence of causes which escape us,’ wrote M. Leon La Fort about that time, ‘puerperal fever develops in a recently delivered woman; she becomes a center of infection, and, if that infection is freely exercised, the epidemic is constituted.’”<sup>36</sup>.

Even though Pasteur’s methods and scientific theories had been professed throughout France and all the world, still the hospital staff and the scientists at his Academy of Medicine were dumbfounded as to the cause of deaths in these hospitals, and what to do to prevent them in the future.

“Pasteur,’ wrote M. Roux,’ does not hesitate to declare that the microscopic organism is the most frequent cause of infection in recently delivered women. One day, in a discussion on puerperal fever at the Academy, one of his most weighty colleagues was eloquently enlarging upon the causes of epidemics in lying-in hospitals; Pasteur interrupted him from his place. ‘None of those things cause the epidemic; it is the nursing and medical staff who carry the microbe from an infected woman to a healthy one.’ And as the orator replied he feared that microbe would never be found, Pasteur went to the blackboard and drew a diagram of the chain-like organism, saying: ‘There, that is what it is like!’ His conviction was so deep that he could not help expressing it forcibly.”<sup>37</sup>.

“What struggles, what efforts, were necessary before it could be instilled into every mind that a constant watch must be kept in the presence of those invisible foes, ready to invade the human body through the least scratch – that surgeons, dressers and nurses

may become causes of infection and propagators of death through forgetfulness!"<sup>38</sup>.

It was a constant struggle. A struggle against laziness. A struggle against arrogance: 'Imagine a mere chemist telling us what to do!' A struggle against habits developed over a lifetime, and passed down as gospel by those who taught them: 'We have always done it this way.' A struggle against what was accepted at the time. A struggle against the old theories: 'These microbes spontaneously appear and are the cause of the contagion.' A struggle against a new way of thinking about things. A struggle against other doctor's alternate theories about what caused these epidemics. It was a struggle fought alone by Pasteur, with only truth as his faithful companion.

His goal was to save lives. His goal was to ensure that mothers were able to live and hold their babies in their arms, and to not be torn by death from their newborns. His goal was preservation of life and family. He was a husband and father. He knew the value of a newborn in a family. He knew of love and the ways of the world. He wanted to help others have a family life uninterrupted by avoidable death, a life full of joy and the laughter of children.

"I shall force them to see; they will have to see!' he repeated with a holy wrath against doctors who continued to talk, from their study or at their clubs, with some skepticism, of those newly discovered little creatures, of those ultra-microscopic parasites, trying to moderate enthusiasm and even confidence."<sup>39</sup>.

It took many years, but eventually all eyes in the medical community were made to open. Pasteur's methods of cleanliness were in time adopted and accepted as the tried-and-true way to prevent puerperal fever from developing into an epidemic ever again. Clean hands, clean linens, clean instruments, boiled water, washing hands between patients; all these became the newly accepted and standard norms in maternity hospitals after much wasted time explaining to these doctors repeatedly what was wrong and how to fix it. *Cleanliness in detail has saved more lives over time than any*

*other medical intervention.* Thanks be to Louis Pasteur for leading us and showing us the proper ways. Years went by and eventually he obtained the praise he deserved.

“Physicians in their turn desired to celebrate and to honor him, who, though not a physician, had rendered such service to medicine... Since the antiseptic method had been adopted in surgical operations, the mortality had fallen from 50 per 100 to 5 per 100... In the lying-in hospitals, more than decimated formerly (for the statistics had shown a death-rate of not only 100 but 200 per 1000), the number of fatalities was now reduced to 3 per thousand and soon afterwards fell to 1 per 1000.”<sup>40</sup>.

These statistics did not lie. Louis Pasteur and his methods saved countless lives during his lifetime, and he graced us with the ability to save many more lives throughout all future generations. We all owe Louis Pasteur a perpetual debt of gratitude.

## **2.17 Doctors and the Practice of Medicine Today.**

If there is anything that can be said about people in general, and this includes doctors, is that once a belief in something has become widely accepted, it is hard to change this thought, even if it is erroneous and lacks common sense. The belief in spontaneous generation lasted thousands of years, and it led medicine and doctors to believe “There’s nothing we can do. The bacteria just spontaneously developed at the site where we did the operation, or in the birth canal, and then the patient died.” The thought process has gone from “There is nothing we can do” to the far extreme other side “There is always something we can do.” And today in this world, that something is to push vaccines and drugs.

We have gone far and away from the Hippocratic Oath which states “First, Do No Harm.” Now the doctors have become vaccine and pill pushers of the first order. If you don’t think this statement is true, just turn on commercial television today for 10 minutes and watch the advertisements. This in and of itself should offer the proof necessary to demonstrate the validity of the fact that drugs and vaccines are overprescribed and have become too commonplace.

We are taking too many vaccines and drugs today. Many of these recommendations for vaccines and drugs have not come about through a scientific thought process. This process has come about as the result of doctors following what are the standards today in health care, without really thinking about what is best for the patient. They have fallen into the same trap as they did in Pasteur's time. It is a trap of believing they know the answer, even if the answer is really a lie or is based on a standard practice or an unproven theory. "This is what we do. This is how we practice medicine today. We push drugs and vaccines." This trial-and-error practice of medicine continues on its course like a slow-moving train crash that one can do nothing about. We all see where it is heading, but it is too big of a train to stop in its tracks.

In the days of yesteryear, when doctors had more honesty with themselves and their patients, and they really didn't know the best course of action to take for the patient and their condition, the doctors would simply recommend "Eat some chicken soup, and get some rest, and then see how you feel." Often the patient's conditions would clear up of their own accord because the body is extremely effective at healing itself, if given enough time and rest. At that time, the doctors really were advocates of the "First, Do No Harm" mantra. Now, they generally will not let you leave their office without prescribing some kind of drug for you. Doctors have become medical interventionists. They no longer give the body a chance to heal itself. The same is true of many patients, who feel they haven't been served well if they leave the doctor's office without a prescription of some kind in their hand.

It gets worse the more you analyze the changes that have occurred over the years. Back in the 1970s there were relatively few vaccines given to the children, and the children were healthy. All children ate P&Js at this time. Now, it has become rare to hear someone say "P&J." A P&J is a peanut butter and jelly sandwich. Why has this been removed from our common lexicon? Because there are so many children who are now allergic to peanuts and other nuts. All the signs for this point to an overprescription of vaccines on the

young, and at too early of an age, before their bodies and brains even have had a chance to mature. This overuse of vaccines appears to be causing the multitude of allergic reactions we are dealing with today.

50 years ago, we did not have many nut allergies. ADHD and Autism were unheard of. Ear infections and allergic conditions were relatively rare at this time, but now they are commonplace. Many doctors even will tell you that eczema, rhinitis, and other allergies are normal, and then they will tell you how to deal with these “normal conditions.” This new normal is not something we have to accept or tolerate. If we see patterns, and “science” is based upon pattern recognition, then we have a responsibility to change these patterns.

The practice of medicine has changed since Louis Pasteur was alive, but people’s characters have not changed that much, and this includes doctors. They have received their medical training, which is rather long and expensive, and when they graduate and become doctors, they believe they are ready to tackle the problems that their patients have. But they do not realize they have been jaded, manipulated, and indoctrinated into beliefs that make no sense. They still may have an air of superiority just as did the doctors Pasteur was screaming at and encouraging to wash their hands in between patients to save lives, often to no avail. The doctors of today are no better at listening and truly understanding medicine and science than those in Louis Pasteur’s day. They tend to blindly accept today’s reality as the accepted practice of “modern medicine.”

The body has an incredible ability to heal itself if we give it time, rest, and proper nourishment. How many nutritional courses have the doctors had in their long years of studying? Zero. A veritable goose egg of nothing. Food can be one of the best medicines doctors can recommend, but they have no training for this. If the body has the proper building blocks it has a decent chance of correcting its own malady. If the answer can’t be found in food, then supplementation with vitamins and minerals would make a lot of sense. But the medical training of doctors today seems to go

against this thought process. I suppose the question is: “Where is the profit in recommending vitamins, minerals, and proper nutrition?”

You know this story I am about to expand on if you have lived long enough. You or a person you know had high blood pressure, or some other issue that was identified by the doctor. The doctor recommends a pill for high blood pressure. This causes a problem with the liver. Then you need a pill for the liver. This causes a problem for the kidney. Then you need a pill for the kidney, and so on until you are taking a dozen or more pills that are having only God knows what kind of effect on your internal organs. This is not medical “science.” It is a trial-and-error system of medicine based upon medical interventions with the goal of pushing more pills and vaccines on an unsuspecting public. I say unsuspecting public, but honestly the doctors are clueless as to what is really happening as well. They are merely pawns in this current game of medicine which is designed to fatten the pockets of Big Pharma. They are willing dupes who do as they are told. Since what they are doing is the standard for their industry practice, they are not really to blame. Just like the doctors in Pasteur’s time, they are blind to the obvious truth of what is happening. They have become vaccine and pill pushers for Big Pharma.

“Would you like to get that flu shot today?” My own doctor told me that he was required to ask this question to each of his patients. It was part of the clinic’s requirements. It’s kind of like fast food restaurants asking if you want fries or a drink with your food. It is all about profit generation.

Insurance companies and/or Big Pharma companies pay local doctor’s offices to push and promote vaccines. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #1). This is an obvious conflict of interest, and this practice should be stopped. But the money is just too nice. When the paychecks come from Big Pharma or the insurance companies, they don’t care. This money spends just like any other kind of money, so why not take it? Doctors and their offices should not take

this money because it is their patients who they should be serving, not Big Pharma or some insurance company. Just because every other office is taking money to push pills or vaccines on people and children does not make it right. Doctors tend to justify taking this money to themselves. They are not only deceiving themselves that they are doing the right thing by pushing drugs and/or vaccines on their patients, but they are deceiving their patients as well.

Why do kids have so many health problems today when they didn't 50 years ago? We suspect the reasons. We have seen the patterns. Autism was 1 in 10,000 back in 1970, and now it is less than 1 in 50. What more needs to be said than this? Let's have some common sense. Big Pharma has big money and is paying for commercials on TV and the internet. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #14). TV news anchors don't want to bite the hand that feeds them and pays for advertising, so they advocate for Big Pharma and their products. The government and Big Tech have colluded to block information which is against Big Pharma and its vaccines, and they also actively promote and advocate for Big Pharma and their products. As a result, we have a public which believes that the Big Pharma products are "safe and effective," and all these childhood problems are normal, or caused by genetics, or some other nonsense. What we can learn from Louis Pasteur is not only laboratory skill, but simply the common sense that comes from keen observation.

Children are being given too many vaccines before their young bodies and brains have developed. Children's brains and internal organs are not through their early developmental growth period until about the age of three years. Doctors should know this, but they are following the recommended guidelines set forth by the CDC. The current recommendations don't make sense. *Too many vaccines are being given at too young an age, and this is causing too many problems for too many children.* The patterns are obvious. The process needs to be reformed so that common sense may prevail.

I am not a doctor. Neither was Pasteur. Just because I am not a doctor does not mean I cannot recognize obvious patterns. When

you see something wrong in the world, you can either do nothing, or you can try to do something. So, I am trying. Pasteur was a scientist, a real scientist. What would he do or recommend if he were alive today? I think you know the answer. An experiment. A public experiment for all the world to see. Let the truth be known about what is happening to our children and let us prove it publicly and transparently. Let the experiment be set up, the methods and procedures, and the test results all be in the public domain so that these results can't be suppressed, doctored, and manipulated so that truth can be hidden. The people of this country suspect that the government and its regulators, and Big Pharma and Big Tech are all working together to hide or suppress information from the public so they can push their agendas, and these agendas include pushing Big Pharma's vaccines and drugs. If the government wants the public trust back, then they will have to earn it.

Big Pharma and Big Government do not want this public experiment to take place, because they know and fear what the results will prove. Unvaccinated children are healthier and have less health problems like allergies, autism, and neurodevelopment disorders than vaccinated children. Vaccination at too early an age and in too great an amount is causing most of these childhood maladies. They fear that these truths will harm the vaccination program. They are more worried about the vaccination program than they are the health of children. And worst of all, they fear that the flow of money into their accounts will slow or stop. This last fear is the biggest fear of all.

I am not an anti-vaxxer. How could I be with Pasteur being one of my biggest heroes? Vaccination has its place in society. It has just gone a little overboard. It is being overdone. It has lost the process of common sense. The goal is to have healthy children with no medical issues or mental problems. We have strayed from this goal, a lot. There is a time and a place for some of the vaccines, but not all of them, and certainly not as they are recommended by the CDC. And vaccines should be considered as they are listed, "a recommendation." There should never be a mandate.

It is no secret that our state and federal politicians are taking money from Big Pharma, and have thereby become their minions, and do their bidding. They no longer represent us, the common people, but rather the interests of Big Money such as Big Pharma. It is no wonder that politicians go into the swamp of Washington DC with little money in their pockets, but with a real intent to help people. Then they see the money and are tempted and justify in their minds they are doing rightly as they pocket millions of dollars from lobbyists and create a nice nest egg for their retirements. The common people and our interests become lost in the pursuit of this special interest money from lobbyists received by our own elected representatives. This process cannot be abruptly halted, but there are a few changes that could take place which would at least protect some of the interests of us commoners.

Likewise, it is no secret that our government regulators who are supposed to be watchdogs for the people of America have been corrupted. They are more like a watchdog who opens the door for the criminals and helps them carry away the ill-gotten goods. Who needs a watchdog like that? There is a magical revolving door that many do not see which exists between these government regulators and the Big Pharma manufacturers they are supposed to regulate. When someone leaves an important job for the FDA and CDC, they often have a nice paying job in Big Pharma waiting for them. This is not by accident. It is by design. It is the "I'll scratch your back if you scratch mine" motto. These people who are approving vaccines and drugs sometimes personally stand to benefit from these decisions. This is a blatant conflict of interest. There are too many conflicts of interest going on to keep track of. This whole setup appears to be nothing more than a money-making scheme with no real regulatory oversight. The goal is to keep the money flowing, as in the case of the covid vaccines, without regard for the health, safety and well-being of the public.

It is for this reason that I wrote this book. It is in the hope that some simple changes can take place. It is in the hope that sanity and common sense can be restored to the practice of medicine in this

country. But no man is an island. No one man can alter what is happening. These ideas will require effort to take root and grow. They need to spread and to branch out everywhere. Truth has been suppressed due to Big Pharma pursuing profits, and Big Tech and Big Government have become their minions and accomplices in this pursuit. Only by a concerted effort working together can we make changes for the benefit of society, and for the health and well-being of our people and our children. We need to make a wave that is high enough to create awareness of the problems and their potential solutions. And then we need to act. Then change for the benefit of our people and children can occur.

### **2.18 Hydrophobia. Or as we Know it Today, Rabies.**

Throughout history until Pasteur's time, rabies was a common terror and fear of all of mankind. A bite from a rabid animal could produce madness and great suffering in those who were bitten, and rabies always ended in a long drawn out agonizing and painful death. Rabies was a scourge of the world, and no more fearful words were uttered at the time than "Mad dog! Run for your lives!" When people heard these words, they immediately did run, because a mad dog appears to be crazed, with a wild look in his eye, often foaming at the mouth, and eager to lunge and bite at anyone in his path. These occurrences were not uncommon, and most people knew or heard stories about those who were bitten, and what was their terrible end. And the worst part of these stories, it seems, was that man's best friend, his own dog, could be converted from a loving obedient animal into a crazed wild animal when infected with this virus, and then kill his own master with one bite.

"The subject of hydrophobia goes back to the remotest of antiquity: one of Homer's warriors calls Hector a mad dog. ...Celsus... describes this disease... 'The patient,' said Celsus, 'is tortured at the same time by thirst and by an invincible repulsion towards water.' He counselled cauterization of the wound with a red-hot iron and also with various caustics and corrosives."<sup>41</sup>

“In 1852 a reward was promised by the (French) Government to the finder of a remedy against hydrophobia... Thus, after centuries had passed, and numberless remedies had been tried, no progress had been made, and nothing better had been found than cauterization, as indicated by Celsus in the first century.”<sup>42</sup>.

Pasteur’s studies had been building up to this point. Now was the time in his career and life that he felt it was right to pursue the study of using vaccines on people to help prevent and/or cure them of diseases which have plagued the earth for many centuries. He had conquered the major diseases that had plagued the animals and their farmers, and now he wanted to help mankind directly with his newfound methods of vaccines produced in the laboratory. What better disease to start with than rabies?

Testing his skills on animals was one thing, but humans was another. He approached this whole subject with fear and trepidation. But the subject he chose was a fairly safe one. A person infected with rabies had no chance of recovery. Death was always the outcome. Rabies had plagued mankind for thousands of years, and it instilled a great fear in mankind. When bitten by a rabid animal resulting in rabies, one would become a different person, and then would slowly go mad while suffering great pain and then die. Many of the scary books of the time were written due to this change of character which came about after being bitten by someone or something. *Dracula* was one of those books, and rabies was the disease from which the idea for this book sprung. The following is an example of rabies which Pasteur witnessed in a child:

“The unfortunate little patient presented all the characteristics of hydrophobia: spasms, restlessness, shudders at the least breath of air, an ardent thirst, accompanied with an absolute impossibility of swallowing, convulsive movements, fits of furious rage – not one symptom was absent. The child died... after horrible suffering – suffocated by the mucus which filled the mouth.”<sup>43</sup>.

As Pasteur voiced his hopes and dreams of conquering and curing rabies, many of the greatest minds in the field of medicine and science told him the great doubts that they had of a solution to this

problem. This disease had been with them for countless centuries, and the greatest scientific and medical minds throughout history had attempted in vain to conquer it, but to no avail. Louis Pasteur had heard similar doubts before, and he was determined to beat this disease. The skeptics and naysayers also returned in full force when they found out what was to be Pasteur's new focus of study, and as usual they predicted failure for him in this pursuit.

Pasteur ran into problems when tackling this very complicated question of (rabies) hydrophobia immediately. The hydrophobia microbes could not be seen, and therefore could not be isolated. He concluded that there was no doubt of their existence, but that they were just beyond the limits of human sight, even with the help of his microscope. Therefore, he would have to find other ways to solve this problem.

Through relentless experiments and observations over a couple of years, Pasteur was able to create an attenuated virus, and it had been proven repeatedly to be an effective vaccine for dogs. Many leaders from around the world impatiently waited for a vaccine which could be applied safely to a person infected with rabies. In reply to a letter from the Emperor of Brazil, Pasteur wrote:

"Until now I have not dared to attempt anything on men, in spite of my own confidence in the result and the numerous opportunities afforded to me since my last reading at the Academy of Sciences. I fear too much that a failure might compromise the future, and I want first to accumulate successful cases on animals... But even when I shall have multiplied examples of the prophylaxis of rabies in dogs, I think my hand will tremble when I go to Mankind."<sup>44</sup>

Then entered Joseph Meister into Pasteur's life. Joseph Meister was a young boy from a nearby province, and he had been bitten repeatedly by a mad dog while walking to school one day. Luckily for the young boy, a bricklayer saw the attack from a distance, and hurriedly ran to the scene and beat the dog off with an iron bar. The dog went back to his master, whom he then bit on the arm, and his master then shot and killed the dog. After an examination of the

dead dog, it was verified he was rabid. When the boy's parents had heard the story, they were seized with fear that the boy would come down with rabies. They took the young lad to a doctor, who advised the boy's mother to immediately set off to Paris to see Pasteur. The doctor told her that Pasteur was not a doctor, but that he was the best judge of what should be done in such a case.

"Pasteur's emotion was great at the sight of the fourteen wounds of the little boy, who suffered so much that he could hardly walk. What should he do for this child? Could he risk the preventative treatment which had been constantly successful in his dogs? Pasteur was divided between his hopes and his scruples, painful in their acuteness."<sup>45</sup>

Pasteur immediately consulted Vulpian, who was a fellow member of the Academy of Science, and who also was a doctor and specialist in the physiology of the nervous system. Pasteur also consulted other physicians, Dr. Grancher and Dr. Strauss, to receive more opinions as to the best course of action to be taken with the boy.

"Vulpian expressed the opinion that Pasteur's experiments on dogs were sufficiently conclusive to authorize him to foresee the same success in human pathology... If the almost certain danger which threatened the boy were weighed against the chances of snatching him from death, Pasteur would see that it was more than a right, that it was a duty to apply antirabic inoculation to little Meister... Vulpian and M. Grancher examined little Meister in the evening, and, seeing the number of bites, some of which, on the one hand especially, were very deep, they decided on performing the first inoculation immediately, the substance chosen was fourteen days old and had quite lost its virulence: it was to be followed by further inoculations gradually increasing in strength."<sup>46</sup>

Pasteur was anxious, as this was the first venture into treating humans with his vaccines. He relayed his fears and concerns to his wife, and also to his children, and they in turn were more concerned with their father, his health, and his state of mind. Pasteur had not been sleeping well and had been having nightmares regarding the

boy. He could no longer work on any of his many projects and laboratory experiments. His whole concern was with the young boy and his safety.

“Pasteur was going through a succession of hopes, fears, anguish, and an ardent yearning to snatch little Meister from death; he could no longer work. At night, feverish visions came to him of this child whom he had seen playing in his garden, suffocating in the mad struggles of hydrophobia, like the child he had seen at the Hospital Trousseau in 1880. Vainly his experimental genius assured him that the virus of that most terrible of diseases was about to be vanquished, that humanity was about to be delivered from this dread horror...”<sup>47</sup>.

Pasteur’s nights were troubled. But during the day he was able to observe little Meister as he played in the yard. The boy was overjoyed at feeling well again. Watching the boy in his merriment did not calm Pasteur’s anxieties.

“Cured from his wounds, delighted with all he saw, gaily running about as if he had been in his own Alsatian farm, little Meister... merrily received the last inoculation... Pasteur spent a terrible night of insomnia... Pasteur, losing sight of the accumulation of experiments which guaranteed his success, imagined the little boy would die.”<sup>48</sup>.

The boy did not die. In fact, he was in perfect health. The rabies vaccine was successful in preventing the boy from an almost certain and terrible death. The first ever vaccination of a human being by a laboratory attenuated virus was a success. What was next? What was Pasteur’s thought process? Of course, now that he had this treatment method for rabies, his thoughts became focused on how to save more lives. He began planning at once on the establishment of a clinic fighting against rabies. His friends rose to this challenge with him. In the Academy of Science, speaking on behalf of the doctors, Vulpian rose to his feet and addressed the assembly:

“Hydrophobia, that dread disease against which all therapeutic measures had hitherto failed, has at last found a remedy. M. Pasteur, who has been preceded by no one in this path, has been led by a series of investigations unceasingly carried on for several years, to create a method of treatment, by means of which the development of hydrophobia can infallibly be prevented in a patient recently bitten by a rabid dog... It is now necessary to see about organizing an installation for the treatment of hydrophobia by M. Pasteur’s method. Every person bitten by a rabid dog must be given the opportunity of benefitting by this great discovery, which will seal the fame of our illustrious colleague and bring glory to our whole country.”<sup>49</sup>.

“But while M. Bergeron shared the admiration felt by Vulpian and Dr. Grancher for the experiments which had transformed the rabic virus into its own vaccine, other medical men were divided into several categories: some were full of enthusiasm, others reserved their opinion, many were skeptical, and a few even positively hostile.”<sup>50</sup>.

“As soon as Pasteur’s paper was published, people bitten by rabid dogs began to arrive from all sides to the laboratory. The ‘service’ of hydrophobia became the chief business of the day... Physicians came from all parts of the world asking to be allowed to study the details of his method...”<sup>51</sup>.

One day a little girl of ten years of age, Louise Pelletier, was brought to Louis Pasteur. She had been bitten by a rabid dog 37 days before. Pasteur looked with pity upon the child and considered that this case was hopeless due to the time which had already elapsed and told the parents as much. But they insisted on treatment anyway, and Pasteur could not refuse them due to their pleadings. Soon after the treatments were over, the child died. Despite Pasteur knowing this was a hopeless case, he was heartbroken by the death of this child, as all children were considered precious to him.

Even though this was a special case, and a hopeless one at that, it provided kindling for the fire of the naysayers. They ignored those who had been cured and focused on this one case which had been

hopeless from the beginning. Their alleged triumph against Pasteur was not long lasting.

“On March 1, he was able to affirm, before the Academy, that the new method had given proofs of its merit, for, out of 350 persons treated, only one death had taken place, that of little Pelletier. He concluded thus... ‘It may be seen, by comparison with the most rigorous statistics, that a very large number of persons have already been saved from death. The prophylaxis of hydrophobia after a bite is established... It is advisable to create a vaccinal institute against hydrophobia.’”<sup>52</sup>.

“Yet the method was about to meet with a few more cases like Louise Pelletier’s: accidents would result, either from delay or from exceptionally serious wounds. Happy days were still in store for those who sowed doubt and hatred.”<sup>53</sup>.

As a response to these doubters as to his methods, Louis Pasteur and those about him never thought to veil their doings in secrecy. Always out in the open. Always out in front of the public. “Let the truth be declared from the rooftops!” one may imagine Pasteur declaring. He was not one to shirk a challenge. Once the gauntlet of challenge was thrown before him, Pasteur always met it head on, and with full disclosure to the scientists and the public. *The truth need not ever be hidden from sight.* The naysayers still believed Pasteur and his colleagues were hiding the truth from the public. It is always the way with those of darker mind to condemn others of their own evil doings and thinkings.

“As it was insinuated that the laboratory of the Ecole Normale kept its failures a secret, it was decided that the *Annals of the Pasteur Institute* would publish a monthly list and bulletin of patients under treatment.”<sup>54</sup>.

There. All out in the open once again. When will the attacks stop? Pasteur must have thought. These attacks by the scoffers and naysayers were relentless. They kept coming back. It was almost like the scientists who clung to the spontaneous generation theory, still hoping decades after it was proven to be false that it may still

be proven correct. Whenever a genius like Pasteur rises, it rises high above the others in the same field of study, and the attacks from the mediocre are especially the greatest. Jealousy. Envy. Malice. Instead of vicious intrigues, why not do something useful and practical?

“... Pasteur received the report presented to the House of Commons by the English Commission after a fourteen months’ study of the prophylactic method against hydrophobia. The English scientists had verified every one of the facts upon which the method was founded... ‘It may therefore be considered as certain’ – thus ran the report – “that M. Pasteur has discovered a prophylactic method against hydrophobia which may be compared with that of vaccination against smallpox. It would be difficult to overestimate the utility of this discovery, both from the point of view of its practical side and its application to general pathology. We have here a new method of inoculation, or vaccination, as M. Pasteur sometimes calls it, and similar means might be employed to protect man and domestic animals against other virus as active as that of hydrophobia.”<sup>55</sup>

This study, produced by those outside of France, laid to rest any remaining doubts as to the efficacy of his methods and the proof of his discovery. It plainly stated that this laboratory method of attenuation of microbes Pasteur developed can be used to create other vaccines for other contagious diseases, and humanity and animals are all likely to benefit from this new discovery until the end of time. This was the dream of Pasteur. To help humanity with science and discovery. And his dream came true.

Near to the end of his life, the construction of The Pasteur Institute was completed. It was to be a place of innovation and discovery focused on the betterment of mankind. Donations flooded in from various countries of the world to show how much they had appreciated the great progress in science, which was wrought by the vision of one man, and built through the efforts of many who helped him in his work. Louis Pasteur was unable to speak at the ceremonial opening of The Pasteur Institute because his ability to speak had failed him. But he was able to write out what he wanted

to say, and his son read it for him. Part of this speech is appropriate to include here as a sign for our current generation, whose scientists seem to have lost sight of the true mission of science and progress for the benefit of mankind.

“The collaborators and pupils who are here share our scientific faith...’ He continued, as in a sort of testament: ‘Keep your early enthusiasm, dear collaborators, but let it ever be regulated by rigorous examinations and tests. Never advance anything which cannot be proved in a simple and decisive fashion... Worship the spirit of criticism... It is indeed a hard task, when you believe you have found an important scientific fact and are feverishly anxious to publish it, to constrain yourself for days, weeks, years sometimes, to fight with yourself, to try and ruin your own experiments and only to proclaim your discovery after having exhausted all contrary hypotheses.’”<sup>56</sup>.

To repeat: “*Never advance anything which cannot be proved in a simple and decisive fashion.*” This seems to be one of the many things which the scientific community of today is lacking. Scientists of today should place this statement in their offices and above their entry doors. The hypotheses that appear to be considered proven facts in today’s medicine need to be revisited, and the truth established by actual science, experiments, and studies. And these should all be in the full view of the public and the scientific community. Let the light of day shine on all the facts and allow true unbiased scientific methods to establish truths the entire world can see and benefit from.

## **2.19 Today in Science and Medicine.**

We have seen the life of Louis Pasteur. What a life it was. Dedicated to science. Dedicated to the people of France and the world. He truly was there to help, to be useful to others. Money was never a concern of his unless it meant getting in the way of doing more research to help more people. He was an inspiration for us, and a role model for those in science and medicine to follow. How can we compare what is happening within the walls of Big Pharma

companies, and in the scientific community of today with Pasteur, who was a real scientist? If anyone deserves to be the face of science, let it be Pasteur, because he has earned it.

When confronted with any rumors of wrongdoings, with suspicions that he deceived or lied to people to gain fame, money, or personal benefits of any kind, we have seen what Pasteur's response was to it. He opened his laboratory to the world, to the other scientists, so they could verify the results of his work. He opened his books and his logs of patients, so that anyone could verify his numbers and the truth of his assertions. When challenged to prove his theories and hypotheses in a public experiment, he boldly did so, and set up experiments which would leave no doubts in the eyes of the beholders. Even after these public demonstrations, the skeptics still came, and he was willing to repeat his experiments over again in order to repeat his successes, and to show the erroneous scoffers and scientific cynics in their own labs proof of the correctness of his hypotheses. He did this to save lives, to save industries, and to bring glory to his country of France.

What do we have in science today? We have a lot hidden from public view. If we ask for more information, we run into defenses like: "That is proprietary information." Or even worse: "Follow the science. We represent science. This is too complicated a science for people to understand. Just trust us and know our science is true science." This is blatant arrogance and condescension towards the public. What is it that Big Pharma and their scientists are hiding, and why? I think it is obvious to most people in this country what is happening. Big Pharma does not want us to know what is really happening in their "science" because their science is all about making money, and deception of the public and rest of the scientific community. The Big Pharma science of today does not appear to be about new discoveries to help people and the world, it all seems focused on profits. And people are being hurt and are dying in the process in a systematic way.

Take Vioxx for example. Admittedly by Big Pharma and the CDC tens of thousands of people wrongfully died due to this drug which

should never have been approved. Other sources indicate well over one hundred thousand people died (Cochrane Collaboration) due to this drug which was pushed on people even though the drug company and the government regulators knew there were problems with its safety. This is an example of modern Big Pharma “science.” Coverups, deaths, and scandals, all in the pursuit of money. And the bonus, no one is held accountable or responsible. No one is punished. No one goes to prison. The drug manufacturer simply must pay back part of its immense profits. Where is science? Where is truth? Where is justice?

I thought to compare Big Pharma to the mafia due to these crimes committed against humanity in the pursuit of money, and then I at once realized I would be doing a disservice to reputation of the mafia. At least with the mafia you know what you are getting. They are not trying to deceive you. If you are borrowing money from a loan shark and don't pay, you know what to expect. If you take illegal drugs, you know you are taking risks with your own life. If you are doing illegal gambling or soliciting prostitutes, you know the risks and consequences for your behaviors. With Big Pharma and the system of medicine and science today, you don't really know. The truth is more often than not hidden from the public view.

This is why we should bring all of this out in the open, for all the public to see. If you ask anyone on the street if they know what a placebo is, they will tell you yes, that they know what it is. What they don't know is that Big Pharma has changed the meaning of this very commonly understood word in order to fool the public, and chase profits and money. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #6). This is outright deception of the American public. This is a criminal offense. This is a violation of the *Nuremberg Code*, which clearly states that there should be no deception. Let us get back to true placebos and discard the false placebo practices which are currently in place and allowed by our government regulators.

The vaccine and drug trials are proprietary information of the drug companies. Again, this is no good. It is hiding the information from

the public. What is it that Big Pharma doesn't want the public to see? Frankly, it appears to be a lot. How they set up experiments. How they "proved" that these drugs or vaccines were safe and effective while using "false placebos". How they changed the experiments in mid-stream because the results were not going their way. How some scientists falsify information so that their benefactor or employer will be able to show the world the great promise this or that new drug or vaccine has for the world and its people. If these experiments were in the public eye, it would be a whole lot harder to get away with all these shenanigans and deceptions. The statement that people would not understand "the science" is a false statement. Any good scientist can make a person understand these things, unless there is some deceit going on. When things are made simple, then you know they are more likely to be valid truths of what is being proven. The more complicated things are the more likely there is deception.

When it comes to science and discovery, verification of the discovery or benefit of a new drug or vaccine by other scientists was a common practice in Pasteur's day and age. Once an alleged discovery came, the way the experiment was conducted was revealed, and all the information concerning the experiment was in front of the eyes of the public and scientific community. Therefore, these experiments were easy to repeat and verify. When other scientists had announced their "discoveries," Pasteur went to verify them in his laboratory only to find that oftentimes the scientists had made errors in the lab to falsely claim their discoveries. In this way, these false discoveries immediately became known and then reported. In today's world this is impossible. The experiments and the information from them are proprietary, as are many of the methods, as are the "placebos" used in their control groups. There are too many things hidden behind the veil of what is referred to as "science" today. What we see does not appear to represent science, but only the reckless pursuit of money at the health and expense of the American people. If they have nothing to hide, then let them bring it all out into the open.

When a man lays in bed with gangrene creeping up his leg and almost ready to take his life, the doctor would be required to ask the patient for permission to amputate the leg in order to save his life. Often doctors have had to utter these words: "If we don't take off the leg, your death will soon follow." This was a motivation for the man to save his life, and to give consent to the doctor to amputate the leg. Informed consent has always historically been seen as a right of an individual.

What is the mindset in our modern age? If you do not take this unproven experimental vaccine, you cannot keep your job, you cannot travel, you cannot attend public events, etc. It is ludicrous. It is insane where we have allowed ourselves to come. Now the scientific community orders us to take questionable vaccines that may injure or kill us, and their benefit is not proven in the least. Forcing the covid vaccines upon the people was a crime against humanity. And we appeared powerless to stop it. Informed consent should be the order of the day for all vaccines. It should be our choice, and never a mandate. Let us never allow this to happen again. Why did it really happen? The pursuit of money and power over the people appear to be obvious choices for the truth of what happened here during the covid episode.

What other things have our modern scientists and their "science" been keeping from us? Probably more than can be recited here. But let's give it a try anyway. They put chemicals in the vaccines that they have never tested for safety in humans. They even put chemicals they know to be toxic in the vaccines like sodium borate (borax), which is not allowed as a food additive due to its toxicity, but they allow it to be a vaccine ingredient, and injected directly into the bloodstream. (Chapter 3 and 4 Solution #8). They use formaldehyde in vaccines, which is the same thing used to embalm corpses. They use this newer mRNA technology within covid vaccines which the FDA labels as standard vaccine technology, even though it more closely resembles a gene therapy, and the long-term effects of this technology are currently unknown. They use a lot of ingredients in their vaccines which Louis Pasteur would

question them about, including cells from aborted human embryos and/or fetuses. What were the safety studies of these ingredients on humans? Why was it necessary to use them? At what levels do these ingredients become toxic to the human body? And to all of these questions, the modern scientific community would offer one answer: “We have no idea.” The scientists of today never tested these individual vaccine ingredients for safety, so truly they do not know what the safety of these vaccine ingredients are. We have come a long way from Hippocrates motto: “First, Do No Harm.” Now the motto appears to be: “First, focus on how much money we can make.”

Do you see how careful Pasteur was when it came to mankind? He was careful, cautious, and his heart and hands trembled at the thought of trying his vaccines on people. Nowadays in the “scientific community” there is a different mindset. These scientists and doctors promote vaccines and drugs at the slightest glint of hope it may cure something or be good for something. They are not trembling at the prospect of experimentation on man, they are eager to try new or different vaccines and drugs, even if it may result in unnecessary injuries and deaths. Doctors tinker with people by using these drugs and vaccines in this trial-and-error methodology of modern medicine. This appears to be because there is no way they will get into trouble for this experimentation.

Once the FDA approves a vaccine, then Big Pharma can order its lapdog, the CDC, to recommend vaccinations with their new vaccines with no liability for the manufacturer or to those who administer them. After FDA approval, Big Pharma and the medical doctors who administer vaccines have almost a complete blanket liability protection from lawsuits. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #12).

Drugs which are approved are a little different. Plaintiffs can sue the pharmaceutical company directly for drug injury or death. If found to be causing injuries and/or deaths, the Big Pharma companies may have to pay out a small portion of their profits. So really, once these things are FDA approved, Big Pharma can gamble with other people’s lives without fear of real penalty or retribution, and no

chance of prison time. This process appears to be all about making money. Where is true science? Where is justice?

We have a mess on our hands today. How did it come to be this way, especially after a man like Louis Pasteur showed us the means and the methods to safely promote scientific progress for the benefit of mankind? Pasteur was calculated and slow when he had a theory or a hypothesis in his hands. Today we act on hypotheses as if they are proven. And not only this, but Big Pharma and our own government forced people to take vaccines which were not even proven to be safe nor effective for what they were supposed to protect us against. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #15). If Pasteur is watching all of this, as I am sure he is, he is in utter disgust as to the “modern” methods and practices of “science”, which are not based on science at all. It is more like a system of guesswork, based upon the method known as trial and error, and the entire population are used as lab rats to conduct experiments. It has gone beyond ludicrous. It is criminal. It is a crime against science and humanity what is happening today.

Do you remember watching *The Wizard of Oz*? “Don’t pay any attention to the man behind the curtain.” The making and testing of drugs and vaccines today is eerily similar to the scene from this story. Today the public is kept in ignorance. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #7). We do not get to see how Big Pharma sets up their experiments. We do not get to see how they arrive at their conclusions. We only get the results after their laboratory tests and trials have been completed, and we are assured by our regulatory agencies that the results from these tests have proven these vaccines and/or drugs are safe and effective for use in the public. Big Pharma hides all their testing and conclusions behind the veil like the wizard, only releasing information that supports their hypotheses, vaccines, and drugs. This is unacceptable.

In Louis Pasteur’s day, he would demonstrate the proofs of his methods in public, for all the world to see. The people and scientists demanded proof, and he was more than happy to oblige. Today, we

want to see proof of the studies that were done on vaccines and drugs, but we are told these are mostly “proprietary information.” The Big Pharma companies only want us to see the studies that appear to prove that their medicines work and show that they cause little or no adverse effects. What they show us is often the results after much number crunching, manipulation, cherry-picking the data carefully, and then massaging the data. These drug and vaccine trials should be brought out in the open for all the world to see, just as it was in Pasteur’s time. If they want to invoke Pasteur’s name to demonstrate that what they are doing is right, and is based on “science”, then they should also invoke his methods of transparency to ensure that the Hippocratic Oath in medicine is being fulfilled. “First, do no harm.”

The name of Louis Pasteur has been invoked to do many things he would not approve of today. He was an exacting man. He was calculated. He left no stone unturned, and nothing to chance when he was investigating things. He often played the devil’s advocate with himself, taking the opposite side in debates with himself, just to ensure that the thinking he had on a subject, any subject, was indisputable and irrefutable. To prove a thing was correct, he did many experiments. Then when he was certain of what he had proven to himself in his mind, he would do the same experiments again, just to make sure that if anyone else took it upon themselves to verify his work, that they could, that they must, inevitably come to the same conclusions as himself. Nothing was left to chance.

Today, we do not find this same zeal for truth. We find a system that has been set up with his name as a sales point, only to find that the entire system which came to be was about making money and spreading oftentimes questionable or even dangerous vaccines to everyone, for almost every disease imaginable. Louis Pasteur must be rolling over in his grave seeing all this damage, destruction, death, and misery being spread unnecessarily, all the while his name is being uttered with reverence as the “Father of Vaccinology.”

When the child was brought to Pasteur that had been severely bitten by a dog with rabies, Pasteur was given permission from the

boy's mother to do whatever he could do to save the child's life. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #3). Pasteur verified that the dog was rabid, and also verified with two doctors that the child's chances of living were zero, barring some miracle. Louis Pasteur provided somewhat of a miracle with the first vaccine on humans for rabies. Pasteur knew that the material in the vaccine, although dangerous to humans, was certainly worth the risk, since otherwise the boy's chances of dying of rabies was 100%. The benefit versus risk was an easy assessment to make in this case. Conversely, in today's world, all one needs to do is utter the word "vaccine", and people, including doctors, feel that there is little risk and all benefit to administering one. This is a misguided notion, it is misplaced, and it is even absurd. Louis Pasteur would demand evidence that the rewards merit the risk in taking these vaccines. "Show me the proof! Provide me with the evidence," he would be heard saying if he were alive today.

During the weeks of treatment of this child, he did not sleep much. He had this child constantly watched and checked upon to ensure his safety. He cared. His intent, and the goal of his heart and soul, was to help humanity with his discoveries. And this being the first real test of a vaccine upon humankind, he worried first for the boy's life. Secondly, he worried that if the test was a failure, that his hard work may end up not benefiting mankind, and he could be labeled a murderer. This latter scenario was not outside of the realm of possibility, for even after all his great discoveries and advances for mankind, there was still a lot of jealousy within the scientific community, and a disbelief that a "mere chemist" could be solving one of mankind's worst maladies in rabies, after all the great minds in science and medicine had failed. The best idea that medical doctors in Pasteur's time could come up with was to cauterize the bite wounds with a red-hot iron on the skin, which was the same recommendation which had existed for thousands of years. Needless to say, that technique did not work.

And now, today, the message is one of perversion. The Big Pharma establishment and its minions in western medicine, now proffer the

advice that God made man incomplete, and in order to be made whole, that vaccination must be given to everyone, starting with hepatitis B vaccine immediately after birth. Can you hear that? Louis Pasteur is objecting from his grave not only to the stupidity of this assertion, but crying aloud in anguish and disbelief that his gift to mankind has been perverted in the pursuit of money. It has gone too far. Let us no longer blemish the life and name of a man who can be considered a saint in so many ways. He gave his life to science, and for the betterment of humankind. He wanted to preserve life, to make life better. He wanted to help the world with his techniques, innovations, and discoveries. A newly born child rarely has a need for a vaccine or a medical intervention of any kind. Mother's milk will provide the child with what it needs.

Are vaccines good for us? Have they helped the people of the US and the world? Yes, of course they have. But just as antibiotics use has gotten out of control, so has the practice of vaccination. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #13). We are not following the standards or methods of Louis Pasteur today. The medical systems in the world have replaced reason and science with the pursuit of money and profits. Louis Pasteur would be appalled at the misapplication of his methods in order to make money while vaccine overuse causes widespread suffering and creates new and unheard-of diseases that never existed before. Just as the overuse of antibiotics caused superbugs which cannot be cured, so too has the overuse of vaccinations produced widespread calamities in mankind including many of the diseases our children are suffering from today including ADHD, neurodevelopmental diseases, autism, eczema, and a host of allergic disorders.

Vaccination has been a blessing for mankind. Let us keep it so. By focusing on the *Hippocratic Oath* as a guide, it appears obvious that these vaccines have become overused, just as antibiotics are currently being overused. Rationality and common sense demand a new way of thinking about vaccines. A new paradigm must be put in place to ensure the safety and health of humankind. Let us keep Louis Pasteur's name and work in a positive light, and not besmirch

it and stain it with the tarnish and taint of this focus on money and power by Big Pharma. Louis Pasteur gave his life and work as a gift to mankind. Let us keep the treasure he gave to us, to treat it with the honor and respect that it is due, and to build upon it for a better future for all of humanity.

Change must come. Assuredly, it will not be a pretty sight at first, as Big Pharma fights to preserve their position, their profits, and their power. But in time Big Pharma will realize they should be beholden to the public and our support, and things may finally change for the better. Instead of Big Pharma telling Big Government and Big Tech what to do and forcing people to comply, perhaps they will be forced to listen to what the people of the country want them to do and open up science to the public and the scientific community for all to see, and to expose the truth to the light of day. And once truth reigns supreme, the deceptions will end, and the people and their children will be set free to live life as God meant it to be lived, in freedom, peace, harmony, and health. To be free, and to determine what is best for us and our children, without a cruel overlord telling us what to do, and without forcing us by mandate and compulsion. That sounds nice, doesn't it? Take the power currently held by Big Pharma and give it back to the people. *Of the people. By the people. And for the people. Let freedom ring.*

## **2.20 Test Subjects Under a Totalitarian Regime.**

When Louis Pasteur created vaccines, these were attenuated (weakened) disease organisms that the body could respond to, cause a mild illness at worst, and then you would have lifetime protection from the disease. No additional chemical ingredients were needed. These were the purest and therefore the safest vaccines ever produced, and we have slowly and steadily gone away from what he has shown us, to one of tinkering with the human body at the expense of the test subject.

And I do mean test subject and not patient, because the farther we stray from Pasteur and his methods, the more it seems we are just

doing grand experiments on humans and their bodies. When we first strayed from Pasteur and his methods, we created inactivated vaccines, which do not have any live or attenuated disease organisms. This led us to need all the extra chemicals we use as ingredients in the vaccines to make them work. Adjuvants like aluminum. Preservatives like formaldehyde. Stabilizers like polysorbate 80. Where are all the studies that prove these additional ingredients together or by themselves are not causing harm to people? There aren't any. We are all guinea pigs in their lab tests. Louis Pasteur would have approached these new ways, new methods, and new ingredients with great trepidation. But today's scientists do not seem to have the health of the people in their minds or hearts, and those who perish and/or are adversely affected for the rest of their lives are just considered to be collateral casualties to them. Their science, experimentation, and focus on money are more important than people's lives.

And now we have more experimental products to try on our guinea pig American public: mRNA technology. Where are all the test trials that confirm this new technology is safe?

Not only this, but Big Pharma and even our government itself hides information from the public. Sometimes it is even necessary to sue our own government and its agencies to get what should be public information released from our public domain websites.

Here is a title from a recent article in the Epoch Times concerning the covid vaccines and their related injuries and deaths, which kind of says it all. **“After 2-Year Legal Fight, CDC Releases 780,000 Vaccine Injury Reports from V-Safe System.”** Facts Matter. Epoch Times. 4-11-2024. And please note, they did not release all of the data. There were over 10 million reports made. They are releasing it in tranches and attempting to stretch this out as long as possible. Why? Probably because they are hiding something they do not want the public to see. Can you think of any other reason?

One headline within the above noted article from the released data by the Epoch Times was the following:

“Nearly 8 percent of the 10 million users required medical attention or hospital care after vaccination, and many others reported missing school, work, or other normal activities.”

The CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) was forced by a lawsuit to release this data, and it represents only about 10 percent of the total number of reports. They had previously requested to release this information after 75 years had passed. Now that is some real reluctance to release information to the public! With so much widespread injury, it is easy to see why they are reluctant to release any more. *“8 percent” with serious adverse events that required medical attention or hospital care is a very large number.* By any standard, this certainly appears to be enough injury and damage to the population to have warranted a halt to this giant vaccine trial, and to have recalled the covid vaccines which were causing this kind of damage to the health of the public. You can be certain Big Pharma and their CDC minion will fight not to release any more information. Hopefully, the information and the whole truth will come out soon so we can all know what happened in these covid vaccine trials, and what the aftermath could portend.

What kind of government withholds what should be public information from its own people? What kind of government forces its people to take an unproven experimental vaccine without their informed consent? The answer is a totalitarian form of government. This information should have been released as it came in, unadulterated and unfiltered, because this is how the system was designed to work. Excessive injury reports were to be an early indicator of things possibly going awry with a vaccine or a drug. But as is typical today, the government has brazenly shown their support and allegiance to Big Pharma and their products over the health and safety of the American people. They hid this data apparently so Big Pharma could keep on pushing ahead with its covid vaccine money making and promotion of these unproven experimental vaccines. This nonsense should end. The people of this country should not have been forced to take these unproven experimental vaccines. How can we have informed consent when

we are deprived of knowledge which should be public, and could affect our decision-making process?

## **2.21 Louis Pasteur Condemns Big Pharma.**

A typical sequence of events is as follows. Big Pharma vaccines are tested in the trials with false placebos, and they do not even bother to tell us what the false placebos were. They rig the test trials to get favorable outcomes so they can quickly begin making money on their new vaccine products. Big Pharma hides test trial results if they are bad. Or Big Pharma manipulates the data to make them look good. One way or another, they will provide the FDA with the right kind of information to get these products approved. Then the FDA permits these often questionable products to be used on the public. Then the CDC quickly encourages their use, and if possible, gets them on the recommended vaccine list. Then the lobbyists of Big Pharma have our elected representatives, which are minions of Big Pharma, pass legislation mandating these questionable vaccines upon our children. And then each generation of our children get more and more sickly with each new vaccine placed on the CDC recommended list. And the FDA, CDC, and Big Pharma scientists don't know why the children are becoming sicker with each passing year but say it possibly could be genetics. The lies have gone too far. It is time for this charade of malfeasance of duty by Big Pharma and our government agencies to end.

*Louis Pasteur Condemns all of this. **Louis Pasteur Condemns Big Pharma** and their current trial and error tinkering on human bodies based on hypotheses, and without proven and verifiable scientific facts. He condemns our government and its agencies for permitting this, and then promoting this. He condemns our elected officials for taking money from Big Pharma and their lobbyists to enact laws which favor Big Pharma and promote their vaccines. He condemns doctors and their offices for accepting money for promoting vaccines for their patients, which clouds their decision-making process and divides their interests. He condemns Big Pharma from hiding vaccine and drug trial information, from start to finish, while they declare it to be "proprietary information." He condemns*

mandating people to take unproven experimental vaccines which may cause injury or death. He condemns the scientists for bowing to pressure from above, valuing money and career over truth, and falsifying data and misleading the public so that Big Pharma can prosper and profit. Let us no longer allow these blatant conflicts of interest, lies, deceit, and scientific fraud.

## **2.22 What Would Louis Paster Do?**

If Louis Pasteur arrived on the scene of modern medicine today, what do you think he may say? If he were observing our recommended vaccine program of our infants and children, what would be his thoughts and recommendations?

He would likely say, "This childhood vaccination experiment is going rather badly, and children are being hurt unnecessarily. It is time to reassess our thinking on this and find a way, a proven way, that we can help children fight against infectious diseases that is safe and effective."

Louis Pasteur was a man who demanded proof. He wanted facts. He stated repeatedly that hypotheses are endless, and many are found to be worthless after attempts of experimental verification. And this is what he would demand. A test. A demonstration. Perhaps due to all the malfeasance of duty by Big Pharma and our government agencies, he would demand a Grand Test. To test the health of the vaccinated versus unvaccinated children. And he would do it in full public view, with full transparency, so that nothing could be hidden.

If it was found that our recommended vaccine schedule was causing more harm than good, he would discard it like an old rag, and he would likely exclaim, "What a waste! Wasted lives and wasted efforts which are injuring people and their children, all for the benefit of corporate profits and greed! For shame, for shame. It is a disgrace."

Louis Pasteur would then start the program fresh and anew. And he would do it in full public view. He would test the vaccine ingredients one by one for safety. He would be thorough in his analysis, and public with his tests and findings. He would remove false placebos from the trials and establish true placebos like saline solution or sugar pills as the only possible placebos allowed. Then he would do public testing on the vaccines themselves in full public view to establish their true safety and effectiveness.

Things have gotten so sloppy in today's world of vaccine and drug trials, that this re-testing of current allowed vaccines and drugs should be done. It is obvious that the FDA, CDC, and other governmental organizations related to drugs and vaccines have been compromised and cannot be trusted. The tests and trials which were recently completed (within the last 30 years) are highly suspect of fraud, lack of scientific integrity, and pressure from Big Pharma for pre-conceived results that cannot be trusted to represent truth or realistic scientific standards of any kind. These drugs and vaccines which fall into this time frame should be reevaluated for true safety and efficacy, with full disclosure and transparency to the public of how the tests were set up and the conclusions which were arrived at. *Transparency should become the new motto and paradigm of modern science.*

Louis Pasteur would insist on placing the labels of ingredients on the vaccines, providing this list to all vaccine recipients, and make sure that everyone knows the risk of taking these vaccines at the time or prior to the time of the administration, so that they have true informed consent. This would replace a hurried 5-minute office visit which currently does not include what could be considered "proper warning" of the dangers and risks of taking vaccines. If you go to the grocery store and buy food, the ingredients are on the label, and you can look at it and determine if you want the item for yourself and your family. This should be done with vaccines as well. Why do you have to go to an internet website to find ingredients of vaccines? This should be provided to you at time of the visit, or days or weeks prior to the vaccine, so that there is time for consideration

and true informed consent. What if you went to the grocery store and had to look up ingredients of the products each time and for each item when you went shopping? It would be inconvenient, wouldn't it? In the United States, the customer rules. This should be the case with vaccines as well, especially when you consider that vaccines can cause injury and death.

Perhaps the reason Big Pharma, the doctors, and the nurses make the ingredients more difficult to find is that the recipients of these vaccines would be more reluctant to take these products if they knew what was in them. Eating genetically modified organisms (GMOs) does not seem like such a big deal when you compare this with injecting formaldehyde and insect poison directly into your veins.

Louis Pasteur would retain what worked and which vaccines showed their risk versus reward profiles to merit recommendations. He would engineer the vaccinations for safety and time them so that the least number of injuries and deaths of children would occur. And this timing for the majority of the vaccines would likely come after the third year of age, when the children's bodies and brains are developed enough to handle such concoctions within their delicate systems. Louis Pasteur was a minimalist. He would only recommend those vaccines which were deemed necessary, because he knew and understood that vaccines can cause death and/or injuries.

After these things were done in full public view, then the public would know, understand, and trust these vaccines and drugs, and the experiments and scientific validity which were done to arrive at the conclusions. The people themselves would then have faith they were not simply being fooled, deceived, and forced to take unproven experimental products into their bodies against their will, as was the case recently with the covid vaccines. If the scientific community, Big Pharma companies, and the government want the people's trust and accolades, then give them something to be proud

of and cheer about. A system open to the public view would definitely accomplish this end.

This new system would therefore be able to focus on people and their health, rather than focusing on new drugs and vaccines quickly being made and revenue streams that can be achieved through these new products. The focus will have to change. *People and their health are more important than profits.* When this mindset is changed in the scientific community towards truth and integrity, then perhaps we can get back to the real business of scientific progress and breakthroughs for the benefit of humankind. This is all that Pasteur ever hoped for, to be useful and helpful to mankind.

Louis Pasteur was a family man, and the bond within the family was held as sacred. Not only this, but Louis Pasteur was respectful of people and their intelligence, and trusted that each person would make proper decisions for themselves and their families, if given adequate information.

People should be able to determine if they want to receive vaccines for themselves. Likewise, it is the parents who should make the decisions whether or not to vaccinate their young, based upon informed consent, and not the state, the government, or the pediatric office. *Louis Pasteur would be a proponent of Informed Consent, and he would be its strongest supporter. Informed Consent should become the law of the land and allow people the freedom to choose whether they should receive any vaccines, because the government is no longer protecting us.* We all realize we need to look out for ourselves and our families. There should be no consumer penalties for non-vaccination. Let the power of the people once again reign supreme, as it was intended by our founding fathers.

Louis Pasteur would never force anyone to take a vaccine against their will. That would be an overreach of authority. The people who wanted his vaccines for themselves and their livestock all requested the vaccines. The benefits of vaccination were provided to people by the scientific community and verified through time and trials. The

people therefore were able to get information readily concerning these products, and their benefits and risks.

Today it should be the same way. If people know the vaccines which are available, and understand their benefits and risks, they will come of their own volition and take the vaccines themselves or request them for their children. There should be no compulsion by the state to force vaccinations of any kind. If these vaccines are worthy of merit and consideration, and the people are given enough information concerning their risks and benefits, then the people will seek them out of their own accord and be able to decide whether or not the vaccinations are right for themselves and their families.

The people of the United States are intelligent people. Provide the information and allow them to decide. Vaccination should always be a choice, and never a mandate.

“With truth on your side there is nothing to hide.” – Your author

## **CHAPTER THREE – 16 SOLUTIONS**

**IN THIS CHAPTER ONLY, NO RIGHTS ARE RESERVED BY THE AUTHOR OR PUBLISHER.**

**Please copy whatever information in this chapter you like and pass it along. You may even pass along the entire chapter of this part of the book. These ideas need to proliferate and become known. Only with widespread knowledge of these solutions and their related problems can change come about. Awareness leads to change. Please help in this cause and pass these solutions to those you know. Help to bring about change which will be beneficial to the lives of everyone in the United States, and especially the children. To make this easier the disclaimer is included again here, so that this entire chapter of the book can be passed along in its entirety. Thank you for reading.**

### **DISCLAIMER**

**The author is not a medical doctor, is not trained in any related field of medicine, and has no work-related medical expertise. The information contained in this book and in *Chapter Three - 16 Solutions* is based upon the research and experiences of the author, is for educational and informational purposes only, and is not intended to be a substitute for medical care and advice. If you need medical care or advice, please seek professional guidance from your own healthcare provider. This book is sold, and *Chapter Three - 16 Solutions* are distributed with the understanding that the author and publisher are not providing medical, legal, or other advice to the reader, and that neither the author nor the publisher are liable or responsible for any injury, loss, or damage allegedly arising from this information. The views contained herein are**

**the author's opinions. It is still possible to have an opinion in the United States of America, one can only hope, without the constant threat of legal action taking place against them.**

## **VACCINES, DRUGS, AND HEALTHCARE IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY**

### **3.0 Lack of Transparency.**

After a chapter on Louis Pasteur and his approach to science, and study of his methods whereby he came up with theses which he then proved and/or disproved in the laboratory with his experiments, I realized the main problem with the modern scientific method. It is all hidden from public view. There is no transparency. Most of the information Big Pharma companies have due to their experiments is "proprietary information". And they will not share this information unless they are forced to share by law. They hide science from the people. It seems as if they hide science because they have many things to hide.

*Big Pharma is using false placebos in their drug and vaccine trials, as opposed to saline solutions or sugar pills. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #6).* Often in drug and vaccine trials Big Pharma does not reveal what these placebos were or what quantity of placebos were used. It is not possible to replicate a study without this basic information, and therefore not possible to verify the safety or effectiveness of these products. Most people in the United States do not know this has been going on for decades, and that the FDA and the CDC have known about this departure from the definition of "placebo." The FDA and CDC are therefore culpable in the "placebo" injuries and deaths which have occurred in the vaccine and drug trials. This deception by altering the definition of a word is a clear violation of the *Nuremberg Code*, and the people responsible for these crimes against humanity should be punished to the full extent of the law. Nazi Germany gave us a clear idea of what experimenting against people without their informed consent

was all about, and here in the United States today it appears to be a common practice.

*The statistics from these drug and vaccine trials or studies are labeled “proprietary information”* and the information given to the FDA for approval of their drugs and vaccines has been cherry-picked after significant analysis of the data. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #7). This data is often made up, altered, or manipulated in various deceptive ways. Some studies are changed or thrown away due to poor results without knowledge of this being shared with the public. Scientists doing the studies set up vaccine and drug trials with conditions favorable to Big Pharma whereby the vaccines and drugs appear to be acceptable and can be deemed “safe and effective” so the FDA can approve these products for public use. Statistics are often chosen based on subsets of even more subsets of data. Endpoints of the experiments are often changed to hide deaths and/or injuries which may have occurred during the original trial periods. Scientists sometimes falsify their data to please those that pay their bills, provide their grant money, or purchase their equipment for their lab or university. All these things and more are happening on a regular basis in today’s modern “science.” Of course, this is not really science at all. It is manipulation of the facts to make money and to deceive the public. This is what “modern science” has come to represent. Lies, deception, and corruption in the pursuit of money. They share with the public all that is good for their products and throw out or hide what is bad. This should not be allowed. We should bring transparency and let the light of truth shine on the trial information for all to see. Only in this way can we have true “informed consent” on what vaccines and drugs we choose to take.

*The ingredients in the vaccines have not been tested for safety.* (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #8). There is no law which forces Big Pharma to do this. They can put any chemical they want in the vaccines, and if the FDA approves the vaccine, then it is declared “safe and effective” by Big Pharma. This is how formaldehyde, sodium borate (borax), brewer’s yeast, and polysorbate 80 have all

been injected into our children's veins. If you can go to the grocery store and look at the ingredients on the side of the product packages, then you should be able to do the same at the pediatrician's office. After all, vaccination can cause deaths and injuries, so it would be prudent to be careful when considering these vaccine products. Why isn't the information concerning the ingredients easily available to us? Because Big Pharma wants to hide this information from us. They do not like transparency. An ill-informed public is a more easily exploitable public.

### **3.1 Corruption.**

Media corruption is rampant. It is everywhere. If you turn on the news, it is there, as the "news" is often paid for with Big Pharma money. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #11 and #14). Big Tech and their various forms of media are not going to bite the hand that feeds them. Deception and/or lack of disclosure is common in vaccine advertising. There is no law which mandates that vaccine commercials have to disclose their risks in advertising, because in this way vaccines are not treated as drugs. It is irrelevant for Big Pharma and government agencies that vaccines can cause injuries and deaths. The law currently allows for this difference in treatment between vaccines and drugs. Do you remember seeing any warnings on covid vaccine advertising when the government was pushing and promoting these unproven experimental vaccines for Big Pharma?

Political corruption is also rampant. The Pharmaceutical Lobby in Washington DC may be the largest, most powerful, and richest lobby in the world. Those we elected by and large have accepted money from Big Pharma and are minions which do their bidding. They propose and enact legislation which is sometimes written by Big Pharma to further promote vaccines and drugs and thereby fill the coffers of Big Pharma. This is not only on the federal level. It is widespread at the state level also. How do you think these state mandates to force children to take vaccines came into effect, thereby preventing those who did not take vaccines from going to

public schools? This is wrong. These vaccines are “recommended” by the CDC. They are not supposed to be mandated. But with the help of the law-making minions of Big Pharma at the state level, these things have become law. There should be no mandate for any vaccine today. All the existing contagious diseases in the United States are minor diseases, and do not warrant mandates. Government mandates in the United States came about originally due to smallpox, which kills 3 in 10 of those infected (See CDC website on smallpox). Smallpox has been eradicated from the earth, and these vaccine mandates should be eradicated as well. Nothing today is remotely close to the smallpox death ratio. If parents believe in the vaccines, let them voluntarily choose them for their children. Parents will choose to vaccinate their children if the vaccines are worthy of such merit.

### **3.2 My Personal Experience and Conclusions.**

*Too many vaccines with too many untested ingredients are being given too early to too many children and are causing too many problems in too many children.* The number of children adversely affected and varieties of childhood illnesses and diseases back the truth of this assertion. Fifty years ago, we never even heard of ADHD, autism, neurodevelopmental diseases, eczema, rhinitis, and widespread allergic conditions in the childhood population. Pattern recognition is a key component of the scientific method. And we certainly have patterns which are obvious based upon the health conditions of the childhood population since this time. There is no denying this. The difference since the 1970s has been the increased number and the earlier timing of the vaccines given to children. To recommend vaccination for hepatitis B at birth for all infants is on the top of the list of terrible ideas made by our government health agencies regarding vaccination policy.

Children’s bodies and brains are still in an early developmental stage of growth until at least the age of three. To inject infants with a variety of vaccines, which contain toxins and materials the body will recognize as foreign contaminants seems an ill-conceived “health” policy. Before the age of one, it is not recommended by our

healthcare organizations to allow a child to eat honey, because a child's system is not developed enough to handle "nature's perfect food." Currently, we are not only injecting infants with a plethora of vaccines in their first year but are starting them out with a vaccine on their first day on the planet. Is it any wonder why we have such rampant illness in the infant and adolescent population today? A little common sense appears to be needed here.

Healthcare has been perverted by Big Pharma and their reckless pursuit of money. The health of our children and our entire population have been adversely affected. Our lawmakers and our government agencies which are supposed to be protecting us and our health have received money from Big Pharma, and then passed laws and promoted vaccines to make Big Pharma richer and more powerful. Big Pharma has perverted the practice of vaccination and has exploited this scientific achievement for their own financial gain at the expense of the children's health and well-being. It seems the irony here is a well-planned irony. All the new diseases that have become commonplace in the last fifty years are treated with Big Pharma products to manage the children's maladies. It is a good profit strategy, isn't it? First, make children ill. Then sell them the drugs to treat their illness. From cradle to grave, a planned lifetime of profits for Big Pharma. This unfortunately appears to be what has happened and still is happening today.

Pediatric offices are receiving money from Big Pharma, insurance companies, and/or the government to promote vaccinations. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #1). This is a blatant conflict of interest. This corruption has gone too far. If you decide you don't want any vaccines for your child, or you decide to stop the vaccines due to a post vaccine reaction or illness you suspect may be due to the vaccines given, then the pediatric office may kick you out or turn you away from their practice. (Chapter 3 and 4 - Solution #2). This is unconscionable. But it is happening somewhere every day in our country. This is wrong. These offices should be there for their patients. They should not rule over us. Pediatric offices are businesses. As businesses, they should not be able to discriminate

against people due to their personal beliefs and choices. But yet they are. Businesses should serve their customers, right? Who are these pediatric offices really serving? Informed consent should be the law of the land, not the practice of discrimination against people who have alternate views regarding vaccination. Parents should have the right to determine what is right for their children. (Chapter 3 and 4 – Solution #3). Have people lost the right to make their own choices and have their own opinions?

### **3.3 Hope for the Future.**

Things can change, but it will take time, effort, and people who care about these issues and solving these problems to help make this change happen. I have researched this material, and I have come up with 16 solutions for problems which are related to vaccines, drugs, and healthcare in the United States. I have verified these existing problems with multiple sources and arrived at solutions to these problems. This list is by no means an exhaustive list of everything which should be done. It is, I believe, a good start to the changes in the system which should be implemented to improve medical science and healthcare in this country.

These 16 solutions could, and I believe should be stand-alone laws either on a state-wide basis or at the federal level. Some of these changes will not work only on a state level. For instance, making the definition of placebos clear as being only “saline solutions or sugar pills” would be a great new law. This would require a federal law to change this policy. Big Pharma will certainly oppose this change in law, and they will likely pay a large amount of money to our lawmakers to prevent this. We must find a way to overcome their lies, deceit, and corruption in politics, science, medicine, and healthcare. The obstacles for these changes are high, but they can be overcome.

Children are being poisoned, injured, and killed on a systematic basis in this country. And it is due to the pursuit of money by Big Pharma. Only in a concerted effort against Big Pharma can these crimes against humanity be stopped. The system must change.

People must come to realize what is happening. Awareness of these problems must become commonly known. We must create a wave that will sweep across the land and cleanse this healthcare industry from its current malfeasance of duty towards the people of this great country of ours. Please become a part of this wave of change.

It is for this reason that I wrote this book. It is in the hope that some simple changes can take place. It is in the hope that sanity and common sense can be restored to scientific research and the practice of medicine in this country. But no man is an island. One man by himself cannot alter what is happening. These ideas will require effort to take root and grow. They need to spread and to branch out everywhere. Truth has been suppressed due to Big Pharma pursuing profits, and Big Tech and Big Government have become their minions and accomplices in this pursuit. Only by a concerted effort working together can we make changes for the benefit of society, and for the health and well-being of people and our children. We need to make a wave that is high enough to create awareness of the problems and their potential solutions. And then we need to act. Then, hopefully change will come.

## 16 SOLUTIONS

**Solution #1. ELIMINATE PAYOFFS IN CLINICS TO PROMOTE VACCINATIONS.** It should be illegal for doctors to accept bonuses or other incentives from insurance or pharmaceutical companies for vaccinating patients. This practice is clearly a conflict of interest.

When you take your child to a doctor, you want them to focus on your child and their health, and not on a yearend bonus some other company is paying to push vaccines. These bonuses/kickbacks provide a monetary incentive to the doctor and their office not related to the patient's health, which is clearly a conflict of interest, and should be illegal. Without this bonus/kickback in their minds,

perhaps the doctors can get back in the business of simply taking care of their patients, answering their questions, and providing them with better overall healthcare. If the pediatric office has no money dangling over their them in the form of bonuses/kickbacks, then there should be no incentive to bar entrance to any family who wants to receive healthcare, unless the office is so full that they cannot accommodate new patients. This taking away of the bonus/kickback money will remove prejudice and bias against those who do not want to follow the recommended vaccine schedule, or who question the safety of the vaccines. And thereby, all patients will receive equal healthcare service under the law without bias. After all, isn't this, shouldn't this be the goal?

### **The Problems.**

Did you even know this was a thing? I did not until I began doing my research into this. There are arguments raging even now on the internet over these payoffs to promote vaccinations. If this topic is of interest to you, these discussions are easy to find. One side appears to believe these bribes/payoffs/inducements, call them what you like, are good for people, because people are confused about healthcare and need someone to decide what is best for them. The strong and firm hand of a government, working side by side with Big Pharma, stooping down all the way into our local pediatrician's office to ensure we get everything they have to push on us as patients in these offices. The other side is as you would expect. Take away these payoffs so that doctors and their offices will focus more on treating and caring for their patients instead of focusing on their yearend bonuses, which certainly appears to be a conflict of interest.

The consumers are being treated unfairly, and discrimination and prejudice are being condoned and practiced by doctors, nurses, and pediatric offices. These offices do not want to serve non-vaccinating patients, and they discriminate against them. This is due to money being paid to many of these offices by insurance and/or pharmaceutical companies to promote vaccination. If they vaccinate a certain number of children, the office gets a yearend

bonus, and this bonus increases with the number and/or percentage of the patients that complies with these vaccine recommendations. These bonuses are substantial. Also, there apparently are disincentives and/or penalties in place from these same places if the pediatric offices and/or consumers do not comply with the CDC recommended vaccination schedule. It sounds like a bribe or a punishment. We need to keep these conflicts of interest out of these offices.

It is better to find out they discriminate like this in the beginning, when you first go into an office. As you meet the doctors and their nurses, and if you explain to them that you are uncertain of any, some, or all of the vaccinations on the recommended schedule, they may say to you: "We practice full compliance to the recommended vaccine schedule as listed in the CDC guidelines. So, if you are not planning to follow this schedule or the timeline, then you may want to find a different office."

"Full compliance" is a very strange way to respond to a "recommendation." But this is a fact, and it is happening all across America. The reason they may cite for this policy is insulting to the intelligence of anyone who has any independent thoughts. They may say something trite and seemingly innocent like, "Full compliance to the CDC recommended vaccine schedule ensures that the children are protected from a wide range of childhood diseases that were common 100 years ago." Although there may be some truth to this statement, there is also deception, especially as to the reasons the office practices this full compliance. And it has nothing to do with the children's health or welfare. It is all about money, with the appearance of concern of the children as a plausible reason in order to pursue the money available to them for their compliance.

What happens if you are in one of these offices, and after the round of shots in the second month your child develops ear troubles, eczema, or other obvious signs that they may be having some kind of allergic reaction to these vaccinations? After all, four shots at two

months of age for eight diseases does seem like a lot for a two-month-old to take, especially when you consider that the child's brain and internal organs are in the early stages of their development. What happens if you say to your doctor, "Johnny or Susie has these new health issues, and they came after the recent vaccinations. Could these problems be related to the vaccines they were given, and should we wait till these conditions clear before we allow any more vaccines?"

There will be a response to this question, but it may be something you didn't quite expect. Denial is the first. "There is no evidence that vaccines cause any problems for children. They have been proven to be safe and effective. These problems are common for children." Then they will tell you how to deal with these problems which the vaccines may have caused. You may get a recommendation to go to an ear, nose, and throat doctor. You may see an allergist. You may see a skin doctor. Or you may get the typical response for eczema: "This condition is normal. Just throw some cream or lotion on it like everyone else." And, of course, the recommendation is for the vaccinations to continue on schedule to "protect" your child from disease. The ironic thing about this is that the vaccines may be causing your child to have these health issues.

If you answer to the doctor: "We have decided to wait until the condition clears before we give our child any more vaccinations." This may be responded to with patience from your doctor. "Ok. We can get back to the vaccinations next time." Or it could be the brutal response. "We practice full vaccination at this office in compliance with the CDC guidelines. These conditions your child has are normal and are in no way caused by the vaccines. If you decide not to comply with the recommended CDC guidelines, you will have to find yourselves a new pediatric office." Basically, so much for informed consent. This seems to have been replaced with "our way or the highway" mentality in some of these offices.

This "our way or the highway" practice potentially places children who appear to be reacting adversely to the vaccines in harm's way, because doctors and their offices seem to be in a state of denial

that vaccines can cause harm. These children could face additional risk from taking more vaccines, as they likely already have had an oversized reaction to them which caused their adverse reaction. This state of denial of the pediatric office appears to be due to the influence of money or pressure affecting their minds and methods of practicing healthcare.

If you decide to find a new office, what a pain. Not only may you have a vaccine injured child, but now you have no doctor you can turn to. These problems are due to incentives by insurance and/or pharmaceutical companies pushing these vaccines so these offices can comply with and achieve monetary bonuses and/or kickbacks. This has nothing to do with the health and/or well-being of the children, and this practice needs to end. Monetary bonuses paid to these offices to promote vaccines creates prejudice against those who question the safety of vaccines. Do we really want a system that promotes prejudice?

**Solution #2. PRACTICE INFORMED CONSENT WITHOUT PREJUDICE.** Parents and their children should not be refused service by any pediatric office due to their personal beliefs on vaccinations, nor should they be kicked out of these offices for refusal to take any vaccines or by not complying with following the CDC's recommended vaccine schedule. The doctors should be practicing informed consent, educating parents and children on vaccines and their benefits and risks, and allowing them to decide whether or not they wish to vaccinate.

Conflicts of interest should be removed from these offices, and families should never be forced to "follow the vaccine schedule, and keep up with the vaccines, or find a new pediatrician." The pediatrician's job should be to inform and advise, and not to use excessive force or pressure to promote vaccinations. Who is serving who? Parents should have the ultimate authority to decide if and when vaccines should be given to their children.

## **The Problems.**

Healthcare is not a science, it is an art. There are many differences of opinion on how best to practice this art. Doctors who are noted to have better outcomes than other doctors are therefore better at practicing their art. Healthcare is not like math, where there is a clear solution to a problem. People's health is complicated. Any competent doctor will acknowledge this. There are a lot of reasons a body's delicate system can become out of balance, causing an illness. Therefore, it is reasonable for patients to sometimes have a difference of opinion than their doctor. The doctor should do the best they can to explain their reasoning to the patient and offer advice, but ultimately, the patient is in charge of deciding what course of action to take. Doctors are there to inform and advise patients based upon what they know. The patient may then consent to the treatment as outlined. This practice is called informed consent. Or the patient may not go along with the doctor's opinion and may seek a second opinion. The important thing to realize is the doctor is the servant of the patient. They are there to serve us.

A pediatrician's office is a business, and it should be open to the public, barring no one entry for any reason except that it has too many patients to serve. If you walk in the door, this business should serve you and treat you with respect and without prejudice, although you may have different opinions than those in the office. Let's try to remember, medicine is more of an art form than a science, and anyone with any life experience knows this fact well, that doctors are often found scratching their heads as to the cause of an ailment or condition, and how to remedy the condition, just like the rest of us. This is why they often use their patients as experimental subjects, trying one medicine or another to see if it has a desired effect for a particular condition. This trial-and-error way of medicine has not changed much in the thousands of years since the art of medicine began. So let us hold fast to the precept that Hippocrates offered in the beginning of the practice of medicine. He stated: "First, do no harm."

Parents bring their children into a doctor's office with some trust that the doctors and nurses are professionals in their field of expertise. Sometimes this trust is shattered like broken glass in a variety of different ways. One of these ways is by not listening to their patients, or their patient's wishes. Another is to ridicule their patients if they hold beliefs that the doctors or nurses do not agree with. If this trust is broken with "follow our advice, or leave this office and never come back" ultimatum, then one must wonder who is serving who?

This brings us to the subject of vaccination. Vaccines are touted as "safe and effective," but they are not always so for everyone. Vaccines can cause death and injury. Anything that is capable of death and/or injury should be a choice made by the patient. If patients have questions or concerns about these vaccines, it should be the doctor's and nurse's responsibility to inform the patients of the risks and benefits involved with these products, so that people can make an informed decision if they want to take them.

Many offices practice discrimination against their patients, and sadly it seems to be a widespread issue across the country. If patient's do not believe in vaccination, or object to certain vaccines due to their personal beliefs, sometimes the doctors and/or nurses will laugh at their patients, and deride them as stupid, misinformed, ignorant, and then label them as troublemakers or anti-vaxxers. This ridicule can then be followed up with ultimatums issued to the patients. "Our practice in this office is full compliance with the recommended CDC vaccine schedule." This means that if you have a difference of opinion, that this is not allowed in this office, and they have a right not to serve you. This appears to be basic prejudice and discrimination against patients, and this process ought to be stopped. What if this is the only office in your area, and you have nowhere else to turn to in a practical manner? If this is the case, then these vaccines can in effect be a mandate with the patient having no other easy alternative but to bow to the basically forced compulsion of the office's decision. This "our way or the highway"

mentality does not serve the interests of the patients and should be prohibited.

Why, you may wonder, is this practice in effect? Well, if you look at Solution #1 you can find the obvious reason. Doctors and their pediatric offices are given money as a kickback or a bonus to promote vaccinations. If you follow the money involved, you can often and easily find the answers. When the doctor or nurse informs you it is in your child's best interest to follow the vaccine schedule, are they really giving you good advice, or are they padding their own pockets with money? If you take away this incentive for financial gain, then perhaps these offices can get back to the business of serving their patients, answering their questions without bias, and providing healthcare without prejudice or discrimination to their patients, regardless of their patient's beliefs. And then, the doctor's offices may be a place of real education and service to their patients, and not a place of forced or mandatory compulsion to accept their way of medicine as the only way.

Often this denial of vaccines being a potential cause of harm by these doctors and their office workers is disingenuous, as many doctors and nurses have the same fears and anxieties about allowing their children to receive vaccinations. The focus of these fears is the same also, that there are too many vaccines given too early, and that this may cause too many problems for children. There are many studies out there confirming that healthcare workers of all kinds are wary of vaccinations, just like the rest of us.

**Solution #3. PARENTS SHOULD DECIDE WHAT IS RIGHT FOR THEIR CHILDREN.** No drugs, vaccines, or medical procedures of any kind should be given or done to any child without the knowledge, approval, and consent of the parents or guardians of that child. No federal funding for children's services within individual states for foster care or adoption should be allowed, as this violates the 10<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the Constitution, and encourages medical kidnapping by the states. Medical kidnapping by the state and their children's health services due to a difference of professional medical opinion in health treatments for children should be disallowed. Doctors make mistakes. Therefore, one doctor's diagnosis or opinion should never be considered enough evidence to take a child away from their parents. The parents/guardians, along with the advice of their own healthcare providers and not the state, shall determine what the acceptable treatment, if any, for any health condition present in their children should be. Parental rights and determination regarding children's health treatment in cooperation with a licensed healthcare provider supersedes any local medical or governmental authority.

This recent movement to take away the rights and responsibilities from parents or their guardians must stop. It makes no sense. Children are not old enough or mature enough to make decisions which could affect the rest of their lives. They are children, and do not have the experience to make such decisions. This is a parent's job. Parents are the ones responsible for their children, not the state, the school, or the doctor's office. If others do these things without parental consent or knowledge and the child is killed or injured permanently from medical treatments, procedures, drugs, or vaccines, then who will be responsible for burying the child, or taking care of the disabled child for the remainder of their lives? It is the parents. Therefore, parents should have the ultimate authority over their children and their healthcare until the children become adults, at which time they can then make their own decisions for their lives and their healthcare options. The exception to this rule is

if the children (<18 years old) are emancipated from their parents and/or are living apart from their parents and are responsible for their own welfare.

Medical kidnapping.

Crazy things are happening right here in the United States regarding medical kidnapping. The internet is rife with heart wrenching stories about children being taken from their parents without due cause or legitimate reasons, and then placed in the foster care system. After this transition, they are often given drugs. If you have a healthy child which does not need drugs, then the foster parents only receive standard foster care money. But if they have a foster child which has mental health issues and needs drugs, then they receive much more than the standard fee. Therefore, there is an incentive to drug these children. On top of this, there have been reports of foster children being used in drug and/or vaccine trials without their parent's consent. And the parents will never find out, because all information regarding foster children is sealed by law. These are only some of the awful things happening on a regular basis in the medical and healthcare industries within the United States.

Who benefits from all of this? The individual states get federal money for expediting these medical kidnappings, and Big Pharma gets to push their drugs and vaccines at state expense through federal funding and gets cheap subjects for their drug and vaccine trials. These stories on the internet were heart wrenching, and the parents were often powerless to stop it. The federal government and money should not be involved in these state issues. These issues should be handled by the states alone without any federal involvement. How could all of this be happening?

There are a variety of reasons for medical kidnapping of children by the state. Per a Google search, there are four main ways medical kidnapping by the state occurs, and these include: 1> Misdiagnosis. 2> Disagreement over Treatment. 3> Vaccination Choices. And 4> Emergency Situations.

It is important to acknowledge that there are valid reasons for children to be taken away from their parents. Not all parents are good parents, but the vast majority are, and realize the treasure that these children truly are. In many cases, there is a state overreach due to differences in medical opinion by medical professionals, and parents, along with their own chosen healthcare professionals should have the final determination of healthcare decisions for their children.

Just as in Solution #1, we find monies dangled in front of decision makers of the state or local medical authorities which provides undue influence in rendering whether to take the children away from their parents. This federal money is an incentive to take children away from their parents and it should be removed. This money appears to be a clear violation of the 10<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, which indicates that powers not clearly given to the federal government revert automatically to the state. This federal money, just as in other questionable laws and practices of the federal and state governments, appears to have been orchestrated by Big Pharma, which probably provided the written legislation, money to influence the lawmakers, and the votes necessary to make these incentives for medical kidnapping by the state not only possible, but profitable for the states. We need to take away incentives in place to remove children from the parents and place them in foster homes, and we should instead have incentives in place to keep them with their parents or extended family.

### **The Problems.**

What if you have a 10-year-old boy, and while he is playing with his plastic army men and fighting imaginary war games, he decides to run off and join the army. Why not let him do this? For one thing, he is not of age to do this. For another, he is not mature or responsible enough to make choices like this for his life. Ok. Fair enough. But that is 10. What if he is 14, and he wants to smoke and/or drink? He goes to the store and tries to buy beer and a pack of cigarettes for himself. He can't. Again, he is not old enough. There are laws in

place to protect a child from making these kinds of decisions and/or mistakes in his life while he is too young and too immature to make these kinds of decisions for himself.

What if you have a 16-year-old girl who wants to watch an R rated movie at the local theater? She won't be allowed, will she? The reason and logic are the same as above. What if she got drugs from someone off the street? They are prescription drugs and other kids that she knows take them. What if the law finds out? What will happen to the person who illegally sold or gave these drugs to this child? They will be found guilty in a court of law, or they will admit their guilt, and likely they will end up behind bars in prison for hurting a child with this action. Taking drugs or medicines of any kind can affect the child for the rest of her life, and possibly even cause injury or death. There are laws to prevent this type of action from taking place. And again, she is not of age. There are laws which exist to protect her from potential harm.

What if there is a program approved by the state whereby a company comes to the schools offering a vaccination they believe is beneficial for the children? What if they do not inform the parents this vaccine is being offered? And what if they offer kids an incentive to take this vaccine, such as free ice cream, a gift of some kind, or money? These things have happened, and this is wrong, and is similar to the girl getting prescription drugs off of someone she knows. Without the approval of the parents, this program is no better than a drug dealer pushing their products on children. The people who are responsible for these programs and promoting these vaccines to be given to children without parental consent ought to be incarcerated.

You may say this is different because it is a vaccine, and vaccines are proven to be "safe and effective." This statement is not always true. Children can die or become vaccine injured for the rest of their lives due to taking a vaccine. But those instances of injury or death are very rare, you may say. Well then, in these "very rare" instances, who will be responsible for paying for the funeral of the child who dies due to this vaccine? Or what if the child is severely injured by

the vaccine, and needs someone to take care of them for the rest of their lives? Who shall be responsible for this? It is the parents and/or the guardians of the child. Therefore, the decision to make such decisions like taking vaccines should always rest on the parents and/or guardians.

What if Johnny decides he wants to be a girl when he is 15? And what if his parents don't understand him, his thinking, and what he wants in his life? The rules, the logic, and the thinking as defined above do not change; they are sacrosanct. He is not of age to decide for himself that he wants a sex change operation, hormone therapy, and all the things that go with it at this age. He should speak with his parents, and if his parents and the laws of the state allow for this, then it can be their decision what to do. Again, it is the parents' choice. But what if a local area teacher, a school counselor, or a state official believes the parents are not making the right decision? What then? I will tell you what then. Then tell them not to be placing their nose where it does not belong. As long as we are living in a democracy and not a totalitarian state, it is the parents and/or guardians who are responsible for the health, well-being, and decision making of their children.

There are laws on the books which should be followed, but oftentimes they are not. There appears to be a need for these laws to be perfectly spelled out so there is no miscommunication. Parents and/or guardians of these children have authority over the lives and decisions of their children until they are of age and become adults. These laws should be followed. Anyone, or any company that attempts to get around these laws ought to be punished just as the drug dealer on the street for pushing something that could kill or permanently injure a child for the rest of their lives without consent or authorization of the parents and/or guardians.

#### *Medical kidnapping. Misdiagnosis.*

If you bring your child into the doctor's office, and they see something wrong, like a bruise or a mark on the child's skin, et.al.,

doctors and their nurses may suspect the parents being guilty of mistreating the child and move to take them from the parents. Doctors and nurses have often been wrong about their suspicions. Parents should always be able to have another opinion from their own chosen medical representative under these circumstances. Removal of the children from the parents should be a difficult procedure, and rightly so, because removal of the child from the parents and their family could adversely affect the life of the child forever after. Children who end up in foster homes typically do not enjoy their new atmosphere, and do not typically thrive and prosper under these circumstances.

### *Medical kidnapping. Disagreement over Treatment.*

The recent rash of medical kidnapping of children by various states appears to be due to the differences in medical opinion regarding the covid virus, and what acceptable treatments for the disease conditions were. Parents and their doctors had approved medicines and treatments for covid and related conditions the children were suffering from, and the state, often at the behest of the local pediatricians, school nurses, or medical officials disagreed with the parent's treatment of these conditions, since they were not "approved" treatments.

As a result of this disagreement in treatment, the state used the power at its disposal and moved by force to remove these children from their parents "in the best interest of the child." Basically, the state was attempting to take the children away from the parents because of a disagreement in medical treatment by licensed medical professionals. Parents and their doctors had approved medicines and treatments for covid, such as the use of ivermectin or other commonly used and widely accepted medicines, and the state, often at the behest of local pediatricians, school nurses, or medical officials disagreed with the parents and their chosen health care providers and moved to remove these children from their parents "in the best interest of the child."

It is again a good time to note that medicine is an art, and not a science, and there tends to be differences in treatment choices proffered by different doctors depending upon their own clinical experience and point of view. There were certainly no grounds for these episodes of flagrant government overreach using the police and state powers available to them to forcibly remove children from their parents due to differences in professional medical opinion. The obvious fact that the parents were working with a doctor to arrive at a treatment is clear evidence that the parents were considering what course of action was in the best interest of the child.

In summary, keep the government and medical tyrants away from us and our children, and let us, along with our own chosen health care providers make decisions which are right for our children and families based upon our own beliefs and convictions along with the medical information provided to us.

#### *Medical kidnapping. Vaccination Choices.*

Vaccination should be a choice, and never a mandate. There should be no law in any state which dictates that children should be forced to get a vaccination of any kind in order to attend school. It is often due to Big Pharma which has written the legislation and paid off the legislators that many of these state vaccine mandates are in place. State vaccine mandates should be removed.

Smallpox was the reason these mandates were initially instituted, and smallpox exists no more in the world, and therefore the reason for these mandates has been removed. Smallpox killed 3 in 10 of people which contracted the disease (CDC). No other contagious disease remaining is even remotely close to the death rate of smallpox. The remaining contagious diseases are minor in comparison and should not be a reason to impose mandatory vaccines for school.

Currently, if you do not have your required vaccines in some states, they can block the child's entry to school. If you do not home school or provide an education to the child as is state required, then the

state will consider this child neglect, and move to take your child away from you. Once they do, then the child will be placed in foster care or be under control of the state and be forced against the parents' wishes to vaccinate the child. This is a bad policy. The parents should have the ultimate authority to make this decision.

*Medical kidnapping. Emergency Situations.*

Again, it is important to recognize that it is the right of the parents, and not a local doctor or medical authority to allow or disallow any medical treatment of the child. The parents, along with their own chosen healthcare provider, should be in charge of the decision, even if a local doctor or medical authorities believe they are making a mistake. In any circumstances, the parents should always have the right to a second medical opinion by a doctor of their choice.

**Solution #4: DELAY HEP-B VACCINATION UNTIL A PRE-TEEN YEAR. This is not a vaccine for infants. Hepatitis B vaccine should not be administered to infants directly after birth, unless the mother is infected with this virus and could pass it along to the child. All pregnant women should be screened for hep B infection. Recommended age of hep B vaccination should be changed to a preteen year just before high school. Notify the parents/guardians and mandate that a warning label be placed on hep B vaccines indicating aluminum levels within the vaccine exceeds FDA safety levels for parenteral aluminum, which may result in aluminum toxicity.**

Other than vaccinating a newborn because of a hep B positive mother, there is no reason to vaccinate for hep B at this age. Hep B is not contagious, cannot be spread by sneezing, coughing, breathing, sharing utensils, or even hugging and kissing. It is a disease passed from one person to another by sexual contact, or by the sharing of needles when using drugs. Infants and young children do not engage in behaviors that would put them at risk for hep B disease. There is no benefit for a newborn taking this vaccine, but there is real potential for damage from the vaccine and its

ingredients. The risk of this vaccine is not worth the benefit at this age. Administration of hep B vaccine at birth may confer immunity for hep B virus for 10-15 years, but this immunization protection begins to wear off at a time of life when these children and young adults most need this protection. It would make more sense to recommend this vaccine in a pre-teen year just before high school.

Aluminum levels in the hep B vaccine exceed FDA safety levels for parenteral nutrition. In fact, there is almost 14 times more aluminum in the hep B vaccine than allowable by the FDA for parenteral nutrition aluminum exposure for an 8-pound baby. While the FDA allows vastly more aluminum content in vaccines than in parenteral drugs (34 times more per FDA), parents should be made aware of these differences in FDA guidelines, and of the risks of aluminum exposure and potential toxicity to their infants.

*Note: The information noted for hep B virus and related vaccines can be found on the CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) website and the NVIC (National Vaccine Information Center) website.*

### **The Problems.**

Infants are being hurt and/or killed unnecessarily. After hep B vaccination was placed on the CDC recommended vaccine schedule for newborns in 1991, the ICU wards for neonates experienced an inordinate increase of hospitalizations which appear to be linked to hep B vaccination immediately after birth. No other explanation is deemed plausible.

The only reason for a newborn to be infected with the hep B virus is that the mother who is infected can pass this virus to her child during the birthing process. Therefore, any vaccine injuries or related deaths for infants caused by the hep B vaccine for other than an infected mother are unnecessary. The reason why all mothers should be screened for this virus before they give birth is to provide the hep B vaccine only when necessary. In this way,

unnecessary deaths and injuries related to the hep B vaccine can be prevented.

Doing research on vaccines and their adverse events can be time consuming and confusing. The National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) is the best consumer advocacy group in the United States for vaccines, and they offer excellent information and summaries of this detailed information. Using information from the NVIC:

“... as of January 26, 2024, there have been 106,256 adverse events reported to the federal Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) in connection with hepatitis B vaccines... Out of the reports in VAERS there were 2,367 related deaths, 16,237 hospitalizations, and 3,729 related disabilities... 1,730 deaths occurring in children under 3 years of age.”

These hep B vaccine related adverse events, which include injuries and deaths, are large numbers, especially when compared with the number of women who have hep B virus and are about to give birth, which are very few.

These adverse events caused by the hep B vaccine are likely due to the ingredients within the vaccine, which contains aluminum and brewer's yeast, both of which have been cited to cause health problems when taken parenterally (via injection).

Due to other problems and/or potential infections during or around the time of birth, many of these neonates are given antibiotics, which have their own list of potential adverse events associated with them. One of these common adverse events are yeast problems due to antibiotics killing off the good bacteria in the GI tract. Couple this with the additional yeast in the hep B vaccine, and you have a recipe for more potential adverse events which may affect the children.

Throughout the world, where the hep B vaccine programs were initiated for young children, there has been a significant increase in type 1 diabetes that has been shown through many diverse studies. (Chapter 4 – Solution #4). The prevalence of diabetes type 1 has been shown through these studies to be significantly associated

with autism and other disorders. The rise in autism since the hep B vaccine recommendation at birth for newborns is irrefutable.

A question: Why did the CDC decide it was a good idea to place the hep B vaccine on the recommended list for all infants to take immediately after birth? This decision reeks of corruption and conflicts of interest. So why did they decide to do it? What could have been the reasoning? I would like to know the answer to that question because it makes no sense. If we follow the trails of money existing between Big Pharma and the government agencies involved the answers may become clear.

**Solution #5: ELIMINATE GARDASIL FROM THE LIST OF RECOMMENDED VACCINES. HPV vaccine known as Gardasil (currently Gardasil 9) should be removed immediately from the list of CDC recommended vaccines, and also from any state or federal vaccine requirements. If Merck cannot prove the benefit over the risk, then it should be removed from the recommended list.**

The known risks of this vaccine far outweigh the known benefits of taking it. The effectiveness of HPV vaccines against cancer has never been tested or proven. There is no evidence that HPV vaccines have prevented cancer of any kind. But there is ample evidence that HPV vaccines have caused death and disability in many people worldwide. There are many class action lawsuits in various countries around the world against the HPV vaccine makers due to these deaths and injuries. Gardasil vaccine contains sodium borate, also known as borax, which is commonly used as a pesticide. Sodium borate is not allowed as a food additive in the United States due to its toxicity, but the FDA has allowed this same ingredient to be given by injection, directly into the bloodstream, as part of Gardasil vaccine. This is outrageous. The FDA and CDC have allowed doctors to inject pesticide into our children, and even encouraged it by placing this vaccine on the recommended vaccine

list. This insanity must end. We should wait until this vaccine and its ingredients are proven to be safe and effective before we recommend children to take it. This vaccine appears to be all risk, with no provable benefit. The main reason this vaccine was introduced was for cervical cancer prevention. We already had the Pap smear, which is the best safeguard against cervical cancer. And the Pap smear and related cancer prevention therapies will not kill you or maim you for life.

### **The Problems.**

Young boys and girls are being hurt and or killed unnecessarily. After the introduction of HPV vaccines, which were created for the prevention of cervical cancer, there were not only widespread health problems noted due to these vaccines, but fertility rates throughout the world have plummeted where they were introduced indicating a possible causal connection to these vaccines.

In the United States, only Gardasil (currently Gardasil 9) is licensed for use as an HPV vaccine. According to VAERS database, and courtesy of the National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC), which is America's most trusted consumer advocate about vaccination, and an excellent source of information regarding vaccines:

“As of January 26, 2024, VAERS contains more than 75,727 reports of HPV vaccine reactions, hospitalizations, injuries, and deaths, and includes 629 related deaths, 7649 hospitalizations, and 3683 disabling conditions... Over 55 percent of the reported serious adverse events occurred in children and teens under 17 years of age.”

These are big numbers, especially when considering this vaccine has been in use by the public since 2007. At the time, up until the end of 2019, no other vaccine had caused this many deaths and disabilities in such a short amount of time in the population per VAERS. But it does appear certain that the covid vaccines will beat all these world records of death and/or vaccine injuries reported to VAERS in a quick manner.

*Author Note: I wrote this part of the book in 2022, and covid vaccines did soundly beat the number of adverse effects, injuries, and deaths by*

*vaccine in record time per VAERS not only of the HPV vaccines, but all the vaccines combined over a span of 30 years in less than 18 months. (Chapter 4 – Solution #8).*

While other vaccines have at least been able to show they have prevented the diseases they were targeting, there is no evidence that this HPV vaccine has prevented one single case of cervical cancer, or any other cancers. It is only a hypothesis, which means it is just a theory, that HPV vaccines can prevent cervical cancer. *Big Pharma should not poison people based upon a hypothesis.*

HPV is generally a harmless disease which goes away by itself after a couple of years. HPV is not a disease that would warrant a related vaccine to be placed on the CDC recommended vaccine list. This is why it has been marketed as a prevention for cancer, which has not been proven by the vaccine manufacturer, the FDA, or the CDC. The problems from Gardasil vaccine related deaths and disabilities are likely due to the ingredients, which include an aluminum-based adjuvant AAHS, brewer's yeast, sodium borate, and polysorbate 80.

Aluminum is a known toxin which can cause many health-related problems, and Gardasil contains 500 mcg which is quite a dose, considering 850 mcg is the maximum allowed by FDA for vaccines in a one-day period. Sodium borate, also known as borax, is not allowed to be used as a food additive in the United States due to being toxic to the human body. Borax is often used as a laundry detergent or as a pesticide. The FDA has approved this same toxin, pesticide, and laundry detergent to be shot directly into the veins of our children. Polysorbate 80 is used in many drugs to enable their contents to pass through the blood brain barrier, so the contents of this vaccine may have direct access to the brains of those who take it. These factors may account for the oversized problems this vaccine is causing among our youth and their health conditions. Polysorbate 80 and sodium borate (borax) both have been identified as causing problems with fertility. These facts are documented in many different and diverse studies. (Chapter 4 – Solution #8).

HPV vaccines have caused so many widespread problems that there is an abundance of class action lawsuits throughout the world against HPV vaccine manufacturers. This clearly demonstrates the numerous health problems these products have caused and is an indication of related vaccine injuries and/or deaths for which these products are responsible.

There are many active lawsuits here in the United States against Merck and its product Gardasil 9, but you may not have seen or heard anything about these lawsuits. Why, you may ask? Merck is one of the largest advertisers in the country, and this advertising buys power. Why would Big Tech and the media show the damage and deaths that this vaccine may be causing to children when this may adversely affect the sales and profits of Merck? If they did this, it would hurt one of their largest clients/advertisers. Big Tech and the news media are smart lapdogs. They know not to bite the hand that feeds them. This is why these stories are suppressed in Big Tech and our other news sources. Big Pharma has too much money and too much power over the flow of information.

It is time to turn the table on Merck and the other Big Pharma companies who produce these types of products to prove their benefits before they gain approval, recommendations, and mandates. Let me just ask one question of Merck:

*Can you show any evidence or proof that Gardasil 9 vaccine has reduced cervical cancer, or any type of cancer, and there is some evidence that it is doing something other than leaving a trail of death, vaccine injury, and brain and fertility damage in its wake?*

*Or an even simpler question. How about proving that the benefits of taking Gardasil 9 outweigh the risks of death and/or injury associated with it?*

A Conspiracy Theory: Some people out there claim governments and powers that be are trying to curb or even reduce the population of people in the world. This does not make sense to me, because doesn't the number of people you have under your control grant you that much more power? But it does beg the question: Why would

the FDA and CDC permit and push this vaccine which has no proof that it has even cured one single person of cancer, and which also has two ingredients within it that cause problems for fertility? I would like to understand the reasoning behind the recommendation for this vaccine. The United States government, FDA, and CDC have some explaining to do to the American people.

**Solution #6: USE TRUE PLACEBOS AND NOT CHEMICALLY ACTIVE PRODUCTS AS PLACEBOS IN TRIALS. Use true harmless placebos in vaccine and drug trials and eliminate false placebos that contain chemically active ingredients. In the trial stages, all new drugs and vaccines should be compared to true placebos so that an accurate assessment of their risks and benefits to health can be properly measured. Have the FDA and CDC clearly define “placebos” as saline solutions or sugar pills.**

A placebo, by definition, is a “harmless pill or medicine”. In practice and history, it has been a sugar pill or a saline solution, both of which are harmless to the human body. This has changed recently, and people have died and/or been injured from some of the false placebos used in clinical trials. These chemically active false placebos skew the results, and give biased and unreliable conclusions from the trials, which appear more favorable to the FDA, CDC, and other related governmental entities regulating drugs and vaccines, enabling pharmaceutical companies to get their products approved more easily, so they can make more money. This practice needs to stop. The WHO and FDA endorse saline placebos in drug and vaccine trials and note that saline placebos are valuable for evaluating the safety and efficacy of new drugs and vaccines. It may be difficult or impossible to assess fully the safety and the risk of a drug or vaccine when there is no true placebo. This is why pharmaceutical companies avoid saline placebos in the trials. They are not focused on the safety of people. They are focused on getting drugs and vaccines approved and

making money. Let's get back to the basics and return our focus to safety. Clearly define "placebos" as saline solutions or sugar pills. If we cannot assess the true risks of these new drugs and vaccines, then there cannot be true informed consent for people taking these products.

### **The Problems.**

If you are like me, you probably thought that placebos were used in the drug and vaccine trials to do testing and verify results for safety and efficacy of these drugs and vaccines. This is only partially true. The problem is one of definition. Historically, people were not harmed and/or killed when they took placebos, which by definition are supposed to be harmless substances like sugar pills or saline solutions. But Big Pharma found a way around that. They simply changed the definition. And the FDA and the CDC accepted this change in definition. Now, a placebo is anything they want it to be.

Test subjects are being injured and/or dying because they have taken "placebos" in the clinical trials. Sometimes they call them placebos, but do not reveal what they were. This goes against everything that should happen when trying to establish whether a drug or vaccine is safe and effective. This risk from dying due to placebos appears to go against the *Nuremberg Code*, which clearly states there should be no fraud, deceit, or unnecessary suffering of test subjects. To change a definition of a common word and alter it is deceitful and appears to be fraudulent. Death and/or lifelong disability due to taking an alleged placebo and being adversely affected by it could certainly be regarded as unnecessary suffering. To have the FDA and CDC go along with this and accept it as common practice is more like being an accomplice to a crime than a regulator which is supposed to be safeguarding the American people from harm.

This brings us to the purpose of why Big Pharma decided to change the definition, and thereby the rules. In a drug trial, if you compare drug #1 to a different drug #2 which is listed as a false placebo, and this causes some injuries among the test control subjects, this

makes the drug being tested look more acceptable compared to their control group which took the false placebo. They do this false placebo testing with vaccines as well. This is a deceitful way to conduct drug and vaccine trials, and it leads to misleading results. Results from these clinical trials for drugs and vaccines being tested look more favorable when compared to false placebos, and then these drugs and vaccines can be more easily approved by the FDA and/or recommended by the CDC. This is deceitful at best and appears to be scientific fraud. The FDA and CDC know about all of this, and therefore are culpable in these lies and crimes against humanity and the American people.

This false placebo technique helped to get Vioxx approved when they used another drug as the false placebo instead of saline solution. As a result, the FDA approved Vioxx and around 120,000 people died needlessly, as estimated by Cochrane Collaboration, which is an international, not-for-profit healthcare information source. These kinds of lies/crimes are hurting and/or killing the people in this country. No saline solution or sugar pills were used as placebos in the trials for many of the drugs and/or vaccines we currently use. You will see the word placebo if you look on the FDA package inserts for the specific drugs and/or vaccines, but the word placebo is often not defined. If you are going to change the definition of a word like placebo, the least you could do is define what the placebo was. They often do not even do that. This same practice is occurring for other drugs and vaccines as well, perhaps even the vast majority of them now, due to the FDA being the most prized lapdog of Team Big Pharma. This is deceit by Big Pharma and our government agencies against the American people. It is a deceit which is useful for Big Pharma to get drugs and vaccines approved more easily, so they can make money. This deceit is harming and/or killing Americans needlessly.

Again, we all must wonder, how did the government agencies allow this to happen? How will they be able to explain it away? We know or can at least assume the premise that allowed this to happen. This premise for the way they are doing these trials with false placebos

seems like this: "If we test new drugs and/or vaccines against those which have already passed and been approved through the regulatory process and they look acceptable in comparison, then these new products look reasonably safe to take." With this philosophy in place and being accepted by the FDA and regulatory agencies, it seems that drugs and vaccines can keep pushing the limits on what safety is, and more questionable products will be approved each passing year. Then these newly FDA approved products can then be the new false placebos for the next generation of drugs and vaccines. This practice will result in increasingly more dangerous FDA approved vaccines and drugs. This philosophy and its practice endanger the American public and needs to be stopped. We need to get back to true placebo testing for these new vaccine and drug products. True placebos can be relied upon to give accurate and unbiased results and form a basis by which all new vaccines and drugs can be measured. Isn't accurate and unbiased what we want?

If they want to do comparative studies of one drug (or vaccine) versus another drug (or vaccine) later after these products are approved by the FDA to see which products are safer or more effective versus other products, that is fine. But it is not fine to do these false placebo comparative test techniques as the basis from which to approve a new drug or vaccine. True placebos such as saline solutions or sugar pills should always be the base case of comparison for new vaccine and drug trial testing. When they do these comparison tests, the products used for comparison should be clearly denoted, as well as the amount used. Only in this way can the results of the tests or studies of these vaccines and/or drugs be replicated and verified by other scientists.

**Solution #7: BE TRANSPARENT - CLEARLY PUBLISH ALL DRUG AND VACCINE TRIAL DATA.** Establish Drug and Vaccine Trial Transparency. All drug and vaccine trials, trial designs and methodologies, and the information obtained from them should be made public, from start to finish. In this way, the public will be informed of the true benefits and risks of these drugs and vaccines and be able to make informed decisions if and/or when they consent to their use.

Drugs and/or vaccines are intended for public use. The public should be informed what the results of the test trials are for these drug and vaccine products. There should not be any of this “proprietary information” nonsense. These drugs and/or vaccines will be distributed and used by large segments of the population, so it is within the realm of the public interest for the true and unadulterated numbers from the trials be made readily available to the public at large so that the risks of taking these chemicals are widely known. We should be able to see and have access to all of the information, from the start of the trials to the finish, and not just the information after all the numbers have been picked through, manipulated, and subgroups within the trial population data selected to make the numbers look better for the FDA, CDC, and public consumption. *We want the raw data of all the test trials.* In this way the public will be properly informed of all the benefits and risks of taking these substances and be able to make better health care decisions.

### **The Problems.**

There is no transparency. Big Pharma companies are withholding information from the American people relating to the drug and vaccine trials. Therefore, people are not truly informed of risks with the drugs and/or vaccines they are taking. This hiding of information behind the veil of “proprietary information” appears to have caused many deaths, and likely is causing injuries and or deaths of many people in the present. Had we known how the trials were set up, how the results were manipulated, and how they were presented to

the FDA, the Vioxx episode may have never occurred. Around 120,000 people (Cochrane Collaboration) lost their lives due to Vioxx and this lack of transparency. These people who lost their lives due to Vioxx may have lived quite a bit longer had sound trial testing and transparent results been the standard.

Currently, the pharmaceutical companies set up trial designs and methodologies of how they will test a drug or vaccine, and then proceed to the testing stage. If the trial results look really bad, the Big Pharma companies will simply throw them out and do another trial with different designs and methodologies, and the American people will never see these results, and be none the wiser. Or if the scientists are supposed to follow up on the test subjects for one month of time after inoculation or drug administration, but they notice too many injuries or problems arising from the drug or vaccine being studied in the third or fourth weeks after the inoculation or drug administration, they can simply ignore these problems by changing the end point of their testing to 7 or 14 days, and their numbers suddenly look better and more acceptable to the FDA and American public. There are many tricks of the trade, and you can believe that Big Pharma uses all of them to gain approval of their drugs and vaccines to get money flowing.

They have statistics on these trials for everything. From age, sex, race, income levels, locations, and other criterion for the testing. And they have these statistics on a chart, cross referenced into little boxes. If the results in 99 boxes of this criteria which are cross referenced are bad, and 1 box appears to be within acceptable levels for the outcomes they would need for the drug and vaccines to get FDA approval, then they can throw out the 99 bad boxes and turn in the one which looks decent. The 99 boxes of criteria that look bad we never see, and then they may claim with unfounded pride that the tests of their new drug or vaccine look exceptionally promising. This is deceitful, and basic cherry-picking and manipulation of the numbers to gain FDA approval, so they can peddle their drugs/vaccines. How can we have true informed consent when we are only provided a cherry-picked narrative and

the only source of information of these products and their trial results are the pharmaceutical companies, which are overly eager to make profits?

The point is that Big Pharma cannot be trusted doing this testing behind closed doors. Too much is being hidden from the public and the regulatory agencies, and none of this would happen if there were transparency. Here is a little common sense from Mark Twain: *“There are lies, damned lies, and statistics. Facts are stubborn things, but statistics are pliable.”* This lesson from Twain was not lost on Big Pharma. Big Pharma knows how to manipulate statistics. With transparency, nothing can be hidden. Let’s bring it all out in the open, for everyone to see.

Speaking of transparency, just look at this recent title found in the Epoch Times: **“After 2-Year Legal Fight, CDC releases 780,000 Vaccine Injury Reports from V-Safe System.”** 4-11-2024. It took a legal battle to release documents which should by all accounts be public information. The question is: “Why are they suppressing what should be public information from the public?” If we go to our continuing thesis on this subject, it usually points to promotion of vaccines by Big Pharma and their minions, and suppression of bad news regarding these products. They certainly would not want any information out there which could dampen enthusiasm for their products, and thereby reduce the flow of money into their coffers.

Today, Big Pharma hides behind the curtain like the Wizard of Oz. Only they have control of the levers behind the curtain. All the experimentation they do is labeled as “proprietary information.” That means they are not going to allow the public to see how the experiments were set up, and what the results of the tests are before they are manipulated to their own satisfaction. Also, with the false placebos in place to gain FDA approval, it is mostly impossible to reproduce and verify the results of the experiments, because the placebos are no longer saline solutions or sugar pills as they should be. The placebos they use are often unreported, hiding what they were, and therefore the results of these experiments are

unrepeatable and unverifiable. This is unacceptable. If Louis Pasteur could create experiments the public could understand and show the common man that his vaccines worked and were effective, then the people of today deserve the same transparency. Let's remove the curtain and bring it all out in the open.

**Solution #8: TEST INDIVIDUAL VACCINE INGREDIENTS FOR SAFETY. Each component within vaccines should be studied individually to demonstrate safety and to note any adverse effects they may have on health. The results of these studies should be public information.**

Currently there is no requirement that individual ingredients within a vaccine be tested for safety by themselves, but there should be. How else could sodium borate, also known as borax, which is a pesticide, and not allowed as a food additive in the United States, be allowed in vaccines? You are not allowed to eat borax by law as a food additive, but your doctor or nurse can inject it directly into your veins. This makes no sense. All ingredients should be tested individually to properly identify and assess their relative effects on the human body, if they cause any adverse effects, and at what levels they would pose a risk to health. Each component should be thoroughly tested and studied before allowing it to be a part of any vaccine and allowing it to be taken or injected into people. The results of the tests for these individual ingredients should be public information.

### **The Problems.**

Some of the ingredients within the vaccines appear to have been injuring and/or killing people and causing fertility problems as well. There is no FDA requirement that individual ingredients be tested for safety within vaccines. Basically, the pharmaceutical companies test the whole vaccine, and if this passes the very low bar set by the FDA, then Big Pharma can market these products to people. So yes, they could put arsenic in these products. They could put motor oil in them. They could even put fentanyl in them, and the FDA

would deem this acceptable, as long as the vaccines as a whole pass the vaccine trials. The individual components of vaccines have never been tested for safety when injected into people.

The drug makers include Sodium Borate (Borax), which is commonly used as a pesticide and/or a laundry detergent, in two of the vaccines. Borax is not allowed to be used as a food additive in the United States due to its toxicity, but yet is allowed in two vaccines, HPV (Gardasil 9) and Hep A (Vaqta) vaccines. You are not allowed to market commercial food products which contain borax as an ingredient, but doctors and/or their nurses can inject it directly into your children's veins. The FDA has allowed Big Pharma to inject our children with pesticides, and the CDC has encouraged this through its recommended vaccination schedule. Some states even mandate your child be given vaccines which contain this pesticide as a condition for attending public school.

Formaldehyde is another common product found in vaccines. It apparently is not only good for embalming corpses and preserving frogs in biology class, but is a common ingredient found in vaccines. Brewer's yeast is also a common vaccine ingredient. Just because it is good for making beer and wine does not mean it is automatically safe for injecting into our bloodstreams. Where are all the studies that demonstrate the safety of injecting these things into our veins? The problem is there aren't any.

Polysorbate 80 is another ingredient of concern. Polysorbate 80 allows for some drugs and vaccines to pass the blood brain barrier (BBB) so they can gain access to the brain, and this gives access to other vaccine ingredients into the brain as well, like Aluminum, which is another common vaccine ingredient, and which has been shown to have toxic effects on the brain and on many other parts of the human body. Polysorbate 80 and Borax have been linked to causing fertility problems in people, and birth rates have come down in parts of the world where vaccines with these ingredients have been introduced. A coincidence? Perhaps. But it does beg the question why these individual ingredients are not tested by

themselves for safety before packaging them together within vaccines.

There are also human DNA fragments in some of the vaccines, which are from aborted human embryos or fetuses. Some scientists believe that there could be many problems involved with injecting these substances into other humans, most notably the potential to cause autoimmune disorders where the body ends up attacking itself and its own tissues or organs. There are many autoimmune diseases among the people of the United States today. Did these autoimmune diseases originate from the vaccines taken? It would seem prudent to find out the answer to this question before taking vaccines which have these DNA fragments within them.

Now there are mRNA vaccines. This new technology is more like a gene therapy than a typical vaccine ingredient. There are plenty of questions involving this technology, but very few answers. Many scientists are saying it can change our genes and possibly their functioning and may cause many unforeseen health issues in the human body, including fertility issues for males and females. Where are the studies that prove this technology is safe to insert into our bodies, and what are the common side effects?

There are quite a few other ingredients within the vaccines which could be a cause for concern, but I will not list them all out. The point is that all these vaccine ingredients have one thing in common: *none of them have been tested for safety in humans or infants*. You would think that men of “science,” especially the ones at the FDA and CDC who are supposed to be protecting the people and children of the United States, would be interested in knowing what effects all these different ingredients may have on the human body and brain. But apparently, they are not. We must ask why. Again, the FDA and the CDC have some explaining to do to the people of America.

Ingredients for vaccines can be found easily by referencing two different sources. One source is the FDA. On the internet, just type in the words *package insert* along with the specific brand of vaccine

and the information should pop up. Gardasil 9 is a brand, and HPV is the virus associated with this vaccine. The other information source is National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC), which is the largest and most well-known consumer advocacy group for vaccines in the United States. Just go to their website, then click on the specific disease, in this case HPV, and the site will direct you to information regarding this virus. Then look for section titled, "What's in the vaccine?" Then you can find the information for all the ingredients for each type of vaccine.

**Solution #9: LABEL ALUMINUM CONTENT IN VACCINES – INCLUDE A WARNING. Mandate that the FDA place a warning on all vaccines containing aluminum just as is required for other injectable medications. Warnings concerning aluminum and the buildup of aluminum in the body as a result of repeated vaccinations need to be placed into the hands of those affected at the time of these vaccinations. Without this labeling, people are not given proper warning of this danger, and therefore cannot give informed consent.**

Aluminum is a toxin. If ingested, the majority of aluminum is eliminated by the body. When injected, it remains within the body for many years and can accumulate to dangerous levels. These risks are not well known and should be placed into the hands of a trusting and unsuspecting public who are taking these vaccines. FDA guidance includes a recommendation that total allowable aluminum exposure from parenteral nutrition should not exceed 5 mcg/kg/day. *By this measure, many individual vaccines contain an overdose of aluminum for infants.* Vaccines are permitted by the FDA to have an aluminum level of .85 grams (850 mcg). It is time for the FDA to explain why this enormous difference in allowable aluminum exposure between parenteral nutrition and vaccines exists. Multiple vaccines in a single day can cause a quick buildup of aluminum within the body that may exceed safety levels for

health and cause adverse short- and long-term reactions and health issues.

### **The Problems.**

The FDA and CDC appear to have authorized and promoted toxic conditions to occur in the children of the United States by approval and recommendation of aluminum containing vaccines on the current CDC vaccination schedule. *If they are going to recommend poisoning the children of this country, the least they could do is to give us proper warning.*

To start this discussion, let's get one thing straight. Ingesting aluminum and injecting aluminum are completely different. Just because ingesting a little aluminum as you drink soda out of an aluminum can is deemed acceptable does not mean injecting aluminum directly into your veins is safe. There is no "science" behind this leap of faith and assumption by those who propound such a misguided theory. Ingested aluminum goes into the stomach and is processed and removed through the gastrointestinal tract, which includes the digestive system, and which protects us from harmful things we may swallow. Injecting aluminum directly into our veins bypasses the gastrointestinal tract and remains in our system for much longer. According to some very astute scientific researchers, "much longer" may be up to 10 years or more. This poses some problems for the recommended CDC vaccine schedule, where aluminum is a common vaccine ingredient.

Aluminum is a toxin. Toxins are poisons. Vaccines which contain aluminum can adversely affect the health of those who take them. Aluminum is neurotoxic, which means it adversely affects the brain and nervous system. Many vaccines contain aluminum, which is used as an adjuvant. Adjuvants are like amplifiers for the vaccines, in that they stimulate an increased immune response. Apparently, in some cases aluminum adjuvants overstimulate and appear to cause autoimmune illnesses. Aluminum containing vaccines appear to be at least partially responsible for many of the childhood conditions and problems we have today, such as allergies, ADHD,

autism, asthma, and other neurodevelopmental diseases (NDD). We use aluminum because it is the only adjuvant we have. We cannot simply get rid of aluminum in vaccines because there is no other adjuvant to replace it.

Aluminum poisoning can cause many problems for the human body and brain. It is common knowledge that high levels of aluminum are often found in Alzheimer's patients and in children who have autism. These facts seem to point to aluminum as a primary cause for these illnesses. Metal poisoning in general has neurotoxic effects, which affect the brain and nerves, as well as the more common effects of poisons within the body, which adversely affect the liver and kidneys. Again, we must ask, where are the tests which demonstrate the safety of injecting this element into our veins?

The FDA knows that aluminum is dangerous to the body and the brains of infants. For instance, premature born infants are often given parenteral nutrition in the form of an IV drip. There is a warning label on this due to the aluminum content. The warning states that FDA guidance includes a recommendation that total allowable aluminum exposure from parenteral nutrition should not exceed 5 mcg/kg/day (FDA). For an 8-pound baby, which equals 3.629 kg, the maximum dose per day of aluminum allowed would be  $5 \times 3.629 = 18.145$  mcg. The hep B vaccine, which is recommended to be given immediately after birth, contains 250 mcg of aluminum. That is almost 14 times the allowable parenteral dose allowed by the FDA, which is clearly an overdose of aluminum.

In the second month of life, the CDC recommended vaccines go way past 250 mcg of vaccine injected aluminum from the hep B vaccine, even passing 700 mcg. This is almost 40 times the amount of aluminum allowed by parenteral aluminum per day. How does this math make sense to the FDA? Why does the FDA clearly warn and define the risk for parenteral aluminum for premature neonates, while it does not offer proper warning for infants who are taking vaccines with far more aluminum exposure? The answer appears to be that there is no rule (law) which forces them to properly warn

those who are taking vaccines, and therefore they don't. Vaccines are not considered drugs in a legal way, and have different rules applied to them.

It is often after this round of vaccines in the second month that the problems with the CDC recommended vaccine schedule come to light in the form of allergies and/or other autoimmune diseases emerging in our infants. Do not listen to your doctor if they say these conditions are typical and common. These conditions have become common, most likely because all the other children have overdosed on aluminum as well and are suffering along with you. *The FDA and CDC appear to have approved and promoted toxic conditions to occur in the children of the United States.*

Vaccines are permitted by the FDA to have an aluminum level of .85 grams (850 mcg) per day. How did the FDA arrive at a number so much higher for vaccines than for parenteral nutrition? This much larger number certainly allows for many more vaccines to be given at one time. But is this really focusing on the health and safety of infants, or does it allow for the promotion of more vaccines being given at one time? Is it because the FDA and CDC are trying to please Big Pharma, which appears to be their true master? We all must wonder who the FDA and CDC are really serving, and why? Again, the government agencies, especially the FDA and CDC, have some explaining to do.

**Solution #10: REMOVE LIABILITY PROTECTION OF THE VACCINE MANUFACTURERS.** Big Pharma has abused the liability protection given to it. People and their children are being hurt due to this abuse. It has become increasingly more difficult to get remuneration for deaths or injuries which are related to vaccines. Granting liability protection to the vaccine makers encourages reckless production of vaccines that is focused on profits and not safety.

The laws were changed due to the *National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986*. This law gave an almost blanket liability

protection for the vaccine makers. Many esteemed scientists and *Nobel Prize* winners were vehemently opposed to this liability protection. This legal protection from vaccine related lawsuits, deaths, and injuries is really a blank check for the vaccine makers to make money with minimal downside risk. As a result of this policy, all the risk is on the population taking these products and the government, which will inevitably pay for any vaccine related injuries and deaths through higher taxes. This policy has led to a dramatic increase in the number of vaccines since 1986, many of which are for rare or minor diseases. The safety and effectiveness and risk/reward ratio for many of these newer vaccines is highly questionable and should be reconsidered.

### **The Problems.**

The change in law which occurred due to the *National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986* (herein referred to as the *Vaccine Act of 1986*) appears to be injuring and/or killing children at an unprecedented rate today due to the increase in the number of vaccines, and the lack of liability and accountability of the vaccine manufacturers. More recently, this includes adults as well due to the FDA granting emergency use authorization of the covid vaccines at “warp speed” without proof of their safety or effectiveness.

What if you made a product you claimed prevented cancer, but had no proof or evidence that it does, but the government sanctioned the sale of this product based upon this hypothesis, and made you free of any adverse effects the product caused? It would be like a ticket to print money with no downside risk. This is what we have with HPV vaccine Gardasil (currently Gardasil 9), which was approved based upon a hypothesis that it would prevent cervical cancer, but without any actual evidence that this is true. This is a setup for disaster. We should have incentives to make products safer, prove their effectiveness, protect the public, and have disincentives in place to prevent questionable products from reaching the market, or recommend these unproven and potentially dangerous products.

The legal process appears to be backwards and is slanted against the rights of vaccine injured victims to receive compensation for their claims. It is too easy for a vaccine to be approved. After vaccines are approved, then the pharmaceutical company is protected from liability. Then the burden is on people who have been injured or whose loved ones have been killed to prove that the vaccine was the cause of the injuries or deaths. This is wrong. The hurdles to get products like these approved by the FDA should be high, and the ability to be compensated from death or injury due to these vaccines should be low.

If we provide incentives for the vaccine makers to create safe and effective vaccines, then they will. And if we provide disincentives for vaccine makers from doing wrong, like having liability for their products, then they won't create highly questionable products. Currently, there does not appear to be any disincentive for doing wrong. This has created an unbalanced and untenable situation which we are now facing. Something must change.

We are seeing the aftermath of this malfeasance of duty of our government regulators playing out in real time as those injured by the covid vaccines are currently seeking remuneration due to their injuries. The plaintiffs in these cases appear to be facing an uphill battle as the FDA and related governmental organizations appear to be in a state of denial of wrongdoing to avoid responsibility for the widespread injuries and deaths due to covid vaccines of many people in the United States. This current state of denial should be replaced with a statement of admission of guilt by the government and vaccine makers that these vaccines were approved too quickly and were not proven to be safe for public use.

Liability was the element that kept things in balance prior to 1986. The laws were changed due to the *Vaccine Act of 1986*, and this freed vaccine manufacturers of liability. This was a blank check to create more vaccines with very little risk. And this is what they did. They created vaccines for rare and minor diseases, the FDA approved them, and then the CDC included them on their recommended vaccine schedule. Over time, the children were

inundated with a plethora of vaccines, some of which appear to be of questionable value, safety, and effectiveness. And the vaccine manufacturers were protected from harm. But what about the people taking these products, were they protected from harm, or could they at least get remuneration if they were injured?

The *Vaccine Act of 1986* established a few mechanisms for people who claimed to be vaccine injured, and it was supposed to be a relatively easy procedure to get remuneration for your claim. The law was supposed to favor people who were injured and was primarily based upon the timing of the vaccine and the injury which occurred. This has changed. It has become extremely difficult to pursue compensation for victims which were injured or killed due to the vaccines. The victims of these vaccine injuries bear the weight of proving that their injury was caused by the vaccines. Compensation for vaccine related injuries may have been relatively easier just after passage of this law, near 1986. But according to those who currently face this burden of proof, the process has become extremely difficult and combative, with the opposing counsel attempting to thwart remuneration to plaintiffs at all costs.

The *Vaccine Act of 1986* was passed, and the outcome hoped for was not realized. The intent of this law was to provide an incentive for manufacturers to stay in the vaccine business and continue making vaccines for the public, while at the same time giving the vaccine injured an easy way to be compensated due to vaccine related injuries. The reality of what happened is different. Vaccine manufacturers can make products that they do not have to prove are safe or effective. This resulted in some highly questionable vaccines, many of which our children are taking today. And people who claim vaccine injuries or who have vaccine damaged children are faced with insurmountable odds against being compensated for their injuries. These same people seeking justice for vaccine injuries or deaths are faced with being labeled as antivaxxers or anti-science. The results of this law are obvious, unfair, and are not serving the interests of the people.

As a result of this law and change in policies, our children are faced with a recommended list of vaccines which is much longer than 50 years ago. Remember 50 years ago, when there were very few people with eczema, allergies, ADHD, and autism was 1 in 10,000? Now eczema, allergies, inner ear problems, and ADHD are pervasive, and autism is less than 1 in 50. This 1986 change in law and policy appears to have created an incentive to flood the market with more vaccines, some of which we do not need, some which appear dangerous, and this appears to have caused an epidemic in allergies, autism, ADHD, and other diseases that we never even heard of 50 years ago. We appear to have caused the epidemics we are facing today.

Also, now we can include all the people who have been damaged by the covid vaccines in this ongoing breach of duty our government had to protect us from harm caused by vaccines which were unproven for safety and effectiveness. This ongoing tragedy being played out today throughout America is a sign that policy favors Big Pharma and their profits over the health and safety of the American people. The fact that this happened, and that it was a government mandate for many people to take these unproven experimental covid vaccines or lose their incomes, is a sign that these policies need to be changed. Again, the government and its agencies have much explaining to do.

**Solution #11: DECLARE VACCINE RISKS IN ADVERTISING. Pharmaceutical companies should have to declare known possible risks and adverse effects of their vaccines on advertising and commercials the same way as is required for drugs.**

This is not currently required, but it should be. The FDA regulations that apply to drugs, requiring corporations to note in DTC (direct to consumer) ads important risks and side effects, do not currently apply to vaccines. Vaccines are not without risk, and many people have been killed or injured by them, and these risks should be made

clearly known, just as is required for pharmaceutical drugs. Commercial advertising on any media should reflect these risks and allow people to be made aware of the dangers involved with vaccines. Without declaring risks of vaccines, there is no proper warning of the dangers of taking these products, and informed consent is not possible.

### **The Problems.**

There is no law requiring vaccine makers to declare vaccine risks in advertising. Taking vaccines is not without risk. People can be injured or killed by taking vaccines. People need to be given proper warning of these risks.

Either they recently got the memo, or enough lawsuits have been filed against the vaccine manufacturers due to not properly warning people about the possible adverse effects of vaccines. It seems as if more drug makers have been including the adverse effects of their vaccine products lately in their advertising. This should not be a choice. It should be required.

Currently, there are no laws which require vaccine manufacturers to state clearly the common or rare side effects of taking these products. This makes people believe that these products are free from adverse side effects. This lulls the population of people reading or watching these commercial advertisements into a false sense of complacency. It also makes the FDA and the drug manufacturers culpable in the event of a vaccine injury or death regarding these products, due to not being given proper warning regarding the risks.

Do you remember seeing any warnings concerning the adverse effects of the covid vaccines at the time they came out and were being distributed to the people of the United States? Do you remember seeing all the billboards along the highway, and the TV ads which were encouraging everyone to do the "right thing," get your vaccines, and protect your family and community? Do you

recall seeing any warnings of the potential side effects of these new and quickly produced vaccines?

**Solution #12: LEGALLY TREAT VACCINES LIKE DRUGS.** There should be no legal difference in the treatment of drugs and vaccines. Vaccines should be labeled as drugs by the FDA, and the rules and regulations regarding drugs should be applied to vaccines.

Pre-licensing testing for vaccines should undergo at least as much or even more scrutiny than is required for drugs. Drugs and vaccines are currently labeled differently and are given different treatment under the law for pre-licensing and the trials stages, and in the FDA approval process. Currently, it is much easier for a vaccine to be approved than it is for a drug to be approved. Gardasil (or Gardasil 9) never had to prove its effectiveness against cancer due to this difference in the law. Vaccines should be proven to be safe and effective the same as for drugs. Vaccines should be placed under the same, or even stricter guidelines than are followed for drugs, because these products are going to be given to our children. Proof that these vaccines are really “safe and effective” is the main goal of this solution.

### **The Problems.**

Legally treating vaccines like drugs would solve a few of the problems which are outlined here. The basic question should be: If drugs are voluntarily taken by the patient due to a certain condition present, and the risk/rewards of these products have been discussed thoroughly by the doctor with the patient, then shouldn't vaccines be given much more scrutiny in the trial process, and shouldn't there be much more information regarding these things we are trusting our children to take to prevent mostly rare diseases? The point is we should be very careful what we give our children, especially as their brains and bodies are in an early developmental stage as infants, and safety should be of primary importance at this

junction of life, far surpassing the importance of the effectiveness of the vaccines.

By definition, vaccines are labeled as drugs. By practice and law, they are not. If vaccines were labeled as drugs, then there would be a warning in place for all vaccines which contain aluminum. Also, it would be necessary to place warnings of potential adverse effects in advertising for vaccines. And furthermore, the liability protection that is allowed for pharmaceutical companies for vaccines would not exist, and they would be subject to the same set of laws that drugs have. These three errors in policy could be corrected with this one change in the law.

In addition to the above, vaccines do not have to prove their effectiveness as drugs are required to do. And what about long-term safety? Vaccines can be authorized for public use without any long term (greater than one year) studies which demonstrate their safety. Remember the covid vaccines which were authorized for use by the FDA in less than a year's time? Emergency use authorization for these experimental vaccines was a bad idea and bad policy. The cumulative effects of this widespread covid vaccine trial are still yet to be known. Eventually, or should I say hopefully, *the truth will be allowed to be known* to the public. Currently, Big Government, Big Pharma, and Big Tech appear to be working together to stifle any dissent or negative information which is being spread against the covid vaccines and related deaths and/or injuries.

Not legally treating vaccines the same as drugs has allowed for too many vaccines to be approved too quickly, and without evidence they are truly safe and/or effective. The problems due to current laws appear to be causing many common adverse effects of these vaccines among our children, which is especially notable over the last 50 years as the number of these recommended vaccines has increased. Where ADHD, autism, allergic disorders, and neurodevelopmental disorders were once rare, now they have become common. This damage to our young can be stopped with

better laws. Legally treating vaccines the same as drugs would be a good place to start.

The current laws appear to be the opposite of what they should be. Drugs and their potential risks and benefits are explained to people that have a choice of whether or not to take them. And vaccines are often forced on children without any real explanation of their risks and benefits, and if parents refuse to allow them to be given to their children, they may not be allowed to attend public schools. Legally treating vaccines like drugs would solve this injustice, provide choices for people, eliminate forced vaccinations, and result in the process of informed consent.

**Solution #13: AFTER ANTIBIOTIC THERAPY, DELAY VACCINATIONS. Antibiotics therapy for children should be a contraindication to taking any vaccines until the body has recovered completely from the illness and the antibiotics. This should be at least 6 months to 1 year of time and include probiotic therapy.**

When antibiotics are prescribed to infants and/or young children, this should be seen as a contraindication to taking any vaccines for at least 6 months to a year, as their young bodies need to recuperate from these antibiotics. Also, probiotics should be recommended as a follow up therapy after the antibiotic regimen has been concluded, to assist the body in rebuilding the internal bacterial flora requisite for optimal health. Antibiotics are not without risk, and the patients should be made fully aware of how these products can affect their health. Warnings against taking prescription drugs and/or vaccines too soon after antibiotic therapy should be part of this disclosure. Recommendations for patients to take probiotics for a certain time period after an antibiotic regimen to rebuild healthy bacteria levels in the system should be a standard part of patient care.

## **The Problems.**

Antibiotics literally means “against life.” Antibiotics kill bacteria. They not only kill bad bacteria which are causing illness, they also kill good bacteria. These good bacteria help our bodies process food, provide nutrients, metabolize foreign materials such as drugs and vaccines, protect us against foreign invaders, and help the immune system of our bodies. Therefore, after you take antibiotics, the body and its functions are impaired, its defense systems are compromised, and it has weakened immune function. This is not a situation where you want to take any foreign materials, like vaccines or other medicines, especially those known to have toxins within them, because the body may not be prepared to deal with them. It takes time for the body to recover from the use of antibiotics.

Perhaps this is why many people taking antibiotics end up in the emergency room or hospital. Antibiotics right after birth may be why many newborns end up in the neonatal ICU. Many newborns are suspected of potentially having septic conditions for which antibiotics are given, and they sometimes have an adverse reaction to these drugs. A common adverse reaction to antibiotics is the overgrowth of yeast in the gut. Couple this fact with the hep B vaccine which the CDC recommends being given right after birth. The hep B vaccine has brewer’s yeast within it, and this would appear to be an ideal setup for numerous yeast associated problems to occur in the newborn’s system. This could be one of the reasons why so many newborns end up in the neonatal intensive care unit after being given antibiotics.

Doctors appear to have grown overconfident in the benefits and safety of antibiotics, and there is an overprescription problem. Antibiotics are perceived as “very safe” drugs due to noticeably fewer obvious adverse reactions with these products than other prescription drugs. Therefore, doctors tend to prescribe these drugs just to be on the “safe side.” Often doctors will prescribe antibiotics for upper respiratory illnesses which are viral in nature because they want to appear to be doing something proactive, or the patient

is requesting it, even though antibiotics are worthless for viral infections. It is easier to prescribe the patients antibiotics than it is to explain to them why they are unnecessary for their condition, or to argue with patients. After a long day in the office, the easy thing to do is write the prescription and avoid conflict. This is not a good medical practice, but it happens a lot.

All these conditions combined are causing overuse of antibiotics, which are causing problems for many people today, including the growing global problem of antimicrobial resistance (AMR). AMR produces bacteria which are resistant to antibiotics. This means antibiotics may no longer work if you have a bacterial problem. AMR is responsible for the deaths of tens of thousands of people in the United States every year (CDC). This is due to overuse of antibiotics. Due to this excessive use of antibiotics, we may potentially end up with a bacterial superbug that kills hundreds of millions. By some estimates, AMR is reportedly killing over a million people worldwide per year currently.

My main concern in the here and now is for newborns and infants, not adults. While in theory it would be a good idea for adults not to use prescription medications or get vaccines in close timing after an antibiotic regimen, it may not be practical due to their condition(s). For children, and especially newborns, it is a no brainer. Just follow the simple rule of "First, do no harm," as prescribed by Hippocrates.

**Solution #14. BAN CONSUMER DRUG AND VACCINE ADVERTISING.** There should be no direct to consumer (DTC) advertising of vaccines and/or drugs. DTC marketing and advertising of pharmaceutical drugs and vaccines are not in the public's interest and should be made illegal.

When advertising dollars are at work, then TV stations, newspapers, medical journals, radio stations, and all other commercial media companies become pressured by these Big Pharma companies to displace truth with what will be most

profitable to the media company, which means what will benefit their advertisers. The promotion of these products is often deceptive, and predominately shows potential benefits while discounting any adverse effects. Only the United States and New Zealand currently allow these types of ads. The other countries of the world had the foresight to realize that allowing Big Pharma to advertise their products would grant them too much power over us, which they could then abuse. Money which is currently used to promote drugs and vaccines increases the cost of these products, while at the same time limits the money available to do research for the safety of these products. Drug and vaccine advertising does not benefit the public welfare.

### **The Problems.**

When you see an advertisement on TV, it is an inducement to do something. It generally works, and this is why they do it. The money is well spent. On a product like pizza, it is obvious what you are getting. You know the cost. You understand it is not the healthiest food in the world for you. And you understand the risk, which is that it can make you feel bloated or sick if you eat too much, it can add inches to your waistline, and if you are not careful and have little self-control, then it can lead to other health problems as well.

Drugs and vaccines are much different than other products offered in advertising. These products may help you in the right circumstances, but if you are not careful, or if your body cannot handle these products, they can kill you or adversely affect you for the rest of your life. In an advertisement, to simply show a group of people enjoying themselves, feeling good, doing adventurous things, and finding meaningful companionship with others by taking a drug or a vaccine appears misleading, if not downright wrong. It is an inducement to “ask your doctor” to prescribe these things or give you these products. As we saw in the opioid crisis, many doctors are willing to write prescriptions for products their patients want, even if it is not in the best interests of their health.

These advertisements lead to many unnecessary prescriptions, and therefore medicines are being taken too much, too often, and oftentimes for the wrong reasons. If you really think that all of your problems can be solved by taking prescription medicines and/or vaccines, then you are deluding yourself. Everyone should understand that they are in charge of their own health, and if they simply eat right, get fresh air and some exercise, then most of these products will not be necessary, and their health will be better without these products.

The small print is where the risks are hidden. You are watching your advertisement, and the advertisement is emphasizing the benefits of the product clearly and loudly, and then when the risks come, the volume of the ad will often go down a few notches, the voice speaking about the risks of the products will be faster, and the print on the ad will be so small and be shown for such an insignificant amount of time that not even the world's fastest reader could have read the entire warning placed there. This is not "proper warning" and should not be construed as such.

This is not a good way to market products that can potentially cause people's health to decline, injure them, or even possibly kill them. These types of advertising are not beneficial to people in the United States, and the outcome of such advertising is too many people taking too many drugs or vaccines, and often for the wrong reasons, and this increases the likelihood of poor health outcomes in an unsuspecting population that is altogether too trusting about the healthcare system and products available for them.

**Solution #15. DISALLOW ANY MANDATE OF VACCINES UNPROVEN FOR SAFETY OR EFFECTIVENESS. No matter how deadly and/or contagious a virus or bacteria is, there should never be forced vaccination of an unproven vaccine on the American people. If it requires years of testing to prove the safety and effectiveness of a vaccine, then let it take years.**

Government mandates to take the covid vaccines, which were still in the trial stages, and unproven for long-term safety and effectiveness, violated *The Nuremberg Code*, which prohibits medical experimentation on people against their will and without their informed consent. It was “mob rule” which caused this to happen, and it was fear mongering on the part of Big Pharma, Big Government, and Big Tech (TV news and internet) which caused this mob rule. Let us never allow this to happen again. Long-term effects of any vaccine should be known before any type of mandate or edict from above are allowed to be enforceable by law.

### **The Problems.**

Due to the atrocities of the Nazi regime in World War II, and the brutal experimentation of human subjects against their will, we came up with what is known as the *Nuremberg Code*, which was created to make sure this type of forced human experimentation would never happen again. But unfortunately, this did happen again, right here in America with the unproven experimental covid vaccines.

Excerpt from the *Nuremberg Code*:

“The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have... free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion... The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering of the subject...”

The American people were forced to take an experimental vaccine against their will. We were lied to and deceived by government

regulators. We were manipulated by Big Tech and its media control. The *Nuremberg Code* has been violated. Let those responsible pay for their crimes against the American people and all of humanity. We were used as lab rats in a giant vaccine study.

People tend to forget things quickly which are no longer important in their lives. This is what the government and Big Pharma are hoping for. It has not been so long since covid, which brought masking and mandates to the forefront of our minds. To keep their jobs, many people had to take vaccines which were never really tested or studied for any real length of time, and the consequences of long-term effects of these vaccines were and largely still are unknown. Apparently, there is a concerted effort by the powers that be, namely Big Pharma, Big Tech, and Big Government, to make sure the real effects on health of people due to the covid vaccines are never leaked out into any public media for all to see. This attempt at a coverup is failing. There are simply too many people having problems due to these covid vaccines to hide the facts. Let us to never allow unproven experimental vaccines to be forced on the American people again. Vaccine mandates may be acceptable for a communist country, where rule by edict is tolerated, but it should never happen in a democracy, where the people are supposed to be free to think and do as they please with wide-reaching freedoms.

How did these covid vaccine mandates come about? How were they justified? How were they permitted by law? These things came about through fear, especially that an epidemic like that of the Spanish Flu, which by some estimates killed upwards of 50 million people in the world back around 1920. This fear was coupled with state laws that had been in place since the time smallpox still ravaged the earth. The verdict of *Jacobson v. Massachusetts (1904)* upheld the state's rights to impose vaccine mandates on the people of the United States, and the result of this decision was everyone had to be vaccinated against smallpox for the good of the community and country. Let's place this in historical perspective. Smallpox killed 3 in every 10 people it infected (per the CDC). No

other disease on the earth at that time, or this current time, is even comparable. The point is that the vaccine mandate law is based on a disease that has been eradicated from the earth, and the law that was in place to fight against this disease should be eradicated along with it. This law should be repealed once and for all. There is no longer any reason for any vaccine mandate.

I know what some of you are thinking now. What if another epidemic comes and could be as devastating as the Spanish Flu, or worse, like that of smallpox? What then? The answer is too not panic, not be swayed by fear mongering, not to jump on a bandwagon of mob mentality due to this fear mongering, and not to allow mandates for unproven experimental trial vaccines which may end up doing more harm than good. The only end result of this type of behavior is that Big Pharma will reap a windfall of profits for highly questionable vaccines, Big Media will profit as people are forced to stay at home in fear, as they quake in their boots and watch their media and fill Big Tech's pockets with oversized profits, and Big Government will become bigger and more powerful, while at the same time they take away our freedoms to go where we want due to travel restrictions, to restrict what we want to say or hear or read, as they restrict the information flow on the news and media sources to propagate their narrative (propagate propaganda), all at the same time as they force us to comply with their vaccine mandates or we will lose our jobs. All of these things happened with covid, which proved to be a disease which was similar in severity to a bad flu. This is unconscionable.

Real vaccines take time to make. It is not possible to create a safe and effective vaccine and to mass produce it in less than a year's time. Let history be your guide. It takes many years to make a vaccine that can be considered safe and effective, and this is after years of research on the disease, numerous animal studies, years of perfecting the manufacturing process, and then years of human trials. Even then, after many years, after all the test trials have been completed and these products have been approved by the FDA for public use, we still have had many cases of these drugs or vaccine

products that became problematic, causing deaths or injuries, and which needed to be removed from public use. The following are examples of FDA approved vaccines which went awry.

*Salk Polio vaccine.* In 1947, Jonas Salk began his study of the polio virus. By 1953, Jonas Salk had developed his polio vaccine, and announced he had tested it successfully against polio. It was two years later, in 1955, that this vaccine was declared “safe and effective,” and ready for public use. This is a typical time frame necessary to develop a vaccine which will be safe for public use. To think we could have developed a “safe and effective” vaccine against covid in less than one year of time is ridiculous. And after all of Jonas Salk’s hard work, what happened next to his vaccine?

It was then necessary to manufacture his vaccine on a larger scale so it could be mass produced. Think about it like this: Jonas Salk had a beautiful vaccine for polio, but the pharmaceutical manufacturers tried to cut costs, use cheaper equipment not suited for the production of the vaccine, and a few manufacturers produced a vaccine which caused tens of thousands of children to contract polio, a large number of which died or were injured permanently for life. All of this death and injury occurred after many rigorous years of producing, testing, and refining the production process for this polio vaccine.

Ok. Now let’s put this into perspective. Think about creating and perfecting a covid vaccine, and then rolling out the mass production of this covid vaccine to the public flawlessly, all in less than a year, and having no problems with the production and/or the quality of the vaccine produced. Makes you wonder, doesn’t it?

*Rotashield vaccine.* Even more recently, we had a vaccine for Rotavirus called Rotashield, which was approved for use in 1998. This vaccine was found to cause intussusception, which is a blockage of the bowels that occurs when a segment of the intestines slides inside another, which can cause death. This vaccine caused many deaths and vaccine related injuries in infants as was reported in VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting

System), and this vaccine was removed from the FDA approved vaccine list in 1999.

These things happen to FDA approved drugs as well. The list would be too long to include all the FDA approved drugs and vaccines which were necessary to remove from public use due to dangers which unexpectedly popped up after years of use. If you are interested to learn more, please look this up on Google yourself and see. Try "*Drug and vaccine recalls.*" Quite a list, isn't it? This realization of unexpected consequences appears to be rearing its head now due to the covid vaccine trial many of us were forced to participate in and endure. And now we will have to live with the consequences of this unjustified government mandate which stole our freedoms, adversely affected our health, and took many lives in the process.

The point I am striving to make here is that these other vaccines and drugs had gone through lengthy testing and review processes which took many years and were finally approved by the FDA, and they ended up killing and/or injuring many people and children. The products and/or the processes whereby the manufacturers produced these products were proven in the end to be unsafe for Americans. How safe do you think that quickly made covid-19 vaccines could be, which were created and approved within less than a year, did not have any long-term studies for safety and efficacy, and which used mRNA technology which has never been used or tested in humans before? In other words, we were all guinea pigs for basically unproven experimental vaccines which had no proof of efficacy against the virus, and certainly no substantial evidence for the short-term or long-term safety and health outcomes of the test subjects involved.

To ensure that this whole disaster that took place here in America was complete, the FDA gave its approval (emergency use authorization) for these unproven products, removed any liability from the vaccine manufacturers, ensuring oversized profits to the vaccine makers without any risk, and forced us to comply with these

mandates. In one fell swoop, they removed our liberties and freedoms and endangered the health of an entire generation of Americans, while at the same time making Big Pharma and Big Tech richer, and Big Government more powerful. This is unacceptable. The government should be looking out for the health and welfare of the American people. They did not protect us in this covid time period. In fact, they led us into harm's way, and forced us to do things potentially detrimental to our health, while at the same time removing from us our liberties. Let us never allow this to happen again.

**Solution #16. ELIMINATE CONSUMER PENALTIES FOR NON-VACCINATION.** There should be no threat of losing one's job, and no threat of your children not being able to attend public school if you decide to opt out of the recommended vaccines. The American people should have the choice of informed consent for every vaccine and should be able to make this choice without any pressure from external sources.

The state laws which were upheld by the Supreme Court verdict *Jacobson v. Massachusetts (1904)* to allow and enforce vaccine mandates on the people of the United States was based entirely upon the threat of smallpox, which killed 3 of every 10 persons that contracted this disease. This disease has been eradicated from the planet, and the basis for vaccine mandate laws have thereby been removed. The diseases which remain are minor and rare in comparison, and do not warrant vaccine mandates. It is time for change. The liberty and freedom of the American people should not be restricted because those who advocate for vaccines should not rule over those who do not. America was founded on the principles of freedom of choice, and the ability for families to choose how and what they believe, and also what they ingest or inject into their bodies. Vaccine mandates are another name for medical tyranny. Vaccine mandates are un-American.

## The Problems.

We are losing our freedom of choice and independence as Americans. This has been going on for decades. It is a continuous fight. And then covid happened. People across the United States were placed in a situation they should never have been placed as people in a free and independent democracy. We were told to get our vaccination for covid, or we could not keep our jobs or travel. If we all were independently wealthy, we could have avoided the vaccination. But for most of us, this was not possible. The choice became an "either-or" situation. Either pay your mortgage, have a home for your family, provide food for those you love, or lose your job, and possibly your home. In other words, take the vaccine, or possibly lose everything you have worked for all of your life. This was a simple decision to make for anyone who faced this choice. But it was not right. We should never have been forced to make this choice. This was a government mandate much like any mandate found in a communist country. But the *United States is not a communist country yet*, and this should not have happened.

As stated earlier, this vaccine mandate power of the government is based upon a Supreme Court decision from 1904 to prevent smallpox from spreading. Smallpox killed 3 in 10 of those who were infected. No disease currently on the planet has anywhere near this type of killing ratio. And covid proved to be nothing more serious than a bad flu. The government overstepped its power and what should have been its bounds. It crossed the line. We became part of a totalitarian system once we went down this path and accepted these mandates. We have to reverse course and get away from excessive governmental control and power, or we may lose all of our freedoms in the future.

We should begin our journey back to freedom *the American way, which is liberty and justice for all*, by removing all government and state mandates for vaccines. No adult should be forced to take a vaccine in order to keep their job. And no child should be denied

access to public school for not adhering to the CDC recommended vaccine schedule.

State vaccine mandates are in place for rare or minor diseases, many of which we have not seen in decades. Many of these state laws were implemented at the request of Big Pharma and their lobbyists which paid the legislators of these states to impose these school vaccine requirements on our children. They did not impose these vaccine requirements to protect children, but rather to push their vaccine products to make money. In fact, many of the laws in these states were written by the pharmaceutical companies or their minions to promote more vaccinations, and thereby create more profits for the vaccine makers. The point is that our government and elected officials are no longer serving the interests of the people. They are serving Big Pharma and money.

As stated, this power of the state was implemented initially as a practical response to smallpox, which is a disease that killed 30% of those infected. No other disease on the planet is currently capable of producing such widespread illness and death as smallpox. Let the decision to vaccinate for the remaining rare or minor diseases revert as they should back to the people, and to the parents/guardians of their children. The government and its regulators have already proven beyond the shadow of a doubt that they are not capable of advocating for the people of the United States. Who they truly advocate for is Big Pharma.

Trust the parents to do the right thing for their children. If they feel that a vaccine is right for their children, then let them make that choice without external pressures. Do not threaten parents by denying their children a public school education if they choose not to vaccinate. Let the doctors explain the benefits and the risks of the vaccines to the parents. Then they will be informed. And if they choose to vaccinate, then they will have informed consent. Vaccines should be a choice, and never a mandate. Give the power back to the parents and the people of this country. Let freedom ring.



“The secret of freedom lies in educating people, whereas the secret of tyranny is in keeping them ignorant.” – Maximilien Robespierre

## **CHAPTER FOUR - THE SOURCE MATERIAL**

**NOTE:** I have departed from standard protocol regarding quotes and a standard bibliography (End Notes) for the material in this chapter. I have included the quotes along with their sources for your convenience and easy reference.

The authors of these books and other information sources I cite I consider to be my good friends, although I do not personally know them. I regard them in high esteem, as they are mentors to me in this quest to uproot evil, lies, deceit, and treachery from Big Pharma, Big Tech, Big Government and the medical industry. Initially, this part of the book did not exist. It was at the recommendation of my friends, who felt that claims from an unknown author would be considered no more than conjecture and idle speculation and would not carry enough weight to support the conclusions and their related solutions. I have only cited enough material to support these solutions. I could have easily cited more. I wanted to keep my quotes from these other sources at a bare minimum, because I did not want to abuse the friendships of these other authors and their valuable contributions to this cause. Only by like-minded individuals working together against a common enemy can evil be rooted out. Please support these authors by buying their books, and support the organizations which advocate for us, our health, and our medical freedoms (go to their organization websites). You will see for yourselves they are a treasure trove of information, and well worth the cost.

**Solution #1. ELIMINATE PAYOFFS IN CLINICS TO PROMOTE VACCINATIONS.** It should be illegal for doctors to accept bonuses or other incentives from insurance or pharmaceutical companies for vaccinating patients. This practice is clearly a conflict of interest.

I didn't know this was happening until I began doing this research. But apparently, it is common knowledge in the medical community. The following are a few examples.

“But these year-end bonuses and penalties probably do make some doctors reluctant to accept nonvaccinating families into their practice, as too many of them would affect a doctor's bottom line.” – *The Vaccine Book*. Robert W Sears, MD. 2019. Pg 192.

Here is a quote from an internet article which may prove interesting for those who want to learn more, titled *Financial Kickbacks to Pediatricians for Vaccination Harms Children*. Posted on August 19, 2018, by Jeffrey Dach MD.

“Blue Cross Blue Shield pays your doctor a \$40,000 bonus for fully vaccinating 100 patients under the age of 2. If your doctor manages to fully vaccinate 200, that bonus jumps to \$80,000.”

Knowledge about this conflict of interest has become so well known that legislation in Pennsylvania was created as Bill 286. This information also comes from the internet article mentioned above.

“House Bill 286, also known as the Informed Consent Protection Act which makes it illegal for doctors to accept bonuses or other incentives from insurance or drug companies for vaccinating patients.”

*Author Note:* I looked into this online. I was unable to see if they even had a vote on this. What I found were some words which were hopeful this would be passed in the 2021 season. It wasn't. And then a similar hope in 2024. From my understanding of politics, it seems Bill 286 is dying in committee. Big Pharma and their paid minions do not want this to come to a vote. They want to preserve

their power over us. This is why we need a wave of support to force these things to come to fruition. It appears that Big Pharma interests have stopped Bill 286 in its tracks and is unlikely to go farther. Big Pharma has Big Money. Politicians like Big Money. *This appears to be another example that special interests and lobbying groups are directing those in power who are making decisions which affect our country, its people and children.* Because of this, Big Pharma is currently allowed to rule over us. This needs to change.

The ironic thing concerning this issue is when bills are created to limit vaccines or the power of Big Pharma, these things are usually stalled, or dead on arrival. But if there are bills created which favor more vaccines, vaccine mandates and requirements, or other issues which strengthen Big Pharma and their ability to make greater profits and increase their reach and power, these bills are up for a vote immediately. This only exemplifies the fact that our lawmakers are no longer working for us. They are simply minions of Big Pharma.

Maybe an example to better exemplify this point is needed.

“Gardasil was approved by the FDA in 2006. By 2007, 41 states and the District of Columbia had introduced HPV vaccine legislation including bills in 24 states that would make HPV vaccination a requirement for entry into the sixth grade.” - NVIC (National Vaccine Information Center.)

This information is from the NVIC and its section on HPV vaccine information. This organization is a well spring of useful information regarding vaccines. This quote demonstrates the power of Big Pharma. When something favors Big Pharma and their expansion of power, the vote in the state legislatures is almost immediate. When something like the above-mentioned Bill 286, which would be beneficial for people and their families, yet curtails the power of Big Pharma, it is permanently stalled in its tracks.

We want the doctor's focus to be on us, our children, and what is in the best interests of health. When there are other interests involved, this may not be possible. We do not want the doctor and their office

staff making decisions based on a year-end bonus from another source. We want an unbiased professional opinion. We need to take these conflicts of interest away.

**Solution #2. PRACTICE INFORMED CONSENT WITHOUT PREJUDICE.** Parents and their children should not be refused service by any pediatric office due to their personal beliefs on vaccinations, nor should they be kicked out of these offices for refusal to take any vaccines or by not complying with following the CDC's recommended vaccine schedule. The doctors should be practicing informed consent, educating parents and children on vaccines and their benefits and risks, and allowing them to decide whether or not they wish to vaccinate.

*Author Note: This goes hand in hand with what is mentioned in the notes from Solution #1. The pediatric office I took my daughter to was one of these clinics that practiced "full compliance" with the CDC recommendations. The only reason we were not kicked out of this office when we stopped my daughter's vaccinations and going along with the CDC recommended vaccine schedule was due to our original doctor retiring and being replaced with a new doctor. Since he inherited us from our prior doctor, we were exempted from the rules about being kicked out of the practice. I was told by our new doctor that "full compliance" with the CDC recommendations was practiced by their office, and those who did not submit were asked to leave and find another pediatric office.*

How does this office rule to comply with the CDC recommended vaccine schedule serve the interests of the children and their health? It doesn't. It simply points back to Solution #1 which demonstrates why this policy is in effect. It has nothing to do with children and their health; it has everything to do with the pursuit of money and the maximization of profits.

In an article titled **What Mothers Should Know About COVID and COVID-19 Vaccines for Children**, written by Barbara Loe Fisher on her NVIC website 3/11/2022, she wrote:

“... A 2020 study reported that more than half of US pediatricians refuse to care for a child if their mothers decline to give the child even one of the four dozen doses of other vaccines CDC officials insist all children must get before age six.”

More than half would “refuse to serve,” or in other words, kick these children out of the pediatric practice for refusing to take even one of the CDC recommended vaccines. These children could take all of the CDC recommended vaccines except one, and due to this one, they would be kicked out of more than half the pediatric offices in this country. This kind of compulsion is mind boggling. It does not serve the interests of the children.

Let us apply what the reality is for children in today’s world with the recently approved covid vaccines, which were approved by the FDA with emergency use authorization (EUA) decree. These covid vaccines are experimental vaccines, and they have not gone through the years of testing and scrutiny that other vaccines have typically gone through. Hopefully, a recommendation by the CDC will not be extended for unproven experimental vaccines like the covid vaccines. This would be coercion to force children to be test subjects in a vaccine trial.

It is a fair assumption to think that this vaccine would be optional, and that doctors and their office staff would not push these unproven experimental vaccine products on our youth. This assumption may not be correct. There are insidious forces at work in these offices, and the tentacles of Big Pharma can be clearly seen if you look for them. If the money is dangled before the doctors and their offices, these covid vaccines will be pushed upon our youth. We need to take these conflicts of interest out of doctor’s offices. They do not serve the interests of the patients.

At the request of Big Pharma and its minions, some states and their lawmakers appear have broadened their push for these covid

vaccines on the public, and this pressure has been extended to children. There must be forces at work which are compelling these pediatric offices to side against reason and common sense and this is putting these children's lives and health at risk. And I should say, needlessly at risk. Statistics have clearly shown that this covid virus rarely affects young children, and therefore it seems pointless to force or recommend them to receive a vaccine for it.

Louis Pasteur would certainly object to the FDA approval of emergency use authorization (EUA) vaccines in people and would consider a mandate for them in children to be unconscionable. These vaccines are not proven to be safe or effective, have no long-term studies, and are experimental vaccines. Why would we want to use our children as lab rats?

**Solution #3. PARENTS SHOULD DECIDE WHAT IS RIGHT FOR THEIR CHILDREN. No drugs, vaccines, or medical procedures of any kind should be given or done to any child without the knowledge, approval, and consent of the parents or guardians of that child. No federal funding for children's services within individual states for foster care or adoption should be allowed, as this violates the 10<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the Constitution, and encourages medical kidnapping by the states. Medical kidnapping by the state and their children's health services due to a difference of professional medical opinion in health treatments for children should be disallowed. Doctors make mistakes. Therefore, one doctor's diagnosis or opinion should never be considered enough evidence to take a child away from their parents. The parents/guardians, along with the advice of their own healthcare providers and not the state, shall determine what the acceptable treatment, if any, for any health condition present in their children should be. Parental rights and determination regarding children's health treatment in cooperation with a licensed healthcare provider supersedes any local medical or governmental authority.**

### a. Political Corruption

This whole thing is crazy. If kids are old enough to decide on getting vaccines and medical procedures without parental approval, then why not let them drink alcohol, smoke, join the army, and watch R-Rated movies? I am sure some extremely liberal-minded people would agree with allowing these things to happen. But that isn't the point. The point is that some states wish to regulate what should be decided by the children's parents or guardians. They are trying to purposefully ruin the concept of family and remove parental rights.

In addition to this, it is important note that legislation which promotes vaccines and drugs is most likely written and pushed by Big Pharma, which can be symbolically pictured like a hog trough filled with money where many of the legislators feed. Big Pharma uses money and their lobbyists effectively for getting legislation passed that they want, and for blocking legislation which would hurt their goal of vaccine and drug promotion. Big Pharma has that much power over our elected representatives.

“The HPV vaccine manufacturer aggressively (and non-transparently) lobbied legislators to mandate their vaccine for school entry, drafted the legislation, provided the science, and made financial contributions to lawmakers.” - *Miller's Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*. Neil Z. Miller 2016. Pg 137.

The quote here is from *Miller's Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*, which is a book everyone should read, and offers excellent research material from various published studies and journals. In this instance, the book was quoting this study: “Mello MM, Abiola S., Colgrove J. **Pharmaceutical companies' role in state vaccination policymaking: the case of human papillomavirus vaccination.** *Am J Public Health* 2012 May; 102(5): 893-98.”

Well, now you may be wondering, how widespread is Big Pharma's power and corruption of the system? It is pervasive. The following quote should say it all. This time the quote is from the following study: “DeLong G. **Conflicts of interest in vaccine safety research.** *Account Res* 2012; 19(2): 65-88.”

“The vaccine industry employs three lobbyists for every member of Congress. Many lobbyists within the pharmaceutical industry were former members of Congress.” - *Miller’s Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*. Neil Z. Miller 2016. Pg 310.

Three lobbyists for each member of Congress!!! Just think for yourself how much money must be sloshing around. If you wonder how a poor person enters politics, and then leaves rich, you need not wonder any longer. Here is the answer in black and white. Special interest money. Political corruption pays well. Big Pharma knows how to take care of people’s financial greed as long as they get what they want. And they want to promote vaccines and drugs and make more money.

Just to finish off this topic, here is a title for an article in the Los Angeles Times May 5, 2022. Written by Don Thompson Associated Press: **“State measure that would allow preteens to get vaccines without parental consent advances.”**

This state measure to promote vaccines without parental consent advances. With enough money and power, all things can advance. This is what we are up against as law abiding citizens attempting to protect our families and our children. The irony here is that we voted these people into elected office to serve us, and then special interest money like Big Pharma paid them enough money so they could be served. The people in this country are no longer being served by their elected officials, and we all know this to be true. Big Pharma holds all the money, power, and political connections.

### **b. State Sanctioned Medical Kidnapping.**

In Barbara Loe Fisher’s book, *A Shot in the Dark*, there was a story of a family with three boys who were of school age. The first two boys, due to state law, were forced to take their vaccination shots at the time (early 1980s) because they were required to gain entry to school. After they suffered vaccine injuries, they were provided a doctor written exemption from further vaccinations, and this doctor written exemption was honored by their state. Therefore, these two

children were exempted from state required vaccinations for school and were allowed to attend public school.

When their third child, Douglas, was six months old, the pediatrician assured the parents that vaccine reactions were not hereditary, and that Douglas should receive his DPT shot. Douglas had a violent reaction to the shot, a temperature of 105 degrees, and was throwing up and had severe diarrhea for four days. The parents said no more shots, and their doctor agreed with them, and he wrote out an exemption for this third child.

Then they moved to Arkansas. No philosophical or religious exemptions were allowed in Arkansas. Although Arkansas honored the vaccine exemptions for the two older boys due to their obvious vaccine injuries, they would not honor the vaccine exemption the doctor had written for Douglas, because he appeared to be normal and healthy. The state of Arkansas refused to give Douglas a medical exemption and would therefore not allow him to attend school, unless he received the state required vaccinations. Due to their son not attending school as was required by state law, the parents were found guilty in court of child neglect...

“...and ordered to surrender their son to the state Social Services Divisions on January 27 so that he could be placed in a foster home and vaccinated.” – *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*. Harris L Coulter and Barbara Loe Fisher. 1985. Pg 328

The parents were not about to let this happen. They sent Douglas to live with relatives in Louisiana, and he was allowed to attend school there. Louisiana accepted the exemption letter written by their doctor stating Douglas should not receive any more vaccines. Since he was attending school, then the parents were no longer guilty of child neglect. They became a split family, and they visited Douglas on weekends when they could. The state of Arkansas would not listen to reason, would not accept a vaccine exemption written by the family doctor, and in effect broke up the family because the parents would not comply in the likely vaccine injury which would result if Douglas received any more vaccines.

This should never have happened. The state should have listened to the family's healthcare professional. But they overruled the parents and their family doctor. Having their son receive the mandated vaccines should not have been the parent's only choice, especially due to the risk involved and the obvious hereditary factors of vaccine injury. The parents knew the risk was extremely high that the DPT vaccine and other vaccines that Arkansas was attempting to force Douglas to take against sound reason and medical advice would imperil the health and mind of their least vaccine damaged child.

This is simply another reason against these state mandates, another reason against the power of the state making decisions for the family. This is another reason for parents, along with their own healthcare provider, being in control of their children's health and welfare, and another reason for the law of informed consent to be made law across the country. When the state has this kind of power over you and your family, you are helpless and subject to their authority. This is not how the founding fathers envisioned our republic to be. There should be no vaccine mandates. These mandates are only proof of medical tyranny.

### **c. A Recently Attempted Medical Kidnapping.**

If you and your own doctor have arrived at a treatment plan for your child's illness, and as a parent you are following this plan, not everyone would agree that what you are doing was "in the best interest of the child," and the state can move to take this child away from you. This is outrageous, but apparently it happened a lot during this covid episode as the state wanted not only to enforce mask mandates, but to enforce its recommended medical treatments and disallow other treatments proffered by family healthcare professionals that were at odds with the FDA, CDC, and WHO recommendations for covid treatment.

This type of behavior by the state has been going on for more than 50 years, but recently has picked up in intensity as the state grip on

power over us has tightened. One reason this medical kidnapping was gaining in intensity was due a difference of professional opinion regarding the choice of drugs for treatment of covid versus the established medical narrative. Many honest and well-intentioned doctors realized that ivermectin as a prophylactic and treatment for covid was an intelligent choice. But the medical establishment would have none of this cheap and effective remedy. This readily available drug would prevent Big Pharma from making money off less effective, but more expensive treatment choices that could fill their coffers with money.

If you think this may be an exaggeration, let's look more closely at the facts. Ivermectin is cheap, dirt cheap, has nearly no adverse side effects, and has been used in humans for dozens of years for a variety of reasons, mostly due to its antiviral and antiparasitic properties. But remdesivir, the recommended treatment choice by the FDA and CDC, is expensive, at \$3000 per dose, has comparatively many side effects, and has not been used much due to its well-known overall toxicity.

“In less than two years, remdesivir had 7,890 adverse effects, 560 deaths, 945 cardiac events, and 743 renal failures. In thirty years, ivermectin had zero deaths and 5,400 total adverse reactions.”  
- *Rise of the Fourth Reich*. – Steve Deace & Daniel Horowitz. 2023. Pg 160

From these statistics, many people believed Big Pharma was pushing their money-based interests for their own purposes and sinister plans. What other reasons could be cited for pushing and promoting a drug well-known for its toxic properties and adverse effects over a well-established safe and cheap Nobel prize winning antiviral drug?

In the recent book, *Rise of the Fourth Reich*, the authors offer many well documented stories related to these medical kidnappings, and denial of family doctor recommended treatment options, and these kidnappings included both children and adults.

One such story had a happy ending, as the man and his wife were successfully able to hide their children from the authorities, which obtained the right to take their children away due to one nurse reporting they used ivermectin as a curative for their child's covid conditions. The child was fine, but the cure could not be tolerated, as it was not allowed as an FDA approved treatment for covid. Since when is a family doctor overruled to such an extreme that the state needs to get involved and attempt to take possession of a child?

“Medical kidnapping is a growing trend in all fifty states. We have heard numerous stories of hospitals and doctors calling child protective services if they believe the parents are not following their medical edicts or using the protocols of their medical opponents.” - *Rise of the Fourth Reich*. – Steve Deace & Daniel Horowitz. 2023. Pg 266

It doesn't matter if you have your own doctor, and you are trying to follow their advice. If you are going against the recommendation of Big Pharma, the state, and other government organizations you may be inviting trouble. There were reports of people who had doctor prescriptions that could not be filled at the local pharmacy, because the pharmacy was told by Big Pharma and state authorities to block these orders if they went against protocol treatments for covid. Also, if you had doctor prescribed medication in your hand and wanted to give it to your loved one who was suffering at the hospital, the hospital would disallow it if it did not go along with their covid treatment policies. Apparently, differences in medical opinions were no longer tolerated. These are more examples of medical tyranny.

#### **d. Summary.**

In these two examples provided above, the parents were lucky. They had options available to them. They had friends who were willing to harbor their children and stand against an unjust legal system or move their children out of state into extended family situation and out of harm's way. It is important to realize that many

are not so lucky. Many have no alternative options and must bow down low before unjust demands of a cruel state and wicked power.

When no other options are available, then the children are removed from their parents in a legal but unjust medical kidnapping by the state, or they must accede to the state's demands and requirements. Then vaccines and/or other medical treatments are forced upon these children against the will of the parents and their family doctor.

These things happen on a regular basis in the United States. And to make matters worse, there are monetary incentives provided as federal funds for these state authorities to take the children away from their parents. This is not right. These are not federal government matters. We are no longer following the Constitution of the United States and allowing the states to handle their own matters. It is another government overreach which appears to encourage the breakup of the family unit and establish greater power of the federal government. No federal money should be involved when it comes down to state issues regarding childcare.

How could these things be true, you may wonder? What the errors of the covid era boil down to are the lack of proper incentives for doing the right things, and lack of proper disincentives against doing the wrong things. The end goal should have been the health of people and their children, and not profits. The end goal should have been to keep the family together, unless no other realistic and sane options were available. There should be disincentives in place to take children away from their parents, and not incentives. Big Pharma and the medical establishment do not have the best interests of the people at heart. People should have the right to make their own healthcare decisions for their families with the help and advice of their own doctors and/or healthcare providers.

### **e. Child Protective Services (CPS) – Abuse of Power**

As I began reading more stories of medical kidnapping on the internet, I soon realized that these were not sporadic and isolated events occurring here and there, but that medical kidnapping has

become rampant and in fact, makes up a decent proportion of several state's revenue projections for their state budgets on a yearly basis. I was shocked and bewildered when I realized the things which were happening to these unfortunate children, and my heart went out to those parents which have suffered due to the abuse of state power over them.

Here again I feel it is appropriate to plainly state that not all parents are good parents, and that there are times when it is appropriate for the state to take the children away from their parents. I do, however, believe that these instances are rare, and the vast majority of parents are doing their best to give their children the love they need and the best upbringing that they are capable of providing.

The examples noted above are stories where the children escaped the system. Now let's focus on what happens when they are not so lucky and are caught by the system. If you look throughout this writing, a pattern emerges. The pattern clearly shows that Big Pharma uses its money and power to get what it wants, and this is always at the expense of our government, which has become a minion of Big Pharma, and also at a great cost of the people of this country and their children, who end up paying higher taxes and suffering widespread abuses due to this manipulation of the system.

In an article published on May 16, 2015, titled **“Medical Kidnapping in the U.S. – Kidnapping Children for Drug Trials,”** written by John P. Thomas of Health Impact News, he wrote:

“...foster children in CPS custody are being enrolled in drug experiments without parent approval. State Child Protective Services are enrolling children in drug experiments without parent approval or court orders.”

Big Pharma needs children for drug and vaccine studies, and they have found test subjects by using foster children, or children under care of the Child Protective Services (CPS). Thousands of dollars are typically paid for test subjects in drug and vaccine trials. It appears there is a great deal of fraud and corruption within this

system, and it is violating parents' basic rights over their children. Another quote from this article:

“CPS does not fix families, rather, it destroys families in order to provide pediatric subjects for clinical drug trials, to provide desirable children for adoption, and to keep federal dollars flowing into the state CPS budget.”

Where is the law? Where is justice? Is there anything being done about this ongoing crisis and blatant overreach of government and the violation of parental rights over their own children? Yes, there was a bill in Congress to do just that. Another quote from this article:

“...32 members of the House of Representatives cosponsored legislation in 2014, which was entitled ‘Justina’s Law.’ The proposed legislation was intended to End Experimental Medical Research on Children Seized by CPS. Unfortunately, the proposed law never even made it out of the House subcommittee. The proposed law languished and died without action by Congress.”

It comes down to money and power. Big Pharma has both, and they can pay off Congress to do their bidding, and this appears to be what has happened. We are no longer living in a democracy, but rather a pharmacracy, where Big Pharma and their money and power rule over us. Here was a proposed law that almost every person in the country would have supported, but Big Pharma said “No.” It really is that simple. Big Pharma has too much power over us and our state and federal lawmakers.

There was a more recent story concerning this topic which came to mind, and it was made into a Netflix documentary. The title is “Take Care of Maya.” This film was released in 2023. It was another awful story about the overreach of the medical establishment. The child was wrongfully taken away from the parents in a medical kidnapping, and the parents had no way to get their child back. The whole affair caused the mother of the child such distress that she took her own life. Eventually, the father of the child was able to get custody of the child back and as a plaintiff won a \$261 million settlement against the hospital which did the medical kidnapping. It

was a hollow victory. The family was forever altered by this tragedy and malfeasance of duty by the hospital.

It seems clear to me after learning about these horrific stories on medical kidnapping, that Big Pharma has written the legislation for the federal government to be involved in this medical kidnapping business, and the Congress of the United States, which are our paid representatives, are complicit by passing legislation which gave Big Pharma the rules and regulations which are desirable for them. Big Pharma clearly benefits by not only being able to promote more vaccines and drugs to children, but also is granted a source of children for their drug and vaccine trials. It is important to remember here that the federal government has clearly stepped over its legal boundary by being involved in what should be state matters.

#### **f. A Tribute to Senator Nancy Schaefer**

Nancy Schaefer was a Senator from the state of Georgia. She saw corruption within the system and wanted to change it. She noted that Child Protective Services was not serving the people and their children, but rather was serving the interests of Big Pharma, which was using foster children for medical research.

In an internet article titled **“Senator Nancy Schaefer: Did her Fight Against CPS Child Kidnapping Cause her Murder?”** published April 27, 2015, and written by Brian Shilhavy of Health Impact News:

“Mrs. Schaefer states that the financial motive for the State to legally kidnap children was put in place in 1974 by Walter Mondale with the ‘Adoption and Safe Families Act.’ This was later expanded by President Bill Clinton in 1997 with The Adoption and Safe Families Act that gave states cash bonuses for every child adopted out of foster care.”

These cash bonuses provided state incentives to remove children from their original families and be placed in foster care. The state would not be given any money unless they were completely removed from their original family. This means that if grandma or

any of the extended family members were to adopt these children, then no state money. Basically, there was a financial incentive in place to break up the family.

This Senator from Georgia, Nancy Schaefer, felt that this fight against Children Protective Services (CPS) was a fight worth living for, or even dying for, if it were to come to that end, and apparently this is what happened to her. She died while fighting with every breath in an attempt to protect children from this horribly run agency, which has gestapo like powers, and operates in like fashion. When she died, it was considered a murder suicide by the police, and only involved her husband and herself. This doesn't add up, because when in history has it been seen that those with completely altruistic intentions with so much to fight for end their lives in this way? It is interesting to note here that she was killed while in the process of working on a video which exposed corruption and the lack of oversight in Georgia's Department of Family and Child Services (DFCS) as well as CPS nationally. She died as a martyr for the cause of protecting children from the CPS.

Nancy Schaefer had lost her last election in Georgia, and lost her Senate seat, probably because she was exposing corruption of the system and trying to change it. In her own words, and from this same article, she stated: "...there are some causes worth losing over." She was a true believer in this cause and was actively attempting to find other Congressman who would help, and the answer from these other elected officials was that they were afraid if they spoke up against this ongoing corruption that they would lose their jobs just as Nancy had. Their jobs and their positions of power seemed to be more important to them than the health and lives of these abused and exploited children.

There is an interesting video with her as a guest on an Alex Jones podcast which delves into some of this material on medical kidnapping, including an important report she authored. This report is a must read for those who care about the children in this country and is titled: ***The Corrupt Business of Child Protective Services***. Nancy Schaefer wrote this document on November 16, 2007.

In this document, she notes the abuses which often take place, and offers recommendations to fix and/or abolish the whole system. Her belief was that there is no accountability within the system, and that all federal monies should be cut off. Also, she notes that bonuses paid to remove children from their families is a bad policy which incentivizes the breakup of the family and hurts the children. The reason that it will be difficult to change these policies, in her view, is that the states have grown accustomed to receiving this money from the federal government.

Nancy Schaefer was fighting for truth and for justice. She was fighting for the children. And she was fighting against the medical system and Big Pharma, and against Child Protection Services. She was an amazing woman. Hopefully, the work she began can be finished and her death not be in vain.

**Solution #4: DELAY HEP-B VACCINATION UNTIL A PRE-TEEN YEAR. This is not a vaccine for infants. Hepatitis B vaccine should not be administered to infants directly after birth, unless the mother is infected with this virus and could pass it along to the child. All pregnant women should be screened for hep B infection. Recommended age of hep B vaccination should be changed to a preteen year just before high school. Notify the parents/guardians and mandate that a warning label be placed on hep B vaccines indicating aluminum levels within the vaccine exceeds FDA safety levels for parenteral aluminum, which may result in aluminum toxicity.**

#### **a. Hep-B and Political Corruption**

There is enough information on the CDC website to make the case that hep B vaccine is very rarely needed for a newborn infant. If you are interested to learn more on this subject, please visit the CDC website to see how hepatitis B is passed from one individual to another. (Or please see Chapter 3 – Solution #4.) If you would like more information on this topic, I would recommend National

Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) website, and then to compare this information with the CDC. These two sources align well on this issue. The question appears to be: “How did this vaccine become recommended directly after birth?” That is the big question. And if you have been following the underlying narrative in this writing, you may come to the conclusion that it was most likely due to political corruption, as appears to often be the case.

Dr. Robert Sears had what appears to be a plausible reason why hep-B vaccine became recommended for newborns. It appears to be another glimpse of political corruption which favors Big Pharma.

“...some of the researchers involved had either received research funding from the vaccine manufacturers or have been subsequently hired by a manufacturer of the vaccine (GlaxoSmithKline and Merck). Some of the CDC members who voted to add this vaccine to the infant schedule also received research funding from the vaccine manufacturers.” - *The Vaccine Book*. Robert W. Sears, MD. 2019. Pg 52.

The whole system is rife with political corruption. There is no real evidence that taking this vaccine is a good idea for infants. The main goal appears to have been a decision by Big Pharma to get people used to the idea of taking vaccines and/or drugs from cradle to grave.

### **b. The Hep B Vaccine Protects You for Life?**

The CDC website declares that the hep-B vaccine protects the child for life from hep B disease. According to professional researchers this is not at all true.

The results of a 15 year follow up study in the *Annals of Internal Medicine* were found in article titled “**For How Long is the Hepatitis B Vaccine Effective?**” Written by Angelo DePalma PhD 3/1/2005.

“Understand that hepatitis B vaccination-induced protective antibodies can last for up to 15 years, but appear to fall off over time... Patients who were vaccinated 10 to 15 years ago,

especially those who were vaccinated as children, may not be adequately protected.”

The results do not concur with the CDC conclusions that hep B vaccine protects for life. This is why it would make sense to delay this vaccine until a preteen year. This way young adults would be better protected from hep B during the time of life this protection is most needed.

In the medical community, it seems that this knowledge on the duration of vaccinal immunity duration is common knowledge. The CDC appears to be in a state of denial on this to somewhat justify this vaccine directly after birth.

“Most vaccines last about ten to fifteen years... most infant and childhood vaccines will protect a child into the teenage years, but probably not much beyond that.” - *The Vaccine Book*. Robert W. Sears, MD. 2019. Pg 54.

Based upon prevailing medical opinion and the work of researchers doing studies on this topic, it appears likely that the hep B vaccine given right after birth wears off right at the time of life when the protection against hep B is most needed. Doesn't make much sense, does it?

### **c. Hep B Vaccine has Toxic Levels of Aluminum.**

Now let's cover the toxicity of the hep B vaccine regarding aluminum. For drugs which contain aluminum, there is a law which states that aluminum levels must be declared. Vaccines do not carry such a requirement. This failure to properly warn people of the aluminum exposure due to vaccines opens up the government to liability lawsuits caused by aluminum poisoning of infants. From the FDA website, here are warnings for drugs containing aluminum for total parenteral nutrition (TPN) therapy:

“The package insert of LVP's (Large volume parenteral drug products) used in TPN therapy must state that the drug product contains no more than 25 mcg/L (microgram/liter) of aluminum...

**WARNING:** This product contains aluminum that may be toxic. Aluminum may reach toxic levels with prolonged parenteral administration if kidney function is impaired. Premature neonates are particularly at risk because their kidneys are immature, and they require large amounts of calcium and phosphate solutions, which contain aluminum... Research indicates that patients with impaired kidney function, including premature neonates, who receive parenteral levels of aluminum at greater than 4 to 5 mcg/kg/day accumulate aluminum at levels associated with central nervous system and bone toxicity.” - FDA website.

There you have it, straight from the FDA. Also straight from the FDA: *“Total allowable aluminum exposure from parenteral nutrition should not exceed 5 mcg/kg/day.”* Aluminum may build up within the system and cause toxic reactions. But when it comes to the hep B vaccine, the CDC, by recommending this vaccine directly after birth seems to be stating: “Why wait? Let’s overload the infant’s system with toxins right away.” Parents never receive a warning concerning the aluminum within the hep B vaccine, but they should. There should be a warning label on vaccines containing aluminum just as is required for all other injectable medications. The hep B vaccine contains 250 mcg of aluminum as aluminum hydroxide. Let’s put this into proper perspective:

“The amount of aluminum given to newborn babies with their hepatitis B injection is fourteen times the FDA maximum allowable dose for an eight-pound baby. For those following the CDC vaccine schedule at the 2-, 4-, and 6- month checkups, aluminum exceeds 1,000 mcg. Parenteral aluminum has been associated with numerous health issues, including autoimmune diseases, neurological damage, autism, demyelinating disorders such as MS, and others.”- *Vaccines, Autoimmunity, and the Changing Nature of Childhood Illness*. Thomas Cowan M.D. 2018. Pg 147.

Did you read that? *14 times the FDA maximum allowable aluminum exposure for an 8-pound baby.* This is systematic overdosing of our infants on aluminum right after birth.

You may be wondering, “Is this true? How can this be?” I was wondering the same thing. If you don’t believe it, and want to see this for yourself, type in *FDA parenteral aluminum* on Google. It will come up. Or look for this information on the NVIC website under Disease/Vaccines for hepatitis B. The FDA package inserts on the hep B approved vaccines list and identify the amount of aluminum hydroxide within the vaccines. The systematic poisoning of our infants after birth with this vaccine makes no sense and is the beginning of the toxic overload of the children in the United States. This needs to be stopped.

#### **d. The Vaccine Overload Theory.**

There is a correlation between increased number of recommended childhood vaccines and type 1 diabetes. This is followed by the correlation between type 1 diabetes and autism and other related childhood diseases. No one can deny the fact that since hep B vaccine was put on the recommended vaccine list for newborns, that there has been an exponential increase in autism and other childhood diseases. *Too many vaccines given too soon with too many ingredients are causing too many problems in too many children.*

The following is a study that supports the “vaccine-overload” thesis. The following two studies are within *Miller’s Review of Critical Vaccine Studies*. This book has many related studies and is a must read for those who wish to find the truth.

**“Classen JB. Review of vaccine-induced immune overload and the resulting epidemics of type 1 diabetes and metabolic syndrome, emphasis on explaining the recent accelerations in the risk of prediabetes and other immune-mediated diseases. *J Mol Genet Med* 2014; S1:025.”**

- 1> “This paper discusses vaccine-induced overload and how it can explain many of the changes in epidemics of inflammation-associated disorders.”

- 2> “Inflammation-associated disorders such as type 1 and type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, autism, and autoimmune diseases have significantly increased in children following a significant increase in routine vaccinations.”
- 3> “Vaccines are commercially designed to stimulate an immune response in children with the weakest immune systems. Thus, other children may have their immune systems over-stimulated, which increases the risk of inflammatory disorders and autoimmune diseases.”
- 4> “Epidemics of inflammatory diseases have coincided with iatrogenic immune stimulation from vaccines.”
- 5> “Safety trials for vaccine approval are either too short in duration or improperly use other vaccinated children as controls, which limit such studies from finding a link between vaccines and current epidemics of inflammation-associated disorders.” - *Miller’s Review of Critical Vaccine Studies*. Neil Z. Miller. 2016. Pg 16

In summary, too many vaccines over-stimulate the children’s bodily defense systems, which causes inflammation and then disease. Also, using other vaccinated children as controls masks numbers which may show more linkage between vaccines and current health disorders of the young. Having an unvaccinated group as a control would provide more reliable results and provide a more accurate picture of injuries related to the recommended childhood vaccines.

What is the result of vaccine overload of our children? The following study covers this topic.

**“Classen JB. Prevalence of autism is positively associated with the incidence of type 1 diabetes, but negatively associated with the incidence of type 2 diabetes, implication for the etiology of the autism epidemic. *Open Access Scientific Reports* 2013 May 20; 2(3): 679.”**

- 1> “There is a) direct correlation between the incidence of type 1 diabetes and autism prevalence in children, and b) an inverse correlation between the incidence of type 2 diabetes and autism prevalence in children.”

- 2> “Simultaneous epidemics of type 1 diabetes or autoimmune/inflammatory autism are likely to have the same root cause.”
- 3> “We have been publishing for many years that vaccine-induced inflammation is causing an epidemic of type 1 diabetes and other diseases. Our new data, as well as the extensive data from others regarding the role of inflammation in the development of autism, leaves little doubt vaccines play a significant role in the autism epidemic.”<sup>8</sup>. - *Miller’s Review of Critical Vaccine Studies*. Neil Z. Miller. 2016. Pg 200

In summary, too many vaccines appear to be causing the continued rise and prevalence of inflammation, autoimmune disorders, and autism in children.

Science is based on patterns, and these patterns appear to be showing us that too many vaccines, at too young of an age are causing deleterious effects in our children, both mentally and physically. It is time to do a major rethinking of the recommended vaccine schedule, and at what age which vaccines should be given to maximize protection of our children from contagious diseases, while also protecting them from adverse effects from the vaccines. *“Safe and effective” should not just be a slogan to promote vaccines. These words should represent truth after scientific proof has been established beyond doubt.*

Let’s begin the reduction in early childhood vaccines with a delay in the hep B vaccine, which is not a vaccine for newborns. Having hep B vaccine just before high school would make more sense, as it would provide vaccine protection for children through the time of their lives when this protection is most needed. By delaying the hep B vaccine, perhaps we can reduce the aluminum poisoning which is occurring in a systemic way to our children, and hopefully reduce some of the problems which have become “common” in the last 50 years.

**Solution #5: ELIMINATE GARDASIL FROM THE LIST OF RECOMMENDED VACCINES.** HPV vaccine known as Gardasil (currently Gardasil 9) should be removed immediately from the list of CDC recommended vaccines, and also from any state or federal vaccine requirements. If Merck cannot prove the benefit over the risk, then it should be removed from the recommended list.

#### **a. Does HPV Cause Cancer?**

How many people have you heard of dying of HPV? None. Neither have I. How did HPV vaccine get on the CDC recommended list for vaccines? The Big Pharma companies who manufactured these products claimed that HPV vaccines would prevent cervical cancer by preventing HPV. Is there any proof of this claim being true? The HPV vaccine makers said we may have to wait 30 years to prove the validity of their theory.

These HPV vaccines contain toxins, which means poisons. And there is no proof they cure cancer of any kind. *It does not seem right to poison the public based on a hypothesis.* Remember what Louis Pasteur said?

“‘Hypotheses,’ said Pasteur, ‘come into our laboratories in armfuls; they fill our registers with projected experiments, they stimulate us to research – and that is all.’ One thing only counted for him: experimental verification.” - *The Life of Pasteur*. Rene Vallery-Radot. 1915. Pg 375.

Pasteur again condemns Big Pharma for treating a hypothesis as a fact. It is outrageous. Is there any real proof that HPV causes cancer?

“...scientists at University of California at Berkeley recently challenged the accepted viral theory of cervical cancer causation...The Berkeley research team hypothesized that, among other things, the long lag time between HPV infection and cervical cancer likely means that HPV is not the cause of cervical cancer.”- *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 278-279.

*HPV Vaccine on Trial* is probably one of the most well-researched books I have ever seen. If you want to see the inner workings of crime, corruption, politics, and vaccines, this would be a great read for you.

Again, more irony. The FDA fast tracked this Gardasil approval even though there is an extremely safe and effective test and therapy for cervical cancer. The Pap test and LEEP (Laser Electrosurgical Excision Procedure) are effective for diagnosing and treating cervical cancer. Gardasil should never have been approved because a safe and effective treatment already existed for cervical cancer.

“According to FDA, Fast Track approval is a program designed to accelerate the review of medications targeting ‘serious conditions and fill an unmet need.’ To meet the criteria of ‘unmet need’, a drug must demonstrate greater benefit over the currently available treatment.” - NVIC (HPV vaccine section)

NVIC (National Vaccine Information Center) is another great source of information regarding vaccines. They are the largest consumer advocate regarding vaccine information the United States. “Consumer advocate” means exactly what it says. They are looking out for the health and well-being of the people in this country.

### **b. Do HPV Vaccines Prevent Cancer?**

What is the benefit of taking Gardasil? The claim is that it may prevent cervical cancer, but there is no evidence this is true. As Pasteur would say, “Show me the proof!”

The following is from a study on HPV vaccines and their efficacy. Tomljenovic L, Spinosa JP, Shaw CA. **Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines as an option for preventing cervical malignancies: (how) effective and safe?** *Curr Pharm Des* 2013 Mar; 19(8): 1466-87.

- 1> “...there is no evidence that vaccination with either Gardasil or Cervarix would have any notable impact in reducing the cervical

cancer burden, at least not in countries with regular screening programs.”

- 2> “The proclaimed benefits of HPV vaccination are predicated on unproven assumptions and contradict factual evidence. HPV vaccine safety and efficacy studies were poorly designed and inadequate.”
- 3> “Clinical trials have not provided evidence that the HPV vaccine has prevented a single case of cervical cancer or cervical cancer death.”
- 4> “Cervical cancer will not develop in most women even with high-risk HPVs.”
- 5> “Pap screening is effective. About 90% of cervical cancer deaths occur in developing nations without routine Pap screening programs.” - *Miller’s Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*. Neil Z. Miller 2016. Pg 129.

This is one of many of the studies in this book which demonstrates there is no real evidence that HPV vaccines are preventing cervical cancer. This study also notes there are better and safer options for diagnosing and preventing cervical cancer. So, why would the FDA approve a vaccine when better and safer options exist?

Perhaps the reason why FDA gave Gardasil Fast Track status and an accelerated approval is precisely because Gardasil could not prove that it was effective in preventing cervical cancer, or cancer of any kind. It is likely that this was the only way Gardasil could have been approved, and the only way that the FDA could show that they were loyal and obedient to their Big Pharma master.

“Accelerated approval is designed to allow drugs to be approved before they show any clinical benefit to the patient... In other words, Gardasil did not have to demonstrate true effectiveness – prevention of cervical cancer – prior to being determined effective and granted approval and licensed by the FDA.” – NVIC (HPV section)

It was not proven effective at preventing cancer. We could only hope that it was at least proven safe. Unfortunately, there is a mountain of evidence that these HPV vaccines have caused and are still causing many injuries and deaths. The risk-reward ratio indicates

that Gardasil vaccine should be eliminated from the CDC recommended vaccine list.

### **c. HPV Vaccine Injuries and Deaths Led to Activism.**

Everywhere in the world where these HPV vaccines have been introduced, there have been considerable problems with what appear to be HPV vaccine related injuries and deaths.

“In July 2016 Japanese victims of HPV vaccination launched a class action lawsuit against the Japanese government, Merck (maker of Gardasil), and GlaxoSmithKline (maker of Cervarix), for damages related to the numerous health problems suffered post-vaccination.”<sup>38</sup> - NVIC (HPV vaccine section.)

Perhaps, one may think, this could be an isolated incident. But it is not. Every corner of the world where HPV vaccines have been introduced have had problems with what appear to be HPV vaccine related injuries and deaths. What can parents do when their medical systems fail them, and do not believe the injuries or deaths were caused by the HPV vaccines? How can they get compensated for their children’s injuries and deaths? How can regular people, who are not doctors and/or scientists prove that these injuries were caused by the HPV vaccines? It is an uphill battle, to be sure. But when enough people are suffering, they tend to band together against that which is causing them and their loved one’s deaths, pain, and suffering. What else can they do? After all, they are up against a monster of epic proportions called Big Pharma, which owns the media, government officials, and the medical establishments in all of these countries.

Parents do not form advocacy groups because they think it will be fun, they have lots of extra spare time on their hands, or they want to belong to an antivaxxer organization. They formed these groups out of desperation because there was nowhere else to turn. In the beginning, they simply needed help in learning how to help their vaccine damaged children. In the end, they not only hoped to find the answers they wanted and needed, but they were also ready to

help a worthwhile cause in the hope that truth would be revealed, justice would prevail, and children would be saved from harm, injury, and death. This is how widespread pain and suffering caused advocacy, which in turn led to activism. Can you imagine the pain and suffering which these people endured which provoked them into being activists? Becoming an activist is not something that someone just decides to do, especially when you have a child or children to take care of. It is simply something that must be done, so that truth and justice may emerge victorious, and evil and injustice in the world can be eliminated. The goal is noble. The goal is to get help and justice for the HPV vaccine damaged children, to spread the truth about the dangers of these HPV vaccines, and to protect those yet to be vaccinated from unsafe HPV vaccines which are causing such widespread worldwide suffering.

Why not go to their own doctors for help? After all, they saw what happened to the children they vaccinated, and they can attest to the cause-and-effect pattern between the HPV vaccines and the related injuries and/or deaths. The brave doctors do step up, and those with honor, truth, and integrity in their hearts do not back down, but remain steadfast on the side of truth. But unfortunately, this is a very small minority of doctors. A few doctors will stand on the side of parents of vaccine damaged children for a time, but after the storms against them begin to rage, then they fall away due to the pressure. The vast majority of the doctors are afraid to offer their help and stand by their honest opinions, as they would be blacklisted, and potentially lose their careers and reputations in the process. Big Pharma crushes those who oppose them without mercy. Therefore, the hesitancy of doctors to offer their help is understandable.

Either people launch lawsuits against the HPV vaccine makers for related injuries and/or deaths, or if they cannot afford attorneys, they just create HPV victims' networks or advocacy groups, so they can spread the word that these vaccines are causing injuries and deaths, and how to treat the injuries for those who are disabled due to these vaccines. In Denmark, there is a group called *HPV Victims Denmark*. In Ireland, there is a group called *REGRET*, which stands

*for Reactions and Effects of Gardasil Resulting in Extreme Trauma.* In the UK, there is a group called *UK Association of HPV Vaccine Injured Daughters (AHVID)*. In Columbia, there is a group for HPV injuries and deaths called *Rebuilding Hope*. This is only a partial list of the HPV vaccine groups around the world and is listed to show that problems with these HPV vaccines are not rare, isolated incidents. They are common enough to warrant the necessity of all these various advocacy and support groups.

In America, you probably never even knew any of this was happening. This same story of widespread HPV vaccine damage and class action lawsuits is happening here in America as well. Can you guess why you may not have heard about it? Have you ever seen a vaccine or pharmaceutical advertisement? The media is controlled by those with money and power, and especially those who pay money for commercial advertising. If these stories came out and became widespread, do you think Big Pharma would be advertising on these stations which aired these stories, and made them look bad? One main business rule is to never bite the hand that feeds you. If you do, no more advertising dollars. Advertising money gives too much power and control to Big Pharma to spread their message, and to hide unpleasant facts and truths about their products. America and New Zealand are the only countries to allow these types of ads. Europe and the rest of the world do not allow direct to consumer ads of this kind, because they understand how much power that would give to Big Pharma. We should follow their example. *Direct to consumer (DTC) advertising of vaccines and/or drugs should be made illegal, and not be allowed.* This topic will be explored more in depth and detail in a later chapter.

#### **d. HPV Vaccine Ingredients Appear to be the Problem.**

Gardasil contains an aluminum adjuvant (AAHS), Polysorbate 80, sodium borate (borax), and *saccharomyces cerevisiae* (brewer's yeast). These chemicals appear to be the main causes of injuries and deaths associated with this vaccine. We know about aluminum toxicity, and it will be covered more thoroughly in a section devoted

only to aluminum. Polysorbate 80, sodium borate, and brewer's yeast also will be covered in more depth later in the vaccine ingredients chapter, and these ingredients have been shown to cause many problems. Also, it should be noted and emphasized here that polysorbate 80 and sodium borate have been noted to cause fertility damage in many different studies. Let me part from this HPV vaccine topic with a quote from a Nobel Prize winner Luc Montagnier, MD regarding Gardasil:

“This vaccine still has the support of official agencies – the WHO, FDA, CDC, EMA – and with the marketing and lobbying efforts of the manufacturers, it continues to be recommended in several countries, and even mandated in some US states!... this is the tragic example of various segments of our society, worldwide, placing economic interests before the health and protection of our younger generation.” - *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg ii.

Gardasil should not be on the CDC recommended vaccine list, and should be eliminated.

**Solution #6: USE TRUE PLACEBOS AND NOT CHEMICALLY ACTIVE INGREDIENTS AS PLACEBOS IN TRIALS. Use true harmless placebos in vaccine and drug trials and eliminate false placebos that contain chemically active ingredients. In the trial stages, all new drugs and vaccines should be compared to true placebos so that an accurate assessment of their risks and benefits to health can be properly measured. Have the FDA and CDC clearly define “placebos” as saline solutions or sugar pills.**

#### **a. No True Placebos in Vaccine and Drug Trials.**

Out of all the things Big Pharma has done, this was one of the, if not the most egregious breaking of what little trust remained that Big Pharma was doing their job right, providing vaccines and drugs that would benefit society, and living by morals that could be

approved of by the people of the United States. This changing of the definition of a clearly understood word is an outright deception, and this cannot be forgiven. They are deceiving us to promote more vaccines and drugs, and to make more money.

Let's start with how long this has been going on. It has been at least 25 years. The first citation I can find was related to Vioxx, which was approved by the FDA in 1999 and was Merck's answer for treating chronic and acute symptoms of arthritis. According to the Union of Concerned Scientists, in an internet article published 10/12/2017 titled *Merck Manipulated the Science about the Drug Vioxx*, they stated:

“Scientists from the pharmaceutical giant Merck skewed the results of the clinical trials in favor of the arthritis drug, Vioxx, to hide evidence that the drug increased patients' risk of heart attack... To increase the likelihood of FDA approval for its anti-inflammatory and arthritis drug Vioxx, the pharmaceutical giant Merck used flawed methodologies biased toward predetermined results to exaggerate the drug's positive effects... As part of the strategy, scientists manipulated the trial design by comparing the drug to naproxen, a pain reliever sold under brand names such as Aleve, rather than to a placebo.”

Here is a sample of how the drug Vioxx was approved, and it shows how important trial designs and methodologies are in the trial stages of drug development. In this example, Merck of team Big Pharma skewed the results by creating a favorable trial design, manipulated the data to get the desired results, hid damaging evidence to protect their product, and used a “false placebo” instead of a true placebo to make the results look more appealing to the FDA.

## **b. WHO and FDA are Good Friends of Big Pharma.**

How do the WHO and the FDA say they feel about the use of true placebos in drug and vaccine trials? They support them, of course, in writing and talking. But when it comes down to what they allow, and how drugs and vaccines are actually approved, it appears that

they allow whatever Big Pharma companies deem to be acceptable. The WHO and the FDA are good friends to Big Pharma.

“The WHO and FDA endorse saline placebos in vaccine trials. The WHO notes that they are particularly valuable for evaluating the safety and efficacy of new vaccines...It states that ‘it may be difficult or impossible to assess fully the safety’ of a vaccine when there is no true placebo.” - *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 54.

This shows that publicly WHO and the FDA are saying the right things which people want to hear. But what is the truth?

“Gardasil and Cervarix are just the kinds of new vaccines that Merck and GSK (GlaxoSmithKline) should have compared to saline placebos. They did not. No HPV vaccine clinical trial had a true saline placebo control. Instead, Merck and GSK used a variety of adjuvants, other vaccines, and chemical solutions as the so-called placebos.”- *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 54.

Imagine that. Not one clinical trial with a true placebo. Now why would they do that? The answer is obvious. They wanted to skew the results in their favor so that these products would be more easily approved by the FDA. The FDA has proven their friendship to Big Pharma repeatedly. At the same time, they have proven they are no friend to the people of the United States or their children, who end up using these products.

### **c. Scientific Fraud Means Lies and Deceit.**

But at least in the trials they told the test subjects what the placebos would be, right? Again, prepare to be disappointed. Lies, deceit, and scientific fraud appear to be the order of the day. The following is an account of how the trial subjects were lied to and deceived in the Gardasil trials.

Trial subjects were told they would receive the vaccine or a placebo, which was to be a saline solution. To be sure, the following was not an isolated incident. In Denmark, one of the consent forms read:

“One half of the participants receive the active vaccine while the other half receives a so-called placebo (i.e. a vaccine without an active substance). A September 2004 consent form goes further, clearly calling the placebo ‘saltvand’, or saline.” - *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 42-43.

There were no saline placebos in the Gardasil trials. In other words, they lied to and deceived the trial subjects. Perhaps this was an isolated incident, you may think. Apparently, it was not. Lies and deceit appear to be just a part of Merck’s normal business operations. Here is another quote regarding the Gardasil trials, except this time it is in located Australia.

“As of the time of this writing, in July 2018, the Australian package insert still refers to saline as the placebo.” - *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 55

Again, more evidence showing lies and deceit about what the placebo was going to be. There was no saline placebo. And please, let’s not forget, this was not a victimless crime. There were deaths in the “placebo” group.

#### **d. The Progression of Deceit.**

As noted above, no true placebos were used in the Gardasil trials. Here is another source to show how these studies were manipulated from the start, and then how they hid additional information concerning the placebos so that no one else could replicate the studies and confirm their results.

“Merck was permitted to use AAHS, and aluminum adjuvant, in lieu of a placebo, as a control for nearly all of its trial participants. A reactive placebo, such as AAHS, has the ability to artificially

increase the appearance of safety when used as a control in a clinical trial and neither the FDA or Merck disclosed the amount of aluminum contained in the placebo.” – NVIC (HPV section)

They used false placebos, then they did not even disclose the amount used of these false placebos, thereby hiding pertinent information from other scientists who would typically want to confirm and replicate the test results with their own independent studies.

Here is the progression of increasingly more dangerous placebos in order to get more dangerous vaccines like Gardasil 9 approved by the FDA. Instead of using the aluminum adjuvant again, they used their original Gardasil as their new false placebo. Here is a perfect example of how false placebos keep getting more dangerous, the FDA and CDC keep going along with whatever their masters from Big Pharma declare to be acceptable, and the public keeps getting placed more in harm's way, as the safety of these products becomes more questionable.

“...pre-licensure studies of Gardasil 9 did not use true placebos as controls, and instead, tended to compare Gardasil 9 to Gardasil.” – NVIC (HPV section)

This was similar to the Vioxx approval, which used another approved drug as a false placebo rather than a true placebo like a saline solution of sugar pills, and ultimately led to 120,000 deaths (Cochrane Collaboration).

If these new products like Gardasil 9 were compared to a true placebo like saline solution, you would be able to see the progression of increasing injuries resulting from the additional toxic materials being added to these newer vaccines. Instead, they compared Gardasil 9 to the earlier version of Gardasil to mask the rising injuries and deaths from these newer versions of an older vaccine. Even if they had used the AAHS as the false placebo for Gardasil 9, just as they did for the original Gardasil, then you would have been able to see the additional injuries resulting from the newer product. Can you see the pattern of questionable scientific

methods and how it may lead to more problems and increasingly more dangerous FDA approved products in the future?

### **e. What a Scientific Study on Placebos Revealed.**

Perhaps these instances are few, and have only happened sporadically, one may think and hope. Unfortunately, the deeper you dive into this subject, the worse it looks. The following are some conclusions from a study. “Golomb BA, Erickson LC, et al. **What’s in placebos: Who knows? Analysis of randomized, controlled trials.** *Ann Intern Med* 2010; 153(8): 532-35.”

- 1> “Failure to report placebo composition compromises the foundation on which medical decisions are based, and on which the fate of lives may rest.”
- 2> “Although placebos can influence study results, there are no regulations guiding placebo composition.”
- 3> “This study was designed to assess how often researchers specify the composition of placebos in randomized, placebo-controlled trials published in journals with a high impact factor. A total of 176 studies that used pills, injections and other treatments were included.”
- 4> “In studies that used pills, 92% did not disclose placebo ingredients. In 74% of studies that used injections and 73% using other treatment methods, placebo composition was not disclosed.”
- 5> “Placebos that are not neutral can have effects that influence study results.”
- 6> “When studies do not provide sufficient details about placebo interventions, study replication is not possible.”
- 7> “Studies that fail to identify placebo ingredients violate basic scientific standards.” - *Miller’s Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*. Neil Z. Miller 2016. Pg 314.

“No regulations guiding placebo composition?” Really? No wonder pharmaceutical manufacturers are allowed to make up the rules and alter existing and long-standing definitions of words which have been around for hundreds of years. And “scientific standards?” Who cares about scientific standards when you can get your vaccine or

drug approved more quickly and easily, and begin raking in the money? These morally destitute scientists at Big Pharma companies are violating scientific standards and will continue to do so unless someone or something makes them stop.

#### **f. Another Recent Definition Change that Endangers the Public.**

Words matter. Definitions matter. If they can change the definition of words that the public believes they know and understand into whatever they want us to believe, then they can more easily deceive us and make more money in the process. Can you imagine what word may be next in their lineup of definition change? How about vaccine? We all know and understand that a vaccine is a substance that confers immunity from a contagious disease, right? Apparently, the word “vaccine” is also up for revision now.

In an article titled **“What Mothers Should Know About COVID and COVID-19 for Children”** by Barbara Loe Fisher published March 11, 2022, she stated:

- 1> “CDC Changed Definition of ‘Vaccine’ and ‘Vaccination’ to Remove Reference to ‘Immunity’”
- 2> “In 2021, the CDC suddenly changed the definition of ‘vaccine’ from ‘a product that stimulates a person’s immune system to produce *immunity* to a specific disease’ to ‘a preparation that is used to stimulate the body’s *immune response* against diseases.”
- 3> “Merriam Webster Dictionary also changed its definition of ‘vaccine’ to eliminate the concept that a vaccine stimulates ‘*immunity*’ and replaced it with the concept that vaccines create an ‘*immune response*.’”
- 4> “If the definition of ‘vaccine’ and ‘vaccination’ no longer includes the concept of immunity, the definitions of vaccine ‘*efficacy*’ and ‘*effectiveness*’ have been forever changed as well.” – NVIC (National Vaccine Information Center).

Where is all of this definition changing leading us? To the promotion of more vaccines which are not even really true vaccines, and more placebos which are not true placebos. So, following the line of

thinking of the CDC, we can properly conclude that anything which provides an immune response or boost within our systems can be described accurately as a “vaccine.” Remember this the next time you go shopping for probiotics, vitamin C, vitamin D, zinc, or garlic, all of which can provide an immune response for the body, as they all could now reasonably be labeled on the grocery store shelf as “vaccines.”

Yes, it has gone too far. We need to get back to the original definition of “placebo,” which means a harmless substance. And we need to get back to the original definition of “vaccine,” which means a substance which confers immunity. We need to protect the public from Big Pharma and their minions and create incentives to make safer and more effective products which do not cause so many deaths and injuries for people and their children.

The timing of this definition change is suspicious, as it occurred around the covid epidemic. Some called it a pandemic, and others called it a “plandemic,” in reference to the fact that it could be part of some grand conspiracy. The covid vaccines have often been cited by many prominent scientists to not confer immunity, or even to work at all. This newer and broader definition of vaccine easily covers almost any substance that can be labeled as a vaccine as being proven “effective.” The new definition of vaccine waters down the word vaccine as to render it nearly meaningless. This is not the type of definition change we need.

**Solution #7: BE TRANSPARENT - CLEARLY PUBLISH ALL DRUG AND VACCINE TRIAL DATA. Establish Drug and Vaccine Trial Transparency. All drug and vaccine trials, trial designs and methodologies, and the information obtained from them should be made public, from start to finish. In this way, the public will be informed of the true benefits and risks of these drugs and vaccines and be able to make informed decisions if and/or when they consent to their use.**

### **a. With Truth on Your Side There is Nothing to Hide.**

We have seen glimpses of Louis Pasteur and his life, devoted to science and truth for the benefit of mankind. And we have seen modern science, which is devoted to making money. If we could just bring these drug and vaccine trials out into the open, for all the public to see, then Big Pharma and all their minions would be unable to hide their obvious malfeasance of duty towards the people of the United States and our children. Louis Pasteur did not mind opening all his books, his laboratory, and his experiments to the public view, because he had nothing to hide. *With truth on your side, there is nothing to hide.*

This is the reason Big Pharma is not transparent, because they have much to hide. When the curtain is pulled open for all to see, Big Pharma will no longer be able to do “business as usual.” They will have to show the public and the rest of the scientific community what they are working on. They will have to disclose their testing methods, and how their experiments and studies were set up. Then perhaps we can have a real dialogue concerning drugs, vaccines, and scientific methods between Big Pharma, the people who may ultimately use these products, and rest of the scientific community. No more Big Pharma statements like this: “These are the results we have concluded in our proprietary studies on our new drug (or vaccine), and this is what it can be used to treat (or prevent).” Big Pharma cannot be trusted.

### **b. Transparency Will Create an Incentive for Truth and a Disincentive for Fraud.**

Drug and vaccine studies/trials should be made completely public information from start to finish. Everything about these studies/trials should be transparent. How else can we truly have informed consent? The following study shows us a glimpse of what is really happening in the scientific research community.

Fanelli D. **How many scientists fabricate and falsify research? A systemic review and meta-analysis of survey data.** *PloS One* 2009 May 29; 4(5): E5738.

- 1> “This study analyzed 21 surveys that asked scientists whether they have committed or know of a colleague who committed research misconduct that distorted scientific knowledge, including fabrication or falsification of data.”
- 2> “Up to 5% (an average of 2%) of scientists admitted that they falsified, fabricated or modified data to improve results at least once. Up to 34% admitted that they engaged in other questionable research practices.”
- 3> “Up to 33% (an average of 14%) of scientists had personal knowledge of colleagues who had falsified research data. Up to 72% knew about other questionable research practices committed by their colleagues.”
- 4> “Some examples of questionable research practices included eliminating data points and altering the design, methodology or results of a study due to pressures from a funding source.”
- 5> “Scientific misconduct may be more extensive in clinical, pharmacological, and medical research than in other fields due to the large financial interest that foster bias.”
- 6> “In one survey, 81% of research trainees in the biomedical sciences were ‘willing to select, omit, or fabricate data to win a grant or publish a paper.’ - *Miller’s Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*. Neil Z. Miller 2016. Pg 304.

The author of this study goes on to state that this is “self-reporting” or an admission of guilt by taking a random survey that no one else would see what they had written or marked. Therefore, the numbers are skewed to the downside, and probably more of this behavior occurred than was reported. A shame. The things people do because of money, pressure, career, threats from above, and the desire to please those who hold the money. By making research transparent from beginning to end, we eliminate almost all of these fraudulent activities, and can bring to the public real data, raw data that can be trusted more. Let’s put an end to this “proprietary information” from research which can adversely affect the health of people and our children.

That is just one study, you may say. So here is another with similar conclusions. It is also from *Miller’s Critical Review of Vaccine*

*Studies*, which is, as I have said before, a must read for anyone who wishes to truly know what is happening in the medical community today.

Martinson BC, Anderson MS, de Vries R. **Scientists behaving badly**. *Nature* 2005 Jun 9; 737-38.

- 1> "U.S. scientists engage in a range of behaviors extending far beyond fabrication, falsification, or plagiarism that can damage the integrity of science."
- 2> "Researchers surveyed 3,247 early-and mid-career U.S. scientists funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and asked them to anonymously report their own scientific misbehavior during the previous three years."
- 3> "Overall, 33% of scientists funded by the NIH admitted that they engaged in questionable scientific behavior during the previous 3 years."
- 4> "Nearly 16% of NIH-funded scientists changed the design, methodology, or results of a study due to pressure from a funding source; 15% dropped data points from analyses; 14% used inadequate or inappropriate research designs."
- 5> "Nearly 13% of NIH-funded scientists disregarded others' use of flawed data or questionable interpretation of data; 6% failed to present data that contradicted one's own previous research." - *Miller's Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*. Neil Z. Miller. 2016. Pg 305.

If we set up a more transparent system, this will provide incentives for truth and honesty, and disincentives for lies and deceit. The disincentives should also include potential punishments as well. The Vioxx incident where 120,000 people were killed (Cochrane) due to scientific fraud, and then no one was prosecuted or sent to prison for their egregious behavior is unacceptable. There needs to be personal accountability. Then the system can work for the betterment of science and all people. Not only this, but then the public at large will not have this distrust that is currently and rightly felt towards scientific research and Big Pharma. With transparency, the public will be able to "trust, but verify" the science.

**c. Truth Should be the Goal of Scientific Research. Only Studies Based on Truth can Advance Science for the Betterment of Mankind.**

When scientists are doing experiments or studies, the end goal should be truth. It is truth in science that can propel science forward and enable scientists to better understand real conclusions so they may better understand a problem, and thereby understand how to help mankind with what they know. This has changed. Now money has become the goal and the purpose. With money as the goal, the end justifies the means, and scientific misconduct and/or fraud has become somewhat common place. Where is real scientific integrity? If we can implement transparency into this equation, so there is no way for scientific researchers to alter the experiments, move the data points, and manipulate the data, then perhaps we could have truth. Isn't truth and scientific progress for the benefit of mankind what we are looking for?

There are more studies with a similar message, but these provided above summarize the points nicely as to what is going on in the scientific community. In case you missed the main point, Big Pharma puts out money for studies and experiments, they control the experiments and their outcomes with the money and power that they have, they get the results that they want, then they are able to get their drugs and/or vaccines approved so that they can make even more money, or to demonstrate that the drugs/vaccines that they currently have are working the way they should be. This pattern does not represent science, it represents a system of widespread corruption in the pursuit of money.

A point to consider regarding these scientists. The science they are working on is for us to know that the products they are testing are "safe and effective." How safe do you feel about taking vaccines or drugs after reading these admissions of guilt in scientific studies? These lies, deceptions, and scientific misconduct can be brought to an end swiftly if we have transparency, and open all of these things to the view of the public and the rest of the scientific community.

#### **d. A Note on the Covid Trials.**

In one recent book, which was a very good read and highly recommended, *Rise of the Fourth Reich*, there was a story of one clinical trial auditor with many years of experience with the rules and regulations regarding these trials who was fired after reporting irregularities in the covid vaccine trials to the FDA.

“... Certified Clinical Research Professional Brook Jackson, who was hired as a regional director for two of the Texas clinical trial sites... She was fired after reporting documented evidence of fraud, fabricated data, and violation of clinical trial protocols at every level of the operation. “- *Rise of the Fourth Reich*. – Steve Deace & Daniel Horowitz. 2023. Pg 197-198

These shenanigans happened recently since these were for covid vaccine trials, and it seems obvious that there is more fraud and trial violations such as this happening in many other similar trials everywhere in the world where Big Pharma companies are conducting such trials. As the authors of the book note, there is a certain futility to the actions of such diligent, competent, and conscientious trial workers such as this to report blatant fraud and dereliction of duty. In fact, it seems as if nothing at all will happen to the Big Pharma companies doing such trials poorly without regard for established rules and regulations, while competent people who are trying to do their job well will be replaced with inferior trial workers who keep their mouths shut.

“On the FDA’s own website, they say they rely on the pharmaceutical company’s data in order to determine whether or not to approve a medicine or vaccine. There is no safety net for the public.” - *Rise of the Fourth Reich*. Steve Deace & Daniel Horowitz. 2023. Pg 208

This statement shows us that the weasels are in charge of the henhouse. How long do you suppose the hens will be safe? The public needs to see and be able to verify the accuracy of the information these Big Pharma companies are providing to the FDA for drug and vaccine approvals. It is clear beyond the shadow of a

doubt that these Big Pharma companies cannot be trusted to operate in secrecy, behind closed doors, and claiming these trials are “proprietary information”. The curtains need to be pulled back, and transparency to the public needs to be established, so the public can have true informed consent, and the health and safety of the public can be protected.

**Solution #8: TEST INDIVIDUAL VACCINE INGREDIENTS FOR SAFETY. Each component within vaccines should be studied individually to demonstrate safety and to note any adverse effects they may have on health. The results of these studies should be public information.**

**a. No Safety Testing of Individual Ingredients is Required for Vaccines.**

It shocked me when I found out that individual vaccine ingredients did not have to be tested for safety. That was a revelation. Then, as I thought about it, it made sense. Not sense as for the health and the safety of people and their children, but rather it made sense that FDA would allow their good friends, the Big Pharma companies, greater latitude in their vaccine ingredients so they could more easily make their products and make more money. The system is biased for Big Pharma.

“Vaccine manufacturers are permitted to use ingredients in vaccines without evidence that they are safe to inject into humans and are only required to study the vaccine as a whole during clinical trials.” -*HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 243.

What this means is that there is no requirement to study the individual ingredients of vaccines by themselves prior to inserting them into a product that will be injected directly into a human’s bloodstream. That doesn’t sound like a good idea, does it? As one example of this:

“...there are no studies of injected polysorbate 80 in humans...”  
*HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 248.

Wouldn't it be good to know what kind of reaction this one chemical has on the human body, so at least we can be prepared for the effects caused by it? The vaccine manufacturers could insert insect poison into the vaccines, and we may never even bother to consider this as a risk to health. And they did exactly that. Yes, to be clear, there is a known insect poison (insecticide/pesticide) in the formula for Gardasil 9. It is sodium borate, commonly known as borax. Surprised? I certainly was.

Common ingredients in vaccines include, per the CDC website:

- 1> Adjuvants, to help boost the body's response to the vaccine. Example - Aluminum salts.
- 2> Residual inactivating ingredients, to kill viruses or inactivate toxins during the manufacturing process. Example – Formaldehyde.
- 3> Residual cell culture materials, to grow enough of the virus or bacteria to make the vaccine. Example – Egg protein.
- 4> Residual antibiotics, to prevent contamination by bacteria during the vaccine manufacturing process. Example – Neomycin, Kanamycin, Streptomycin.
- 5> Preservatives, to prevent contamination. Example – Thimerosal.

Louis Pasteur gave us pure unadulterated vaccine material which consisted only of the attenuated microbe which was causing disease. Our “modern” pharmaceutical makers just added onto what Louis Pasteur gave us without bothering to see how any of these additional ingredients would affect our health. The “First, Do No Harm” safety provision as outlined by Hippocrates and is ascribed to by all the medical practitioners in the world was summarily bypassed and ignored.

## **b. Polysorbate 80.**

During this brief search, I did not see Stabilizers listed. Polysorbate 80 is used to stabilize aqueous formulations of medications and/or vaccines. It is a common ingredient. Let's start a more in-depth look into this specific chemical, polysorbate 80, which has not been tested for safety in humans.

Per a cursory Google search online:

“Polysorbate 80 helps solubilize ingredients and is considered safe by the FDA as a food additive. Polysorbate 80 may compromise proper gastrointestinal function. Studies have shown that it can increase intestinal membrane permeability through a variety of means including causing the release of enzymes that damage cellular structure. Health concerns related to the presence of polysorbates and impurities related to this ingredient include cancer (due to ethylene oxide and 1,4 dioxane), skin allergies, developmental toxicity, reproductive toxicity. Polysorbate 80 has been implicated in a number of systemic reactions, including hypersensitivity, nonallergic anaphylaxis, and rash.”

Of course, I could go on adding more because Google is endless. Please note that “reproductive toxicity” is included in the list of health concerns for polysorbate 80, because other ingredients within Gardasil also may affect reproductive health. I believe the salient points were found in this brief search. Now let's see what others have said concerning this ingredient.

After the introduction of the HPV vaccines, which includes polysorbate 80, there was a widespread decrease in pregnancy rates of the teenage girls where these vaccines were introduced, and at the same time, an increase in premature ovarian failure (POF).

“Australian gynecologist, Dr. Diedre Little, ... examined the other ingredients in the vaccine, including polysorbate 80, which has either ‘a suggested association with autoimmune ovarian damage, or known direct ovarian toxicity.’ ...She published three

case studies in 2014, examining why the vaccine might be related to this condition in teens and young women that is extremely rare.”  
- *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 75.

An extremely rare condition suddenly was becoming more prevalent, and it coincided almost exactly with the timing of the introduction of the HPV vaccines. Could it be just a coincidence?

It is common knowledge in the scientific research community that polysorbate 80 opens the blood brain barrier (BBB) so that drugs and vaccines which contain this ingredient have access to the brain. This is good if the chemicals are supposed to target the brain. But if they are not, and if polysorbate 80 is just being used as a stabilizer in the vaccine, then inadvertently all the other chemicals in the vaccine may have access, *direct access* to the brain, and could potentially cause damage by the ease of access made possible by polysorbate 80.

This is definitely something to consider as we look at the other ingredients in vaccines that may have access to the brain. If we extrapolate the possibilities that the blood brain barrier may have been compromised due to the inclusion of polysorbate 80 in vaccines, allowing for access for these other vaccine chemicals, then perhaps there is a plausible explanation for some of the new childhood disorders that have affected our children within the last 50 years, such as allergies, autoimmune diseases, ADHD, autism, and NDD (neuro developmental disorders.)

I had intended to list out the vaccines which included polysorbate 80 as an ingredient, and what I found was there were too many to list here. I counted 15 vaccines with this ingredient. At least 15 vaccines include this ingredient which has never been tested for safety in humans.

### **c. Sodium Borate aka Borax.**

Doing a cursory Google search on sodium borate/borax seemed a little problematic. There was a wide variety of opinions on the

internet regarding borax. What they all agree on is that borax is used as a household cleaning agent, and also as a pesticide.

Here is where it gets a little strange. According to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), borax has been banned as a food additive due to safety concerns. They clearly state that it is not safe to ingest. Borax is banned as a food additive in the United States because it is a known toxin. It is used as a pesticide. It may keep your home free from pests like ants and roaches by killing them, but why on earth would the FDA approve this chemical to be injected directly into anyone's bloodstream, especially the bloodstreams of innocent young children?

But that is exactly what they did. The FDA approved Borax to be used in 3 different vaccines: Gardasil, Gardasil 9, and VAQTA (hepatitis A vaccine). These three vaccines are all made by Merck. Sodium borate is a pesticide. The FDA approved injecting insect poison into our children. Pediatricians are putting this poison into our children's veins, and the FDA is allowing them, and along with the CDC, even encouraging it to be done. In some states, it is a mandatory vaccination required for school admission.

Now let's go to Europe and see what they think about borax. On Google again, I found that The European Union and The United Kingdom have banned borax due to concerns about reproductive toxicity. This does not sound like a safe ingredient to add to a vaccine. However, if the goal of Merck is to reduce the world's population by damaging the fertility of boys and girls, then the addition of this ingredient makes sense. The birth rates in the world have come down substantially recently and coincide with the timing of Gardasil vaccinations worldwide.

If you pull the fertility charts of the world up on your computer, you will likely notice a few things of interest. When birth control pills came into play in the early 1970s, there was a sudden drop off in fertility rates, and then this line somewhat stabilized until around 2007, which is when the HPV vaccine use became widespread in

the world. After 2007 the birth rates plummeted again. Perhaps it is only a coincidence, but when you have a vaccine which contains two ingredients which are known to adversely affect fertility, then a conclusion that these events are related seems plausible.

I have noticed a new phenomenon regarding borax on social media. Articles are currently proliferating that there may be health benefits by consuming this substance. I am more than a little wary about buying something found in the laundry section for cleaning/washing clothes, and then ingesting it for health benefits. While many new discoveries are made by those who boldly try new methods and techniques for health, there is also a great risk associated with this type of experimentation. One may ask, "Isn't borax a naturally occurring compound?" That may be true. However, there are many "natural" things on this planet that can make you sick and/or kill you. I would advise caution to those considering such actions.

#### **d. *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* aka Brewer's Yeast.**

There seems to be at least some information regarding this ingredient which is available. Brewer's yeast has been around for thousands of years and has helped us in the brewing of beer and other alcohols. It appears to have some adverse effects on our body that can cause problems for our health. But again, we would like to ask, where are the studies of this vaccine ingredient by itself, and what are the noted effects it has on being injected directly into the human body and on health?

Per Google search:

"Severe opportunistic infections due to *S. cerevisiae* have been reported in patients with chronic disease, cancer, and immunosuppression. Fungemia, endocarditis, pneumonia, peritonitis, urinary tract infections, skin infections, and esophagitis have been described...It has been demonstrated that this yeast can cause different forms of invasive infection..."

I could not find any studies with brewer's yeast and the effects it has on human health. However, I did find one study with the effects of injected brewer's yeast on rats. It was an article I found on the

internet, and notes it came from a health journal called *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity* volume 68, February 2018, Pages 211-223. The name of the article summarizes the findings of the scientists. The title is “**Brewer’s yeast is a potent inducer of fever, sickness behavior, and inflammation of the brain.**” We can add these adverse effects onto the list of what can go wrong when anyone takes a vaccine which contains Brewer’s yeast.

### **e. Other Vaccine Ingredients**

It would take a long time to go over each and every vaccine ingredient, so I will only cover a few notable ones we should be familiar with.

**Formaldehyde.** It is a common vaccine ingredient. Per Google, it is cancer causing and has an extensive list of other potential adverse side effects. You should know this one from your science class by dissecting frogs. Formaldehyde was used to preserve your frogs. It is also an ingredient used to preserve human corpses from decay. Where are the studies that show this chemical is safe to be injected into humans, and used in a vaccine?

**Chicken embryo cell cultures and Bovine calf serum** do not bother me so much, although they may explain why many children suffer allergies related to proteins that may be inherent within the vaccines that contain these ingredients. Louis Pasteur used such types of animal mediums to grow cultures for his vaccines.

A note on the following three ingredients. *It is a concern of many scientists that using human cells within a vaccine may cause an autoimmune reaction within the body, causing it to attack its own tissues.*

**Aborted fetus cells.** Used to develop hep A vaccine, measles vaccine, etc.

**Human embryo cells.** Used to develop chickenpox vaccine, measles vaccine, etc.

**Human albumin.** A human blood derivative. Used to develop chickenpox vaccine, etc.

**f. mRNA - messenger RNA. Newest Vaccine Ingredient Allowed by FDA.**

According to the vaccine providers, the mRNA enables delivery of the RNA into host cells. This is new technology and was expedited into use in humans by the FDA with an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for the covid vaccines.

“Messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccines are gene based vaccines that involve injecting lipid nanoparticles containing mRNA (genetic code) that enable the vaccine to get past the cell wall, into the intracellular space, then causes the cell’s ribosome to make viral proteins... to trigger an immune response.” – National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC)

Robert Malone, one of the scientists who developed this new mRNA technology, was very critical of the way it was being used in these covid vaccines. He stated that this mRNA technology should have been tested for safety, but it was not. He claims that what the companies were saying about their vaccines, and how this technology would remain only briefly within the body and stay in only one part of the body was an outright lie.

“... the mRNA goes all over the body and produces remarkably high levels of protein... it still has an extremely long half-life and this immunosuppressive property... the FDA applied the checklist for a standard vaccine technology when they should have applied the checklist for a gene therapy technology... in the SEC filings for both Moderna and Pfizer specifically acknowledged that these were gene therapies... the vast majority of people who are vaccinated have some degree of cardiac damage from these mRNA products” - *Rise of the Fourth Reich*. Steve Deace & Daniel Horowitz. 2023. Pg 186-193

This statement has a lot to it. The mRNA gene therapy within these covid “vaccines” stays in the body for a while, is spread throughout the body, suppresses the immune system, and has the ability to

alter things at a microscopic level. This doesn't sound like a good idea, and may be why there were more vaccine injuries from the covid vaccines than all the other vaccines we had had throughout history combined. Yes, the reported adverse vaccine injuries and deaths were that prevalent. The following are the actual numbers reported by VAERS after only one and a half years after the introduction of the covid vaccines.

For the Covid 19 vaccines, according to VAERS database, from December 2020 – April 4, 2022 there were:

“1> 1,226,314 adverse reactions, 2> 149,527 hospitalizations, 3> 26,976 deaths, 4> 50,100 permanent disabilities after vaccination, and 5> 1,037 birth defects after vaccination.” - *Lies My Government Told Me*. Robert W. Malone, MD, MS. 2022. Pg 162

Each of the above numbers represent more reported adverse events through the VAERS website than all the combined vaccine adverse events in these five respective categories added up together since 1990. That is quite a statement. Think about it. Over 30 years of data for all vaccines combined, and covid vaccines caused more injuries and deaths than all of them in only a year and a half of use. Due to the nature of the mRNA covid vaccines, which stay in the system for longer than the Big Pharma companies and the FDA and CDC say, and cause damage to the heart and immune system, there is likely more bad news to come, that is, if Big Pharma and the government allow the information to be known.

The numbers are still coming in, and the covid vaccines have set records in the VAERS database, as over a million people have reported injuries and/or deaths. However, another system was put into place at the time of these worldwide covid vaccine trials to monitor the data, and this was put out by the CDC and is called the V-Safe system. Over 10,000,000 reports have been made, and the CDC has been fighting not to release all the data. They are hiding public data from the public. This should be a telling sign of more bad news to come. Why else would they be hiding the data?

Not only this, but the FDA listed the type of technology used in the covid vaccines as “standard vaccine technology” rather than “gene therapy.” As we have seen from other examples, the rules for vaccines are much looser than for drugs. This opens the door for Big Pharma to use this technology at will for anything they can now describe with the help of their minion helper FDA as vaccines. This will be a great boon to Big Pharma being able to tinker on the human body and its mechanisms with gene-based therapies now permitted as vaccines with full FDA approval. Does anyone else out there see potential problems with this?

What other problems are noted concerning covid vaccines with this mRNA technology? Fertility damage seems to be another problem. Dr. Jessica Rose has some thoughts on the covid vaccine injuries and deaths. She is an...

“... applied mathematician, immunologist, computational and molecular biologist, and biochemist... It’s a huge data set now. It has millions of reports in it, which has never happened with any other vaccine before... The fertility data is not good, and women who are getting pregnant and losing fetuses, having miscarriages and spontaneous abortions... stillbirths... these are happening at higher rates than we’ve ever seen before in the context of biologicals from both VAERS and on-the-ground reporting... We have a lot of signs that women’s fertility is being affected.” - *Rise of the Fourth Reich*. Steve Deace & Daniel Horowitz. 2023. Pg 227-241

Here we go again with the fertility problems. By this time, you have to wonder if it’s intentional. Historically, whenever a drug or vaccine showed problems with fertility issues it would be banned or taken off the shelf so that more studies could be done to ensure it is safe. But since Gardasil it seems there is a push to promote vaccine products that appear to cause fertility problems.

One last quote on this subject by Dr. David Martin, who is...

“... involved in investigating biological and chemical weapons capabilities and violations around the world for the U.S. government... If you go back and look at the WHO’s global

preparedness board document from its vaccine decade preparedness program – which the Gates Foundation, the China CDC, NIAID, the Wellcome Trust, and others published in 2011 – their goal was a 15 percent reduction in population by 2020, and they even said that was through vaccination programs.” - *Rise of the Fourth Reich*. Steve Deace & Daniel Horowitz. 2023. Pg 285-290

Is this where we have come to, billionaires, scientists and Big Pharma playing God? Are there a few elite people attempting to curb human population through an artificial medium like vaccination, and deceiving the public through Big Pharma, Big Government, and Big Tech? Could it be true? It certainly would explain a lot. Perhaps this is why experimental vaccines unproven for safety and effectiveness with this new mRNA technology were rushed through an FDA emergency use authorization (EUA) approval. It may have had nothing to do with preventing covid at all. Perhaps covid was a “plandemic” created on purpose with various sinister goals and objectives by a few powerful people who control the world.

Louis Pasteur brought us the new technology of laboratory created vaccines to save human lives, to prevent disease, and to improve the world and the human condition with scientific breakthroughs. Is his invention of vaccination now being used to control population levels in the world, to cause fertility problems, and to cause injuries and deaths?

Louis Pasteur was an advocate of keeping things as simple as possible. We sure have made a complicated mess out of it, haven't we? Where are the individual studies that demonstrate that any of these individual ingredients noted above have been tested for safety in humans? Again and again, Big Pharma and the government have much explaining to do.

**Solution #9: LABEL ALUMINUM CONTENT IN VACCINES – INCLUDE A WARNING.** Mandate that the FDA place a warning on all vaccines containing aluminum just as is required for other injectable medications. Warnings concerning aluminum and the buildup of aluminum in the body as a result of repeated vaccinations need to be placed into the hands of those affected at the time of these vaccinations. Without this labeling, people are not given proper warning of this danger, and therefore cannot give informed consent.

**a. Aluminum and Childhood Diseases.**

The FDA and the CDC want to discount aluminum as a factor in childhood diseases. They state that the amounts of aluminum in these vaccines are so small that it could not possibly be doing any harm. Then they compare the amount we ingest in the same way as the amount that is injected, which are two totally different things. The absurdity of their statements would be comical if no one was injured in the process. It is the infants and toddlers who are being hurt the most due to their underdeveloped bodies and brains.

“Simply comparing the amounts of aluminum in food with the amount in vaccines fails to acknowledge the biological difference between ingesting and injecting. When we eat aluminum, very little is absorbed (.01 to 5 percent) into the bloodstream because it passes through the digestive system unabsorbed, gets filtered by the liver and kidneys, and is excreted. When injected, aluminum bypasses the protective processes of the gut, and we essentially absorb 100 percent.” - *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 228

“We essentially absorb 100%.” It is nothing like drinking soda out of a can. There is danger here, and our scientists and government organizations like the FDA and CDC that are supposed to be protecting us from harm seem to be justifying the promotion of more vaccines for Big Pharma.

“Dr. Suzanne Humphries, a nephrologist (kidney specialist), maintains ‘aluminum is toxic to all life forms.’ Drs. Christopher

Shaw and Lucija Tomljenovic, researchers who have extensively studied vaccines and aluminum for a number of years, suggest that aluminum is not only neurotoxic – it is toxic to many body functions and processes.” *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 228

“Neurotoxic.” What does this even mean? Let’s go to Google and find out. Google says it means: “poisonous to the nervous system.” OK. What is the “nervous system?” Google says: “It includes the brain and spinal cord, and nerves that extend to the rest of the body.”

What we have is aluminum, which is neurotoxic, being directly injected into the bodies of infants, and in excessive amounts (overdose by FDA standards), and we are expected to believe that these vaccines are supposed to be “safe.” And don’t forget, many of these vaccines include polysorbate 80, which can provide direct access to the brain by allowing aluminum to cross the blood brain barrier. Basically, the FDA has permitted, and the CDC has recommended that neurotoxic aluminum be given direct access to the brains of developing infants. Does anyone else out there see any potential problems with this?

But the FDA and CDC claim that the body will eliminate these chemicals quickly, and everything will be all right. They assure us that these fears are overblown. Is there any evidence to back up their hypothesis?

“Unlike ingested aluminum, most of which healthy people excrete efficiently, injected aluminum persists in the body. While scientists previously thought we quickly excreted injected aluminum, recent research demonstrates the opposite is true. Injected aluminum remains in the body – perhaps for a decade, or longer, following vaccination. Aluminum nanoparticles combine with certain cells, becoming long-lived cells impeding aluminum’s ability to dissolve or be excreted. The brain and nervous system seem particularly susceptible to the damaging inflammatory processes of aluminum...In a peer-reviewed article published in early 2018, Dr.

Exley and his colleagues discovered extraordinarily high levels of aluminum in donor brain tissue from five deceased individuals with autism. Particularly for the youngest donors, the levels appear unprecedented.” - *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. Mary Holland, J.D., Kim Mack Rosenberg, J.D., and Eileen Iorio. 2018. Pg 230

Injected aluminum stays in the body, builds up with each vaccine, and in time can cause many of the health problems our children are faced with today, some of which the parents of these youths must deal with, if the aluminum toxicity levels reach that degree of severity.

From this we can see we are overdosing our children on aluminum, especially if we are allowing them to receive multiple vaccination shots on one day. Apparently, it does not really matter much if you spread these shots out over additional months and/or separate the shots so they are one at a time, because aluminum remains in the system for years, and builds up over time within the body with each additional shot.

If we use common sense, then what is it we should do with this knowledge? Perhaps we should only permit those vaccines that are necessary for the health and well-being of our children, and at a time when the damage of such toxins can be minimized. In other words, as best as we can, minimize the amount of aluminum that is directly injected, so that the health of our children will not suffer. *Warning labels should be placed on all vaccines which contain any kind of aluminum.*

You may have noticed that these quotes came from the same book, *HPV Vaccine on Trial*. This is only a small sampling. The material inside this book is truly remarkable. Just so I am more balanced, I want to give an alternate source.

### **b. Scientific Studies on Aluminum.**

This is an important topic. The public does not seem to know what is happening with this systematic aluminum poisoning of our infants

and children. The following quotes are from *Miller's Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*, which is an outstanding source of information.

“Shaw CA, Tomljenovic L. **Aluminum in the central nervous system (CNS): toxicity in humans and animals, vaccine adjuvants, and autoimmunity.** *Immunol Res* 2013 Jul; 56 (2-3): 304-16.”

The conclusions from this paper are as follows:

- 1> “The immune-stimulating effect of aluminum adjuvants can provoke autoimmune and inflammatory adverse reactions.”
  - 2> “There is a significant correlation between the number of aluminum-containing vaccines that children receive and the rate of autism spectrum disorders.”
  - 3> “Western countries that require the most aluminum-adjuvanted vaccines for preschool children have the highest autism rates.”
  - 4> “Injected aluminum is worse than ingested aluminum (from dietary sources) because it bypasses the protective barriers of the gastrointestinal tract, requiring a lower dose to induce a toxic reaction.”
  - 5> “The FDA never tested the safety of aluminum in childhood vaccines.”
- *Miller's Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*. Neil Z. Miller. 2016. Pg 45.

There appears to be a measurable correlation between the amount of aluminum in the vaccines with the number of children in the United States becoming autistic. Remember 50 years ago when no one even heard of autism? What has changed since then? The answer is the number and the timing of the vaccines. *Too many vaccines too soon with too many chemicals are causing too many problems in too many children.*

“Tomljenovic L, Shaw CA. **Aluminum vaccine adjuvants: are they safe?** *Curr Med Chem* 2011; 18(17): 2630-37.”

The conclusions from this paper:

- 1> “Infants in the United States and other developed nations receive up to 49 times more aluminum from vaccines than FDA safety limits allow.”
  - 2> “Repeated injections of aluminum-containing vaccines in children may cause severe long-term immune system damage.”
  - 3> “No studies have been conducted to confirm the safety of combining aluminum with other toxic substances in vaccines, such as mercury, formaldehyde, phenoxyethanol, polysorbate 80, and glutaraldehyde.”
  - 4> “The FDA allows scientists conducting clinical trials to compare the safety of an aluminum-containing vaccine to an aluminum-containing ‘placebo.’ This underestimates the true rate of adverse vaccine reactions.”
  - 5> “Vaccine risks may exceed the potential benefits.”
- *Miller’s Critical Review of Vaccine Studies*. Neil Z. Miller. 2016. Pg 46

Did you see that? “49 times more aluminum from vaccines than FDA limits allow.” Where are the warnings? If the FDA and CDC are going to permit, recommend, and encourage the poisoning of our children, they should at least warn us. Also in this study, another conclusion mentioned that Big Pharma studies were using “aluminum-containing placebos.” It all really makes me wonder what is truly going on at the FDA and the CDC. Are they merely paid lapdogs of Big Pharma? Who then is really watching out for the health and safety of the people and our children?

**Solution #10: REMOVE LIABILITY PROTECTION OF THE VACCINE MANUFACTURERS.** Big Pharma has abused the liability protection given to it. People and their children are being hurt due to this abuse. It has become increasingly more difficult to get remuneration for deaths or injuries which are related to vaccines. Granting liability protection to the vaccine makers encourages reckless production of vaccines that is focused on profits and not safety.

Let me put this in a context of today, and with the FDA emergency authorization use of the covid vaccines. I will quote Barbara Loe

Fischer, who is a co-founder for the National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC), which is an excellent source of information, and a consumer advocate for vaccine safety in the United States. She wrote an article which can be found on the NVIC which is titled: **“What Mothers Should Know About COVID and COVID-19 Vaccine for Children.”** – NVIC. Barbara Loe Fisher. March 11, 2022. This is a good read.

“You should know that in June 2020, Congress completely shielded vaccine manufacturers and anyone administering the COVID vaccine from product liability and malpractice lawsuits in civil court.”

Let me just go over this briefly. There is much to this one simple statement. Keep in mind the underlying thesis involving Big Pharma and their promotion of vaccines and their relentless pursuit of profits, regardless of the safety or health of the test subjects in this worldwide vaccine trial.

By the FDA approval, these Big Pharma companies and all who administer covid vaccines have been given almost blanket liability protection under the law. Their downside risk in case of injuries and deaths due to administration of the covid vaccines is nearly zero. If people end up injured or dead due to these covid vaccines, it will be up to these individuals or their families to prove in a court of law that the injury or death was caused by these vaccines.

This is a mountain of an obstacle to jump over. The science for these vaccines is relatively new. The mRNA technology is new. There are probably only a few certified experts in the world who have the ability to testify, and there are hundreds of thousands, perhaps millions of injuries and/or deaths from these covid vaccines from every corner of the world. How can these very few qualified experts represent all the injured or dead? If you have no certifiable “expert” on your side, how can you be expected to “prove” that the vaccine injury or death was caused by a covid vaccine?

Another thing to think about is the speed in which the FDA and Congress authorized these basically trial vaccines to be used and mandated on the public. Typically, it takes many years to create a vaccine. After it is created then it takes many more years to prove its safety and effectiveness. There is no possible way that these covid vaccines were proven safe or effective in the few months that they were rushed into use on the public. They were experimental vaccines.

The *Nuremberg Code* is against forcing people to take part of experimental drug and/or vaccine trials without their informed consent. People in the United States were forced by vaccine mandate to take unproven trial vaccines into their bodies. The FDA, CDC, and other governmental agencies, along with our elected representatives, are guilty of crimes which are the same as the crimes of the Nazi war criminals in Germany. These were crimes against humanity, and those who were responsible for these crimes should be punished to the full extent of the law. Let these people attempt to prove their innocence in a court of law, and let it be aired in public, so that all the people in this country can see the true depths of depravity, lack of conscience, and blatant pursuit of money and power these people were capable of without any regard for those who were injured or killed in the process. How was this whole episode of human misery and abuse of power even made possible?

Big Pharma has money and power. They control the FDA, CDC, state and federal legislators, and medical establishment in the United States. Big Pharma can do what it wants. The people of this country need to rise up together and say “No, we will no longer allow this corruption and abuse of power.” We need to unite against this abuse of power and change laws so that it cannot happen again, and we need to enforce these laws. That is what it will take to stop this abuse of power by Big Pharma. First, we must create a widespread awareness that there are problems. Then, we need to take steps to remedy the problems. We can do this. It will take time

and effort. Spread the word. Make these crimes against humanity known and help them to be stopped.

If this liability protection was not available and granted to these Big Pharma vaccine manufacturers, this whole travesty of justice, and the related sickness and death due to these covid “vaccines” would not have been possible. Let’s remove this liability protection from the vaccine makers and hope that this abuse of power and corruption of the medical system against the people of the United States never happens again.

**Solution #11: DECLARE VACCINE RISKS IN ADVERTISING. Pharmaceutical companies should have to declare known possible risks and adverse effects of their vaccines on advertising and commercials the same way as is required for drugs.**

Enough was said in the prior solutions chapter (Chapter 3 – Solution #11). on this topic. I really can’t think of anything to add here, so let’s go back and do what the government and Big Pharma should have done regarding declaring vaccine risks with their brand new unproven experimental covid vaccines which contained more chemicals and ingredients than other vaccines and were created in far less time.

The bonus for Big Pharma was that they expedited a new technology in place, the mRNA technology. Now that this technology has a basis and has been used, they will now consider it a proven technology and undoubtedly will be using it in many new vaccines in the future, with all the long-term risks involved with this new technology being largely unknown. This equation offers all the proof necessary as to the great risk involved in being part of these grand experimental covid vaccine trials and mandates.

If you can remember and recall the ads, the billboards, and the commercials, there were no vaccine warnings for these covid

vaccines which were created and paid for sometimes by Big Pharma, and seemingly more often by our government agencies, which have become minions of Big Pharma, as they used our taxpayer dollars to pay for these ads to encourage us to enter a dangerous fold where we, as the sheep they treated us to be, were driven into covid vaccine lines in order to receive our covid vaccines and boosters. There were no warnings, only encouragement for us to be protected from this hyped-up disease which in the end proved to be no more serious than a bad flu. Their advertising and vaccine mandates worked. About 5.5 billion people on this planet received the covid vaccines and/or their boosters.

The following is what their covid vaccine ads should have included. Part of any vaccine trial, or administration of any regular type of vaccine should have *proper warning* as to common and rare side effects which may occur and be deleterious to a person's health. Vaccines can result in serious injuries or even death, and proper warnings should always be clearly given to those who receive them.

### **WARNING!**

- 1> These covid vaccines have not gone through the normal FDA vaccine approval process and are allowed only by the FDA emergency use authorization (EUA), and as such are experimental vaccines. By taking these vaccines, you are hereby giving your permission and consent to be a willing test subject (or lab rat, if you prefer) in this worldwide vaccine trial.
- 2> These vaccines have not been proven to be safe or effective in preventing or reducing the spread of covid in a laboratory or trial setting.
- 3> Covid vaccines may adversely affect fertility for men and women, and for women may cause irregular bleeding and/or irregular menstrual cycles.
- 4> There is no evidence that these covid vaccines are safe for pregnant women, and/or their unborn children.
- 5> Breastfeeding after receiving a covid shot or booster may be harmful for a nursing infant.

- 6> These vaccines have not been tested for safety in children and/or infants.
- 7> The ingredients and technology (mRNA) within these covid vaccines have never been tested for safety by themselves and may be harmful to your health.
- 8> Side effects of these vaccines may include, but are not limited to the following: myocarditis, pericarditis, heart attack, cardiac arrest, arrhythmia, POTS, cardiomyopathy, palpitations, hypertension, blood clots, death, immune dysregulation, vascular damage, mitochondrial dysfunction, mast cell activation syndrome, autoimmune reactions, and over 1,000 more other unique adverse events.

**Ask your doctor if covid vaccination sounds right for you. Thanks for being part of this covid vaccine trial, and good luck with your health!**

**Solution #12: LEGALLY TREAT VACCINES LIKE DRUGS. There should be no legal difference in the treatment of drugs and vaccines. Vaccines should be labeled as drugs by the FDA, and the rules and regulations regarding drugs should be applied to vaccines.**

If we legally treated vaccines like we do drugs, then liability protection of the vaccine makers would be removed, the vaccine makers would end up being more careful, and would make vaccines which are safer for the public use. This is because there would be a threat of potential lawsuits waiting to happen if they produced vaccine products in a dangerous and reckless manner. This would keep vaccine making more balanced with the needs of the public, rather than having these vaccines quickly made and then approved by Big Pharma's willing lapdog FDA. Now Big Pharma has permission to be dangerous and reckless, and the law protects them. This should change.

If we legally treated vaccines like drugs, then direct to consumer (DTC) advertising would be forced by law to include potential adverse side effects in their advertising. To not include these potential adverse side effects is misleading to an unsuspecting and trusting public.

If we legally treated vaccines like drugs, then there would automatically be a warning in place for all vaccines which contain aluminum. The benefit of this clarity of risk would make all parents who are allowing vaccines to be given to their children to better understand the risks involved.

If we legally treated vaccines like drugs, then informed consent would be the law of the land for all drugs and vaccines. No one forces any drug on any person, nor should they. This treatment should be the same for vaccines. It can be a recommendation, but it should never be perceived as a mandate.

If we made this one change in the law, all these noted problems with healthcare regarding vaccines would be solved. It is important to remember that vaccines can cause injury and death. All these loopholes in the law are beneficial only to the pharmaceutical companies, and do not benefit the public at all. Shouldn't the main focus of the law be to protect the people and their health, rather than the corporations which are focused on making money and profits?

**Solution #13: AFTER ANTIBIOTIC THERAPY, DELAY VACCINATIONS.** Antibiotics therapy for children should be a contraindication to taking any vaccines until the body has recovered completely from the illness and the antibiotics. This should be at least 6 months to 1 year of time and include probiotic therapy.

**a. Most Disease Begins in the Gut aka The Gastrointestinal Tract.**

Hippocrates was the doctor in ancient Greece who gave us many of the precepts of our modern medicine, including the well-known adage, “First, Do No Harm.” He stated that most of our illnesses begin in the gut, which we now call the gastrointestinal tract. In similar fashion, we could look at and expand upon this statement from him in an alternate way and conclude that if we maintain our gut health, and take care of it, then our chances of becoming sick are less likely. The gut is an important part of our health, and this is due to the beneficial bacteria found in the gut.

“...the gut microbiome is an essential part of the human host. It carries out biochemical transformations, digests food and provides nutrients for humans and microbiota, metabolizes foreign compounds including drugs and toxins, modifies host-derived metabolites including bile acids, mediates microbe-microbe interactions, and shapes the immune system. It may also influence circadian rhythms.” - *Antibiotics. What Everyone Needs To Know*. Mary E. Wilson. 2019. Pg 146

This book on antibiotics was the most informative book I found on this important subject. For more detailed information on the subject of antibiotics, I would strongly recommend reading this book.

Bacteria are often looked on as an enemy. We know of many bacterial illnesses. But in truth, most bacteria are our friends. They protect us from harm and foreign invaders, they tame inflammation, they digest our food, they balance the biochemistry of our bodies, and they keep us healthy, if we work with them and not against

them. When we take antibiotics, we disturb this delicate balance. Sometimes, this can result in adverse health events.

“Adverse events related to antibiotics are common. Researchers at Johns Hopkins retrospectively analyzed records from hospitalized patients to find out how many had adverse events related to antibiotics (Tamma 2017) ...They found that 20% of those receiving an antibiotic had an adverse event. The most common adverse events were gastrointestinal (42%), kidney (24%), and hematologic (or affecting the bone marrow; 15%).” - *Antibiotics. What Everyone Needs To Know*. Mary E. Wilson. 2019. Pg 108

### **b. Antibiotics can Cause Injury and Death.**

Antibiotic literally means “against life.” Antibiotics kill bacteria. They do not discern if the bacteria are good or bad bacteria. They kill all kinds of bacteria. When we have a bacterial infection, and we use antibiotics, we kill bacteria and flush them out of our system. Along with the bad bacteria, we kill the good bacteria which protects our body and helps it to perform its functions for our health, and which helps protect us from disease. The body’s good bacteria help support our body’s immune function, control inflammation, and help us digest our food. After a complete antibiotic regimen, we may cure the disease that afflicted us, but the aftermath is a dangerous time. Our immune system is compromised, our defenses are down, many of the good bacteria have been removed from our gastrointestinal tract, and we are open to invasion from other things while our body attempts to recuperate and to restore the delicate balance of good bacteria back into our system.

In an internet article about antibiotics called **Why Antibiotics Are Harmful For Your Health** by Robert Floyd, MD, IFMCP dated September 8, 2022, he stated:

“Antibiotics have become lifesavers for many and decreased the burden of many infectious diseases, but they bring with them adverse effects... Many of the adverse side effects of antibiotics are related to the interaction between the gut microbiome and antibiotics... There has been a global surge (more than 65%) in

the use of antibiotics between 2000 and 2015, which has led to more reported cases of their side effects. They account for most emergency department visits for kids below the age of 18 years and nearly one out of five emergency department visits in general.”

This overuse and abuse of antibiotics is having an oversized impact on the lives, the health, and the well-being of the children. Many of these doctors feel they are just being “safe” when they are recommending these antibiotics to our kids, “just in case” there are any bad bacteria which are responsible for their illnesses. This is one of the reasons these drugs are overprescribed. Just look at the fact that “*most emergency department visits for kids below the age of 18*” are caused by antibiotics to get a glimpse of the size of the doctor-caused problems we are having due to the overprescription of these medicines.

The author of this internet article goes on and cites the most common adverse effects of antibiotics: “1> Diarrhea. 2> Nausea and Vomiting. 3> Vaginal Yeast Infections. 4> Allergic Reactions. 5> Weakened Immunity. 6> Cancer Risk. 7> Harmful to kidney function. 8> Inner ear problems. 9> Reduced effectiveness of birth control pills. And 10> Serious problems (Alzheimer’s disease, seizures).” This list is a little different than the one given for side effects of antibiotics on the CDC website.

The CDC website lists common side effects of antibiotics such as: 1> Rash. 2> Nausea. 3> Diarrhea. And 4> Yeast infections. They follow this list with the more serious side effects which include: 1> C. diff infection, which causes diarrhea that can lead to severe colon damage and death. 2> Severe and life-threatening allergic reactions. And 3> Antibiotic-resistant infections. While there are some differences in these lists, the point is crystal clear. There are risks involved when you are taking antibiotics, and these widely used medicines can kill you. Again, we always want to go back to the original good advice given by our well-meaning historical friend Hippocrates, “First, Do No Harm.”

After antibiotic therapy, it takes time for the body to rebuild its defenses. As a way to build up the body's defense system and rebuild the immunity system after antibiotic therapy, the internet article author cited above advises "... taking probiotics for up to 6 months after completing the course of antibiotics..." For an adult 6 months is not such a long time. But what about for a child? What if the child is 5 months old and has had a recent course of antibiotics due to an illness? What about the recommended vaccinations to be given at 6 months, 8 months, 1 year, etc.?

### **c. Probiotics are Beneficial After Antibiotic Use.**

After antibiotic therapy is a delicate time for the body, and the bacterial flora in the gut should be allowed adequate time to be brought back into a healthy state. At this point, any doctor with intelligence would recommend his patients take probiotics to help the body restore good bacteria within the system and allow time for the body to restore its microbial balance. Without probiotics, the body will more slowly restore this balance, but it may take considerably more time. It is important after an antibiotic regimen not to take other things into your body that may affect your health, because your defenses are down, and these foreign things may more easily have an oversized effect within the body than they normally would. Also, using probiotics appears to be helpful in preventing one of the most common post antibiotic infections, namely C. Diff colitis. (C. Diff).

"The use of probiotics has been advocated as a way to prevent C. diff colitis (Goldenberg 2017) ... a Cochrane review (a respected group that does systematic reviews of topics) concluded that there is evidence of moderate quality that finds a protective effect from probiotics against C. diff colitis and suggested its use for some groups of patients who receive antibiotics during hospitalization."  
- *Antibiotics. What Everyone Needs To Know*. Written by Mary E. Wilson. 2019. Pg 122.

Installing beneficial bacteria (probiotics) within the body seems like a logical step in this complicated internal struggle of destroying the bad bacteria while at the same time maintaining and promoting

good bacteria within the system. Perhaps taking these probiotics could be called “the strength in numbers of good bacteria approach” for maintaining and/or re-establishing overall microbial health within the body during and/or after antibiotic therapy.

Noted pediatrician Dr. Robert Sears, who wrote *The Vaccine Book*, also recommends probiotic therapy around the timing of vaccinations, and for similar reasoning:

“Administering probiotics daily starting two months prior to MMR and chickenpox vaccination and continuing for at least three months afterward may improve the vaccines’ effectiveness and decrease the number of vaccine reactions (including fever and diarrhea). These healthy supplements can also help resolve intestinal symptoms due to antibiotics, improve yeast diaper rashes, solve chronic diarrhea, and improve allergic problems in order to better prepare your child for vaccines.” *The Vaccine Book*. Robert W. Sears, MD 2019 Third Edition. Pg 210

When I asked my daughter’s pediatrician about this subject, he stated that he always recommends his patients to take probiotics after an antibiotic regimen. It appears that this knowledge of taking probiotics after a period of antibiotic use has become somewhat common knowledge in the medical world.

#### **d. Infants – Antibiotics – Vaccines – Time.**

“Antibiotics are a common cause for disturbance to the microbiome. Antibiotics lead to a decrease in numbers and diversity of bacteria and a change in composition and function. The effect can persist after a person has stopped taking an antibiotic. As background it is useful to keep in mind that in the United States today, on average children receive three courses of antibiotics by age 2 years and 10 courses of antibiotics before 10 years of age.” - *Antibiotics. What Everyone Needs To Know*. Mary E. Wilson. 2019. Pg 140-141

On average, “3 courses of antibiotics by the age of 2”? This seems a little high due to the fact that 100 years ago these drugs were

completely unknown, and therefore unavailable. There are times when antibiotics are needed, but when they are not needed, they should not be given “just to be safe.” This overuse of antibiotics at such a young age is affecting children’s health, their intestinal flora, and their bodies’ defense mechanisms. Antibiotics should be used sparingly among the very young. What are some of the reasons that antibiotics are prescribed so much to infants? The following is one reason.

“Young infants are vulnerable to infections. Neonatal sepsis (serious infection of a neonate, especially a newborn younger than one month of age) is a leading cause of death. As many as 30% to 60% can die if they do not receive appropriate treatment. Prompt treatment is crucial – but diagnosis is often challenging because the signs and symptoms of sepsis are often subtle and nonspecific. Because physicians do not want to miss treating an infection that could be fatal, in high-income countries up to 7% (or more) of young infants are given intravenous antibiotics within the first three days of life. In reality fewer than 1 in 1000 newborns has sepsis but many are treated ‘just in case’.” - *Antibiotics. What Everyone Needs To Know*. Mary E. Wilson. 2019. Pg 328

“Up to 7% or more” of newborn infants are given antibiotics within the first three days after birth and almost all of them will receive a hep-B shot within the first day or two of life. This is a bad combination. To protect them from sepsis is understandable, but it is obviously overdone. This is another reason why hep-B vaccines should not be given routinely to all newborns. Toxins within the hep B vaccine plus antibiotics equals potentially adverse outcomes for these infants which can easily be avoided. After taking antibiotics yeast in the body can grow out of control, causing a variety of problems. Then to make things worse, we add more yeast into the infant’s body with the hep B vaccine, which contains brewer’s yeast. The combination of hep B vaccine and antibiotics directly after birth is not a good idea.

Since antibiotics use has become prevalent in infants and toddlers less than 2 years of age, one must wonder at how their microbiome health has been compromised, and what kinds of oversized effects

the plethora of recommended vaccines are having on their young and underdeveloped systems, especially as their body's defense system has been repeatedly wiped out due to antibiotic therapy. It is important to note here that a variety of different antibiotics are also included in the recommended vaccines. This may help explain some of the problems we are currently facing with children's health that are common today but were not 50 years ago.

This is another valid reason it would make sense to delay some of these vaccines, especially in light of the fact of this overprescription problem with antibiotics. The recent patterns bear out the fact that these problems such as ADHD, Autism, neurodevelopmental disorders, allergic disorders, etc., affecting children are recent ones, and the recent increase in the use of antibiotics may be part of the cause of these ongoing problems.

**Conclusion #14. BAN CONSUMER DRUG AND VACCINE ADVERTISING. There should be no direct to consumer (DTC) advertising of vaccines and/or drugs. DTC marketing and advertising of pharmaceutical drugs and vaccines are not in the public's interest and should be made illegal.**

**a. The Basic Equation.**

Big Pharma companies pay for advertising. Advertising money equals power over the media companies which will not bite the hand that feeds them money. The media companies provide the "news" to us, which is greatly affected by those who control the money and power. Then we watch our "news" shows, and think we were provided "news" and real information, while in reality we were provided propaganda which enables those who control the money and power to gain more money and power, and the ability to sell us more of their products, or to maintain or strengthen beliefs which will enable their product sales and profits to continue well into the future. Therefore, in commercial media, there is a process of mind control and manipulation to control the masses of people in order

to get us to do what Big Pharma, Big Tech (media), and Big Government want us to do, and to think the way they wish us to think. Allowing these drug and vaccine ads and their propaganda is dangerous, as Big Pharma increases their money and power, and we lose our freedoms which become more and more restricted.

Think about covid. Promotion of fear by government and media enabled mandates of highly questionable vaccines which were still in the trial stages with no long-term studies (which breaks international law – *The Nuremberg Code*). Lies were propagated about the safety and effectiveness of these vaccines. This was followed by restrictions of our liberties, limiting where we could go, what we could do, along with the enforcement of wearing masks. And if we did not submit to being part of this vaccine trial and getting these vaccines, there were threats of losing our jobs, not being able to attend school, or not being allowed to travel or attend public events. The people of America and the world were test subjects, or rather lab rats, for the greatest vaccine trial of all time. How this played out should scare anyone and be a wake-up call to anyone who loves America and the promise of freedom this country has brought to the world. This propaganda and manipulation of the population should not be allowed to continue. *Drug and vaccine advertising should be banned.*

What was the result of this covid being constantly in the “news”? Big Pharma made oversized profits by peddling vaccines unproven for safety or effectiveness. Big Government gained more control and power over our lives and restricted our freedoms. And Big Tech also won big. With travel down and everyone scared and staying at home, Big Tech raked in the money. Big Pharma, Big Tech, and Big Government won, and we all lost. What did we lose? Our freedoms, our money, and oftentimes our health, both mentally and physically.

### **b. Lessons from Nazi Germany.**

Adolf Hitler was the inventor of modern-day advertising and propaganda. His method was to create a large lie, and to repeat it over and over again until it was accepted as truth. He lied to and

deceived his people to justify doing what he wanted. He blamed the Jews for all that was bad in the world. He spread propaganda on the radio, made news reels, and placed posters everywhere which spread his lies in order to control the narrative. When the night of the broken glass came, and the Jewish shops were destroyed, the people had been prepared for this mentally due to his propaganda. Then when they began sending the Jews to the concentration camps, many people in Germany believed it was in the best interests of Germany to do so. However, there were still many who saw him as he truly was, a megalomaniac, pushing for power and total domination and control of the masses of people to be used by him for his own ends. But these people had no power to stop him. There was no freedom. Hitler had removed the rights of freedom of expression and assembly, and people did not also want to be sent to these concentration camps. But there were a few who spoke out against his policies, propaganda, and lies, and these outspoken ones were sent to the camps. These brave souls stood up for what was right, and they died in these camps. All dissent was stifled.

As a result, the Nazis were able to do all kinds of experimental medical testing on the people in these concentration camps. There was no "informed consent." They brutally did what they wanted to find out the answers to their scientific questions. This was unconscionable. It is hard to put into words the depravity of their actions. In the Nuremberg Trials, many of these things were revealed. The largest revelation was the Nazis' brutality, lack of conscience, and inhumanity towards other men. The world never wanted to allow this kind of thing to happen again. They made a list of resolutions and named the document the *Nuremberg Code*. This was one achievement of these trials. They instituted this code, and the free nations of the world adopted it as law to prevent people being tested experimentally against their will and without their consent. They thought this chapter in human history was done and would not be repeated.

Here in America, we have taken up the torch of Adolf Hitler, and followed his example. It is reminiscent of the old expression:

“Imitation is the sincerest form of flattery.” Government mandates to take an experimental covid vaccine are only one part of this imitation. The American government, along with Big Pharma and Big Tech, used their powers to disseminate falsehoods, forced people against their wills to take unproven experimental vaccines into their bodies, and used the propaganda machine of Big Tech and its various media to justify their ends. They put up posters which declared to “do what is right and protect your families and your communities” by taking their unproven and basically experimental vaccines. Paid advertising with our own taxpayer money was used to spread this propaganda on all the Big Tech sites and media, and this included our TV news, which was basically controlled by the government during this time. Also at the time, all dissent against the narrative was stifled, and those who spoke out against the vaccines, the government, and their propaganda were ostracized, lost their jobs, and were punished in a myriad of ways.

The covid pandemic wasn't that long ago. Do you remember the ads and billboards? It is eerily similar to what happened in Nazi Germany. And today, just as it was back then in Nazi days, we have a bifurcated society, one side which has swallowed the propaganda and still believes the government is on our side, and doing the best they can to protect us from the ills of the world. And the other side, which still has the ability to voice their opinions currently, but is slowly being submerged into silence, which understands our freedoms and our rights are being encroached upon and being taken away, and we are being forced to take experimental things into our bodies by force of the government. What has happened and is still happening is not right. There is still a chance to stop it. We must prevent totalitarianism in our country, or America will no longer be a free country.

### **c. The Power of Advertising. Lessons from Gandhi.**

Mohandas Gandhi is a well-known man. He is considered to be the father of the country India, much like George Washington is considered to be the father of the United States. During a time of struggle before the independence of India, it was necessary for him

to set up a newspaper, so that his views could be widely disseminated. The choice was to set up the paper as being paid for by advertising or by subscription. He thought long and deeply about the subject, and then he made his resolution.

“If I wanted to express my views untrammelled, the existing printing presses, which were naturally run for business, would have hesitated to publish them. The need for setting up a press of our own, therefore, became all the more imperative... From the very start I set my face against taking advertisements in these journals... it is my belief that it has in no small measure helped them to maintain their independence.” - *Ghandhi, An Autobiography. The Story of my Experiments with Truth*. 1957. Pg 474

What Ghandhi said is the truth. A newspaper that takes money for advertising is no longer independent. When a paper takes money for advertising, then they become subject to the will of those who pay for the advertising. Then, in the end, what people receive is not really “journalism,” it is more a vehicle to expand business and increase profitability of the advertisers. This is in a nutshell what we have today in America in all forms of media, whether it be the internet, mainstream TV and their “news” programs, the newspapers, the magazines, the medical journals, et al, basically everywhere there is paid advertising there is the perversion of truth in order to expand business and make money.

One last thought from Ghandhi on journalism:

“I realized that the sole aim of journalism should be service. The newspaper press is a great power, but just as an unchained torrent of water submerges whole countrysides and devastates crops, even so an uncontrolled pen serves but to destroy. If the control is from without, it proves more poisonous than want of control.”- *Ghandhi, An Autobiography. The Story of my Experiments with Truth*. 1957. Pg 287

His words were prophetic. Media of all kinds which is bound to advertising is serving money, and not mankind. Journalists should

bring news and information to the public without partiality, so the public can be informed. They should not be slanting the news one way or the other. They should simply be providing the facts. In a media business which accepts advertising money, stating news impartially is a difficult, or maybe even an impossible task. In America, one needs to be on guard when they hear “news,” because it is important to understand who is paying for it.

#### **d. Covid-19 and the Media. Suppression of Dialogue.**

We all lived through this covid-19 era, and it is fresh in our minds. The strange thing about this, is that with all the covid-19 vaccine related deaths and injuries all around us, and often plain to see, the country is still very bifurcated in its beliefs. I am not going to focus on whether the covid-19 vaccines work or not, that is not my purpose here. The origin of this disease is also out of the realm of topics I would like to discuss. My purpose is to think this through with common sense, and to look at this epidemic and the solutions provided by Big Pharma and our Big Government agencies like the FDA and the CDC from a historical and a recently lived perspective.

Covid-19 was a real disease. This highly contagious disease killed many people. According to those in control of the statistics, this disease killed millions worldwide. Early in the pandemic, we all watched our TVs and our internet sites to see the ever-increasing numbers of those infected and/or killed. We also knew of many friends and family who came down with covid, or what was thought to be covid, and we heard anecdotal evidence that this was a real thing, something to protect ourselves and our families from, and to proceed amongst other people outside of our homes with extreme caution. Where did all this covid information come from and why?

“A Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request by Blaze Media has revealed that the Department of Health & Human Services (HHS) through the CDC has spent one billion tax dollars on propaganda to push the safety and effectiveness of these vaccines and to stop ‘misinformation.’ The money was given to ABC, NBC, CBS,

MSNBC, the *Washington Post*, and the *Los Angeles Times* (the mainstream media), who have not disclosed that their articles and journalists were funded by taxpayers. This campaign was a national push to improve the public 'trust,' using fear-based articles to threaten the population, promote the safety and efficacy of the gene therapy based COVID-19 vaccines, and defame those deemed as critical of the endeavor." – *Lies My Government Told Me*. Robert W. Malone, MD, MS. 2022. Pg 2.

While the people were being fed highly contentious information on the TV and all forms of media to instill fear and caution amongst the masses, Big Pharma and its minions, and the FDA and CDC saw this as an oyster to cherish, which would reveal a pearl of great value when opened. A worldwide contagion with the masses in great fear would provide a great opportunity to make excessive profits. If the fear was great enough, if the media did their job to make everyone quake in their boots, then it would be a simple thing not only to get their vaccines approved when they were created, but Big Pharma would be able to bypass most of the rules and regulatory requirements that were warranted for vaccines. And the icing on the cake was, of course, the FDA authorization and guarantee that if anyone was injured or killed due to these quickly and haphazardly made covid vaccines, that Big Pharma companies would be exempt from liability. Yes, a very dangerous precedent. And an extremely profitable one for Big Pharma.

The media in the United States was one-sided during this episode. It was almost like reading Pravda, the state-controlled newspaper in Russia. Any dissension against the narrative was crushed. Eminent scientists who came out in opposition to this narrative were stripped of their titles, jobs, and/or careers, and made outcasts. Big Pharma is not an organization you want to mess around with. They are more organized than the mafia, have more power and money than the mafia, have more connections than the mafia, and they control many of those we voted for. The country, to a large degree, is controlled by the media, which is controlled by advertising money, which is often paid for by Big Pharma. It would be a great service

to our country to sever this tie and make DTC marketing of drugs and vaccines illegal. This would not stop their power, but at least it would curtail it to some degree.

### **e. A Foreign Perspective of American Media Power.**

Sometimes you get a clearer picture of what is really happening in your own country by seeing what people in another country are writing about us. The following is an illustration of this point. It is a shame that an American newspaper or news show will not cover this story. Perhaps this is one of the reasons we don't trust the news media in our country. What can be said, other than that Big Pharma, Big Tech, and Big Government appear to be joined at the hip in the pursuit of pushing questionable vaccines and misinformation on the American people?

**Tales of Vaccine Injury 'Purged' from the Internet.** Written by Adam Creighton in the newspaper *The Australian*. 3/26/2023.

"The weekend Australian's expose of the post-Covid vaccination death of Amy Sedgwick on Saturday would have been purged from the internet if it had emerged in 2021, under pressure from US government funded 'information experts' working in partnership with Silicon Valley social media giants."

"In a 'censorship-industrial complex' of US taxpayer-backed NGOs, Stanford University academics worked in partnership with Twitter, Facebook, TikTok, Google (YouTube), and Pinterest to take down or flag as misinformation 'stories of true vaccine side effects', discussion of 'natural immunity', and the possibility SARS-Cov-2 leaked from a lab."

"According to a batch of Internal Twitter documents released by new owner Elon Musk, who promised to shed light on the company's censorship efforts, a Stanford-based group called the Virality Project, working closely with US government agencies, coordinated an industry wide effort to censor posts that would have promoted 'Covid-19 vaccine hesitancy'."

"Starting in early 2021, the Virality Project, working alongside Pentagon-funded Graphika and people with strong ties to the US

Department of Homeland Security's Cybersecurity and Infra Security Agency, trawled hundreds of millions of social media posts daily using advanced AI in order to flag 'potential violations' and 'misinformation events.' These included posts that discussed 'breakthrough infections', 'celebrity deaths after vaccination', or criticism of Anthony Fauci, after a trove of the US governments top Covid-19 adviser's emails from early 2020 were released under the Freedom of Information laws."

"In March 2021, Virality told Twitter it was flagging posts 'concern about vaccine passports' (which were later introduced in many US cities), 'fear of mandatory immunisations' (the US government afterwards made them compulsory for all private sector workers until the Supreme Court overturned the order), and 'true stories about people experiencing blood clots'."

Sometimes you need to go far away from home to gain a better perspective on your own life. Likewise, it is nice to gather a perspective like the above article from Australia, which demonstrates how much power and influence our American Big Tech companies have throughout the world. Historically speaking, the power they wield over information throughout the world is unprecedented. Spinning the narrative, controlling the dialogue, removing from the internet articles which go against their narrative, and supporting Big Pharma and governments which are pushing vaccine mandates on their citizens all points to mind control techniques on a global scale to achieve greater control over people's lives.

After Elon Musk got possession of Twitter, it opened his eyes, and he wanted to open up the eyes of the American people to show them what was being done to us by our own government. Government sanctioned censorship is an action of a totalitarian state. Even though Elon showed us the truth, Big Pharma, Big Media, and Big Government still have the upper hand, as they are able to feed us "fake news" over and over in many ways until we can accept it as truth. This is why *there should be no direct-to-consumer advertising of drugs and vaccines*. This is why *informed*

*consent should be the law of the land, and people should have the right to choose if they and their children should be vaccinated.*

We appear to be following in Nazi Germany's footsteps. We don't really want to relive that totalitarian nightmare from history, do we? It is time to wake up to the facts. We are being manipulated. We are being lied to and deceived. Our government and those in it are no longer serving the American people. Let the American people have the right to choose what is best for themselves and their children. *Quit using our taxpayer dollars in government disseminated mind control propaganda and advertising for the benefit of Big Pharma!*

**Solution #15. DISALLOW ANY MANDATE OF VACCINES UNPROVEN FOR SAFETY OR EFFECTIVENESS. No matter how deadly and/or contagious a virus or bacteria is, there should never be forced vaccination of an unproven vaccine on the American people. If it requires years of testing to prove the safety and effectiveness of a vaccine, then let it take years.**

**a. The Relentless Pursuit of Money and Power by Big Pharma.**

Based upon history and upon the prior actions of Big Pharma, what do you see happening next in the current drama of the covid vaccines? Abuse of power. That would be on the top of the list. How will Big Pharma abuse their power? In the traditional way, of course. They will fight to earn every penny of their ill-gotten gains that they can. Even though these covid vaccines are highly questionable in their safety and effectiveness, they have been given a government permit and a free pass (no liability) by the FDA and CDC to rake in every penny they can, even if they know they are injuring or killing men, women, and children on a systematic basis. As they pursue these profits, they will attempt to restrain information regarding the truth, so the people of the United States and the world will not know what is really happening. They want to keep their Wizard of Oz curtain closed, so only they know what is behind the curtain. And of course, they want to make these highly questionable vaccines mandatory in each and every state, and force people and their

children to take them in order to keep their jobs and go to school. A sick and twisted abuse of power with absolutely no thread of human decency. All for a disease which is about as serious as the flu, and is mutating quickly, so that their vaccines cannot even keep up with the mutations, just like the flu. This reckless pursuit of money, aided and abetted by government and media, needs to end.

The major threat of covid has gone. It came, it caused damage, disease, and some deaths, and now it is basically a minor illness with less problematic effects on people. As many scientists have pointed out, the strongest strain of a disease such as this tends to come first, and then is followed by weaker mutation strains, which are less of a threat than the original strain. Even though there was never a need for vaccine mandates for covid, there is certainly less of a reason for them now. But forward and onward Big Pharma pushes for covid vaccine mandates wherever they can.

How did it come to be this way? What can be done to stop poorly thought-out laws from being written and then promoted by Big Pharma, handed off to their lobbyists, who then order our elected representatives, who act more as minions of Big Pharma than our advocates, from creating these laws which force us to take questionable vaccines with little evidence that they are safe or effective? On what historical precedents are they allowed to do such things to us, which take away our liberties and force us to obey?

### **b. Vaccine Mandates - A Brief History.**

Smallpox was a contagious disease that evoked a sense of fear and panic among people and their communities. It is important to remember the scourge as it was when it was prevalent upon this earth. According to the CDC, three out of every ten people who contracted smallpox died from it. Smallpox was deadly when it existed, and at the time, it appeared to be a wise decision to mandate and enforce vaccination. Many states in the nineteenth century opted to make vaccination against smallpox mandatory.

Even at that time, more than one hundred years ago, there were people who were fighting against this vaccination being mandatory. It was well known that these vaccines could cause injuries and death. There was real risk in taking these vaccines. Some of those who were opposed to these vaccines being mandatory fought against this law in the courtroom. Finally, the stage for this drama became the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court justices of 1904 had to weigh the rights of an individual versus that of the good of society, and the verdict they arrived at supported vaccination mandates and their enforcement at that time due to the deadliness of smallpox.

“Jacobson v. Massachusetts (1904) was a landmark case because it endorsed the state’s right to pass mandatory vaccination laws and placed the burden on the individual refusing vaccination to prove – not that the law was unconstitutional – but that because of a unique health condition the vaccination could cause irreparable injury.”- *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*. Harris L Coulter and Barbara Loe Fisher. 1985. Pg 339

When looking back at that time it is difficult to argue with their verdict. Almost a third of people who contracted smallpox would die from this disease. It is no wonder that the law was upheld. Imagine if one of every three people you knew were to die from a disease. That would be scary, wouldn’t it? At the time this law made sense. Vaccine mandate laws were enacted to eliminate smallpox. During this era, smallpox still existed throughout the world. Due to widespread vaccination smallpox eventually became rare. Finally, these smaller and smaller pockets of resistance were finally wiped out completely by 1980, and smallpox was no more.

Now that smallpox has been eradicated from the earth, and there is no contagious disease even remotely as deadly as smallpox in existence, the rationale for this mandate has been eliminated. The Supreme Court that ruled in favor of vaccine mandates is outdated for today’s reality, and this decision should be reversed.

What about measles? Measles kills approximately 1 in 10,000 people who contract the disease (NVIC). Compare this with

smallpox which killed almost one in every three people. This comparison is not even close. Is this really the hook that Big Pharma, the FDA and CDC are hanging their hat on to promote and perpetuate these vaccine mandates on the people of the United States? If they are, then their argument has no merit. From ancient antiquity and up into the early 1970s, measles was seen as a rite of passage in childhood. It was something everyone had to go through. No one was scared of measles or quaking with fear at the thought of becoming sick with it. Everyone got it, and after you went through it, you had immunity for life. Measles does not warrant vaccine mandates being continued, and these mandates should come to an end.

It should be noted here that Big Pharma, Big Government, and Big Tech have tried to instill fear concerning measles among the public. They have been very successful regarding this. When all the levers of power, mass media, and law are concentrated into few hands, then it seems a simple thing to control the narrative on a subject. It appears the reason they are doing this is to justify vaccine mandates to increase their control over the population. Fear has always been a good motivator to inspire actions. This era of fear mongering should come to an end, and it should be replaced with education of the people by using truth, and not fear. When people are given information regarding a subject and understand it, they will likely make the correct choices. Freedom for people to make informed choices seems a logical conclusion.

Smallpox was a terror. Drastic situations sometimes call for drastic actions. But even as drastic as the vaccine mandate for smallpox was, the smallpox vaccine had still been around for well over one hundred years before this mandate came into being. It had been thoroughly tested and found to be effective against the disease. Compare this with covid vaccines and their mandates. The covid vaccines were created, mass produced, and mandated within one year, and had no real evidence of safety or effectiveness.

You may be wondering, “Didn’t they have to prove that the covid vaccines were safe and effective before they forced us to take them?” The surprising answer is no, they did not.

*The Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Act of 1962* allows for one main difference between drugs and vaccines. While drugs need to prove they are effective in clinical studies, vaccines are exempt from this condition.

“... vaccines administered to every child in the country do not have to be demonstrated effective in clinical trials. Ironically, when the DBS (Division of Biological Standards) was finally swallowed up by the FDA in 1972, the FDA bought the DBS position that manufacturers should not be required to prove vaccine efficacy in clinical trials. Today, the FDA still does not require that vaccines be proved clinically effective.” – *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*. Harris L Coulter and Barbara Loe Fisher. 1985. Pg 286

Here is another reason vaccines should fall under the legal definition of drugs. There is no law which states vaccines need to prove their effectiveness. Again, as stated before, this is how a drug like Gardasil became an FDA approved vaccine, and never had to prove its effectiveness against cervical cancer, even though many of its commercials appear to be falsely claiming such a benefit. And this is how we ended up with a covid vaccine mandate, for vaccines which had highly questionable safety and effectiveness. *Laws should not favor vaccine production, mandates, and profits over the safety and health of the American people and our children*. The laws should be there to protect us and not just be there for the benefit of Big Pharma to abuse.

It is time to repeal *Jacobsen v. Massachusetts* (1904). It is time to consider vaccines legally the same as drugs. When these two things are done, then we can be certain to have removed these questionable laws which are being abused to make the citizens of our country take unproven and questionably safe and effective vaccines by mandates.

If those in power wish to keep the 1904 ruling on the books, just in case we have another disease like smallpox emerging on the horizon, then I would recommend never being swayed by a mob mentality to quickly enforce vaccine mandates with unproven experimental vaccines such as the covid vaccines. It was a crime against humanity and a violation of the *Nuremburg Code* that allowed for Big Pharma to push Big Government and Big Tech to force us to take these unproven experimental vaccine products into our bodies which have not been properly tested for enough time to prove safety or effectiveness.

### **c. Back to the Present Day.**

Even today as we ruminate on the past malfeasance of duty of our government, our legislators, and our “watchdog” agencies of the FDA and CDC, which are supposed to be protecting the safety and health of the people and their children, Big Pharma pushes forward with their plans of mandating their unproven covid vaccines so people cannot keep or get jobs without being vaccinated, and children cannot go to school without receiving one.

What? You thought that the crisis was over? In some states it is still actively in progress, these intentions for mandating these covid vaccines on adults and children. This points to corruption of the system as it always does. The lawmakers are not there to protect us, they are minions of Big Pharma that we elected, and now they are drawn to the Big Pharma money just as a moth is to a flame. But when they get to the flame, they do not die, only their morality and souls die. They continue on with their lives with more money, and they do not ponder the innocent lives which may be lost or damaged due to their decisions. They are only in the political game for themselves and their enrichment. When asked if they would sell their souls for earthly wealth, many of our lawmakers would follow up with the question: “How much do you think I could get?” A decent sense of morality is considered foolish, when after all, they can get what they want in the here and now with Big Pharma money.

This demonstrates what we are up against. We elected these representatives to serve us. Now they have been corrupted by the system, and they are minions to anyone that can enrich their lives with money, gifts, or other inducements. We have to fight an uphill battle against those who we elected. It will not be an easy fight, but it is a noble one. The injustice that is ongoing is hurting people and their children, all because Big Pharma is allowed widespread latitude in vaccine making and given an easy pass with Emergency Use Authorization of unproven experimental vaccines. Big Pharma has liability protection against loss due to vaccine injuries and deaths. But the people and children of this country have nothing that can protect them against vaccine mandates of unproven experimental vaccines, and the resulting deaths and injuries which may occur due to these vaccines. The rights of the people have been lost, and the power of Big Pharma has grown stronger over time.

Even now, Big Pharma has their plans in place. And their minions are ready to do their bidding. I saw a title the other day perusing the internet, **“State measure that would allow preteens to get vaccines without parental consent advances.”** – Los Angeles Times. It was written by Don Thompson for the Associated Press. 5/5/2022.

The plan is to allow teens from the age of 12 to choose if vaccination is right for them. Then Big Pharma can bombard the game sites they are on, the TV shows they watch, and the other internet places where they spend their time with advertising aimed at them showing them the benefits of being vaccinated with their various products. These children can then decide on their own without the consent or approval of their parents to get vaccines that they have been pushed through advertising and mind control techniques employed by Big Pharma to receive. All of this is wrong. Pushing these vaccines on children is wrong. Children making potentially life changing decisions while they are not yet adults is wrong. It is mind numbing on so many levels how wrong this is.

*This is why there should be no drug or vaccine advertising. This is why parents should decide what is right for their children. This is why vaccines should be treated as drugs. And this is why no unproven experimental vaccines should ever be mandated on the public again.*

**Solution #16. ELIMINATE CONSUMER PENALTIES FOR NON-VACCINATION.** There should be no threat of losing one's job, and no threat of your children not being able to attend public school if you decide to opt out of the recommended vaccines. The American people should have the choice of informed consent for every vaccine and should be able to make this choice without any pressure from external sources.

#### **a. Informed Consent**

Break these words apart for a moment, and let's analyze them. "Inform" means to give someone facts or information. To be informed means you have received facts or information which concern a certain subject. "Consent" means to give permission for something to happen. So, to give your consent means that you are allowing something to happen.

Put these words together, and you have "informed consent." That means that you are allowing something to happen after you have received information about it. That means you have been adequately educated about something to understand the benefits and risks involved with the decision. When you break it apart like this, it is pretty simple.

In a free country like the United States, you would think that informed consent would be a given concerning medical treatments and vaccines, but it is not. Laws have been imposed upon us against our will, especially recently with the covid vaccine mandates. We were not informed about the covid vaccines and their risks, and we did not give our consent, as we were told we would

lose our jobs and freedoms, especially to travel, if we did not submit to these vaccinations.

In addition, these vaccines were instituted as emergency use authorization (EUA) vaccines, where the safety and the effectiveness of the vaccines was not even proven. This means they were experimental vaccines, and we were forced to take these against our will. There are laws which prohibit this, and international law also prohibits this under the *Nuremberg Code*. To sum this up, we were forced to be test subjects, or rather lab rats, in worldwide test trials of experimental vaccines.

Stories like this tend not to end well, and the deaths and injuries which are constantly being reported are bearing the truth of this out. This should never have happened. Let us see to it that it never happens again.

#### **b. Barbara Loe Fisher's Goal - Informed Consent.**

I would like to digress for a moment, in order to give credit where credit is due. Solution #16 is largely due to the efforts of one person, namely Barbara Loe Fisher, whose main goal is and has been to ensure that all people in the United States have the right to informed consent for vaccinations. I do not think she will mind if I second her motion and try to push this dream forward. Any drug or vaccine which can cause injury and death should be considered from a cost and benefit standpoint, and the decision should be made by those who are taking this risk based on informed consent.

Barbara Loe Fisher is a living hero of mine. Her child was given the school mandated vaccine for DPT and was injured at a young age due to this vaccine. Barbara could have simply given up hope, and accepted that this was just a tragic accident, and one of those "rare" vaccine injuries that occurs from time to time while using a "safe and effective" vaccine. She did not believe this nor accept this. She wanted to know the truth. She studied, she questioned others, and she did extensive research. She did not give up. Due to her efforts, she helped bring awareness that this original DPT vaccine was not safe and was systematically hurting an enormous number of

children. She pointed out that the Japanese knew of the problems and unacceptable number of injuries due to this DPT shot, and that they had invented a safer DTaP vaccine which they were using, and which was readily available for us in the United States to use. She brought to light the fact that the United States government agencies were lying, and that there was evidence which had been available for 40 years that they knew that this DPT shot was not safe. She brought awareness that led to a change in the law. It was due to her efforts and a book she co-authored, *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*, that awareness created the force of change, and this resulted in the *1986 Vaccine Act (The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986)*.

This is why she is a hero of mine. She did not give up. She fought for truth, justice, and change at great personal sacrifice to herself. There is no greater indication of nobility and personal character than this. Heroism can be defined by those who sacrifice their own lives for the sake of winning the victory of freedom and truth for others. And this is what she has done and is still doing to this very day. She is currently a spokesman and founder of National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC), which is the first and to my knowledge, the largest consumer advocate for vaccine information in the United States. Big Pharma and its minions, the FDA and CDC, no longer advocate for the American people. So let me just say, that we all owe a debt of gratitude to Barbara Loe Fisher for representing and advocating for our interests, because the government is no longer interested in this role. *Please support this institution, as it is one of the only safeguards left to protect us from medical tyranny.*

### **c. The 1986 Vaccine Act.**

The *1986 Vaccine Act* was supposed to do a few good things for the American people. It was to set up a database, whereby people and/or their doctors could report vaccine injuries and deaths. This was to be a sign of problems especially for newer vaccines, and it has played this role well. This database is called VAERS, which stands for Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System. The main

problem with this system is that it is a voluntary reporting system, and as such, there is a vast underreporting of adverse events.

Also, the *1986 Vaccine Act* created a mechanism whereby people who were vaccine damaged, or had vaccine damaged children, could seek remuneration for their vaccine adverse events. This is called the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program. At first, this mechanism worked well, and seeking remuneration was a simple matter. This is no longer the case, and the process has become extremely combative, and the vaccine manufacturers are currently fighting against all rewards compensation for the plaintiffs no matter how obvious the timing of the vaccination and the resulting injury or death. This is not right, but this is what has happened, and is still happening.

Lastly, the *1986 Vaccine Act* gave Big Pharma blanket liability protection to its FDA approved or authorized vaccines. This was a mistake. A big mistake. Big Pharma and their minions most likely wrote this into the law, and many great and noble scientists protested against this provision, as it encourages reckless vaccine making and eliminates risk for the vaccine makers. This provision has been abused. If the FDA had been doing its job and protecting the American people, it would not be a problem. The problem is that the FDA and CDC are now minions of Big Pharma and do their bidding. They are no longer serving the people of our country. The health and safety of the American people are at risk.

It is for these reasons why a change in law must take place. *Informed Consent* must become the law of the land. There should be no penalties for consumers who choose not to vaccinate, or who wish for their children to receive no vaccinations. These unvaccinated people should be able to keep their jobs, and their unvaccinated children should be allowed to attend public schools. Smallpox and polio have been eliminated from this country. Only minor contagious diseases are left. There is no longer any reason to have a vaccine mandate in place.

#### **d. A Brief History of DPT. The original Diphtheria, Pertussis, and Tetanus vaccine.**

Barbara Loe Fisher and others were protesting the use of this DPT shot in the 1980's. There was even a TV documentary "Vaccine Roulette", which showed the dangers inherent in this vaccine. This helped to create widespread awareness of the problems which eventually led to change. Many doctors publicly voiced their opinions about this vaccine and its dangers.

"William C. Torch, M.D.,... Department of Pediatrics, at the University of Nevada School of Medicine... presented a study suggesting a link between the DPT shot and certain cases of SIDS (sudden infant death syndrome)... 'These data show that DPT vaccination may be a generally unrecognized major cause of sudden infant and early childhood death, and that the risks of immunization may outweigh its potential benefits.'" – *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*. Harris L Coulter and Barbara Loe Fisher. 1985.  
Pg 94

The minions of Big Pharma were right there to clean up the mess Dr. Torch caused by this study. They quickly swept it under the rug so it could not be seen, and they continued to use the DPT vaccine for almost another 10 years. Then, almost quietly and secretly, the FDA and CDC switched over to the much safer Japanese DTaP vaccine in the early 1990s. Coincidentally, not very much about SIDS has been heard of since this time. As the FDA and CDC are likely to say, "That proves nothing! The original DPT shot was safe and effective. It was a good vaccine. There was no evidence it harmed or killed anyone." OK, then why did you switch?

The denials that this DPT vaccine was problematic by the FDA and CDC, and the fact that dangers of this vaccine were well known for over 40 years appears to be nothing more than a coverup for Big Pharma and the government vaccine program. But these denials and their reasoning (the narrative at that time) were often repeated by arrogant doctors who looked down upon the mothers of their young patients as nothing but frantic and raving women.

The difference between arrogant doctors and us common people was a widespread gulf that seemingly could not be bridged. Mothers who had noticed changes in their children after the DPT vaccination were often dismissed as neurotic. Sometimes the doctors and nurses ridiculed them, stating the mothers were in need of medication themselves to just calm down. At the time, many of these doctors appeared to think, "What can a mother know about the health of her own child? After all, she is not a doctor." Really, what kind of comment is this? It is absurd in the extremes. But, it seems, as extreme as it is, it did appear to be a common refrain in those days of the old DPT vaccination, and its vaccine injury aftermath.

"When DPT (Dissatisfied Parents Together – advocates against the DPT vaccine in use at that time) presents case histories, it is called anecdotal data, but when doctors do it, it is called clinical observation." – *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*. Harris L Coulter and Barbara Loe Fisher. 1985. Pg 229

The is one of my favorite quotes from the book, as it exemplifies the divide between the observations of the parents versus that of the doctors. Basically, if the doctor didn't see something in his 5-minute office exam, then what parents saw in their entire day, week, or month of observation was worthless. It proves that what doctors believe has value, and what the parents believe has little or no value, and in fact can be regarded as that of uninformed and undereducated simpletons.

Barbara's book, *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*, was monumental. It was, as far as I know, the first book in the United States written to warn of the dangers of a vaccine. In this book, Barbara amply succeeded in proving the dangers of the DPT vaccine, which were overlooked by the regulators, apparently on purpose, because they did not want to hurt the public credibility of the vaccine program. She clearly outlined the reasons why this awful original DPT vaccine was used, and a main reason was due to its cheapness. After all, those in government didn't want to burden manufacturers with the effort required to make a more expensive, but safer vaccine, if they could

continue to convince the public that there was nothing wrong with the DPT vaccine that was currently in use, as they kept repeating their mantra that it was “safe and effective.” She pointed out clearly that those who were in charge kept the American people in the dark for 40 years and denied the public the truth. These are the types of people in charge of our American healthcare system.

“Here again, one reason American children are still being injured by the whole-cell vaccine appears to be because there has been no public recognition in the United States of the extent of the vaccine injuries. Why? Because government health officials and members of the medical establishment have been denying there is a serious problem for more than four decades and American parents have believed them.” – *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*. Harris L Coulter and Barbara Loe Fisher. 1985. Pg 356

Perhaps the words “believed them” is overstated. Parents were corralled, persuaded, and indoctrinated by Big Pharma advertising through the media, by their own doctors, and also by the government agencies, and led to believe something which was not true. The lie that this original DPT vaccine was safe, and that those who argued against this belief were somehow mentally deranged was repeated over and over again until it seemed to be the truth. This is brainwashing. It is not reality.

“Despite the fact that a Japanese scientist, who once worked at the NIH, has developed a purified pertussis vaccine which has been used in Japan since 1981, the United States has yet to make it available to the American public. The Japanese have reported their vaccine to be less than one tenth as toxic as the vaccine given to our children – with a dramatic reduction in local, systemic, and neurological reactions. What reasons can the FDA, CDC, and vaccine manufacturers give to explain why Japan can do it and we can’t?” – *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*. Harris L Coulter and Barbara Loe Fisher. 1985. Pg 404

In the end, of course, the government and the regulators privately conceded that she was right in almost every single one of her points regarding the DPT vaccine, and they quietly made the switch over

to the new Japanese acellular DPT vaccines (DTaP and Tdap) which are much safer, but it was about 10 years later. They could not publicly admit she was right, as that would open up liability due to the fact that the government had been suppressing the truth, and there would have been an avalanche of lawsuits they would be facing if this could be proven. So, they switched over to the new vaccine, and claimed it was for no reason at all mentioned by Barbara Loe Fisher. Barbara had been right all along, and she won the war, but they simply refused to crown her the champion of this cause that she sure as hell had won. So let me say, *“Job well done. Thank you, Barbara. You and all those exceptional people you have worked with have helped the children of this country. You have been a blessing to America.”*

Anyone who reads this book, *DPT: A Shot in the Dark*, will be amazed at the detail involved, the investigation on the subject matter both anecdotally and scientifically, and the obvious conclusions which were arrived at after such an in-depth study. Now, for a little levity amidst such a serious subject. Please bring her name up on the internet and see how Big Pharma has advised Big Tech to portray her or her organizations on Wikipedia or other websites. The search will most likely take you to information which portrays her organization National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) as a purveyor of misinformation. It would be amusing if it were not so sad and pathetic. If you do not want to suffer mentally from this search, it is understandable. I point this out just to demonstrate the obvious: that truth, or any slight opposition to the Big Pharma narrative, is being squashed in every corner of the world that Big Pharma can place its foot down. And make no mistakes, they are playing hardball, and will attempt to crush whatever forces oppose them without mercy.

If she would have had informed consent for her child, she could have declined the DPT vaccine and avoided the tragedy she and her child suffered. If the government paid attention to the patterns and allowed the truth and dangers about this vaccine to be known to the public, the vaccine could have been recalled, and these

widespread DPT injuries and deaths could have been avoided. If the government was more interested in the health of the people than protecting their vaccine program and covering for Big Pharma, this may not have happened to Barbara, her child, and all of the other innocent victims of this dangerous DPT vaccine. If informed consent had been the law of the land, and penalties for non-vaccination were removed, many of these unnecessary vaccine deaths and injuries could have been avoided and prevented.

### **e. Paying Attention to Patterns and Signs.**

This last section is history. You may wonder why I am bringing this up now. It is because history has a tendency to repeat. Almost 40 years after she wrote her book, the denials against vaccine related damage are coming to a head again. With the *1986 Vaccine Act*, liability protection of the vaccine makers, and the plethora of vaccines being pushed on infants and toddlers, is it any wonder we have many diseases we did not have 40 years ago? The government denials are the same. The reasons for these denials are the same. The CDC recommended vaccines and their related injuries and deaths have patterns pointing to vaccines as the likely causes of these current childhood maladies, some of which are so prevalent today that doctors label them as “normal” or “common.”

If someone was viewing this whole episode of American history from the outside, they would probably conclude we were crazy and/or brainwashed by Big Pharma, Big Tech, and Big Government. The only epidemics we appear to be currently facing are ones of our own making, and these are due to incentives put in place by government to make more vaccines regardless of their safety or their effectiveness. Before *The Vaccine Act of 1986* we did not have widespread allergies, eczema, autism, neurodevelopmental disorders, ADHD, or a whole host of other ‘diseases’ which coincidentally became epidemic as the number of vaccines increased. Science is based upon pattern recognition. We have it. It is undeniable. We need to use common sense now and end these manmade plagues we have created before they get worse. It is time

for change. Barbara Loe Fisher's goal and vision was for informed consent, and to do away with the state and government mandates. I will gladly second her opinion.

We know what a typical doctor would do when a child comes down with rhinitis, eczema, ear problems, or some other allergy related conditions. A typical doctor would tell you that the condition is "normal" or "common," and that your child should keep receiving their vaccines on time to protect them from known contagious diseases. They will not tell you that your child is taking a large amount of aluminum, and that this aluminum toxicity may make your child's condition worse. They will not tell you that taking more vaccines will probably exacerbate the child's condition. They will not tell you that the additional ingredients that have been recently added into vaccines have never been tested individually for safety. What they will probably tell you is that vaccines have been proven to be "safe and effective," and there is no connection to these newer and now common modern diseases and vaccines. And please don't forget, his yearend bonus may be riding on you continuing with your child's vaccinations. What would a different type of pediatric doctor, one who is really looking out for his patients and their welfare say when it comes to a serious reaction after vaccination?

"It is surprising to me that most vaccine guidelines state that a vaccine series should be continued even after a serious reaction has occurred, because the benefit of disease protection outweighs the risk of another bad reaction. Personally, I disagree. Given that many of the diseases are fairly uncommon in the United States, I believe that more harm can come from repeated severe vaccine reactions than from catching, and suffering from, that disease... In my opinion, anyone suffering a severe reaction after a vaccine should not receive that vaccine again... If your child does have a life-threatening reaction, you should carefully consider whether to continue any vaccines at all." - *The Vaccine Book*. Robert W. Sears, MD 2019 Third Edition. Pg 205-206

This advice is from Dr. Robert Sears in *The Vaccine Book*. This is another of the must reads. He offers advice in this book that makes sense. He is truly concerned for the children and their health. He

goes on further than the above, and this makes a nice summary as to how we should think about vaccines and adverse reactions. Dr. Sears continues in his philosophy of decision-making regarding vaccination:

“What I do pay closer attention to is the onset of a chronic allergic disorder, like eczema or asthma, in close timing with vaccines. If I see these disorders develop right after the vaccinations, I prefer to hold further doses until the problem goes away. If it is severe, I consider whether or not the possibility of making the allergic disorder worse and possibly lifelong is worth the disease protection offered by the vaccine.” - *The Vaccine Book*. Robert W. Sears, MD 2019 Third Edition. Pg 203

“I believe that active allergic problems (like eczema) may be a setup for severe vaccine reactions because the hyperactive immune system may overreact to the vaccine (an autoimmune reaction).” - *The Vaccine Book*. Robert W. Sears, MD 2019 Third Edition. Pg 211

**Author’s note:** *This is the situation I found myself in with my daughter. Her eczema was severe, and it never went away. If I had a competent doctor like Dr. Sears at that time, I would have stopped the vaccinations sooner. Unfortunately, I did not. Our pediatrician stated that the vaccines given to my daughter had nothing to do with her eczema, which was a “common” childhood malady, and he recommended continuation of the vaccines to protect her from known contagious diseases, and I followed his advice. If I had only known then what I know now. One thing is certain concerning our daughter’s doctor; he was part of a pediatric group which promoted complete compliance with the recommended CDC vaccination schedule, and if I did not follow this schedule, we would be asked to leave this pediatric office and never return. This company policy appeared to be focused on getting the yearend bonus from the drug or insurance company for pushing and promoting vaccines, regardless of the health or safety of the children. This practice is a blatant conflict of interest and is wrong and needs to change.*

What about people who decide that vaccines are harming their children, and they decide to stop taking them? They may be thrown out of their pediatric office, due to the conflicts of interest explained regarding their pediatric offices receiving yearend bonus money to push vaccines and/or being punished for non-vaccination. This is not right. There should be no punishment for deciding not to take a vaccination, especially if your child is having a serious reaction to the vaccines.

What about the children in vaccine mandatory states who have no choice but to continue on with their vaccinations, or they cannot attend school? Again, this is not right. These contagious diseases we are fighting against with vaccination are minor and rare, and the health of children should outweigh these vaccine mandates. This is another reason informed consent should be the law of the land.

Here we are again, in a similar situation 40 years after the last shakeup in the vaccine industry caused by awareness that the DPT vaccine was causing many problems with vaccinated children. And now the problems are worse than they were before, because the problems have become so prevalent that doctors today can say with an assurance that “eczema, rhinitis, ear infections, and allergies are common.” They are only common because the increase in the number and early timing of vaccines made them so. The reason these maladies have become common appears to be due to all the newer vaccines since 1986 on the CDC recommended vaccine schedule, and the timing of these vaccinations. The patterns are obvious. *There are too many vaccines given too soon with too many ingredients causing too many problems in too many children.*

The medical profession is no longer looking out for the health and welfare of the people and their children. They are looking forward to their yearend bonuses. And the FDA and CDC are the best friends of Big Pharma as they continue to push and promote vaccines on everyone for everything that they can. There should be no penalties for consumer non-vaccination. Informed consent should be the law of the land. This would give the power back to the people and take it away from Big Pharma. Let's get rid of medical

tyranny and embrace freedom of choice for the people of the United States and our children.

#### **f. Covid-19 and Informed Consent**

We all went through this nightmare together recently. We know how we feel about it. We know what we were told by those in power, those in the government medical branches of power, those who control the laws, those who control mass media, the talking heads on the TV “news” shows, the chat rooms on the internet, the late-night talk show hosts who can wield their opinions and promote them, all those who control how we think and what we ultimately decide to do. We were steered and pressured into taking the covid vaccines by these various media types and personalities. If this didn’t push us to take the vaccines, then we were shamed into taking these unlicensed emergency use authorization products, because they told us, if we didn’t it indicated we were not good people who cared about our neighbors and communities. Lastly, if these approaches to cajole us into taking these products didn’t work, then the mandatory edict was sent out to herd the rest of us sheep into the correct vaccination corrals and force us to take the jab or lose our jobs or right to travel and go out anywhere. These were well planned mind control techniques using all forms of media, people of power and prominence, and finally force to accomplish their goals, which was mass vaccination with these highly questionable unproven experimental vaccines. This was a massive government overreach. These people who rule over us forced us to take unproven experimental vaccines into our bodies. And if we didn’t comply, we could have potentially lost all that we held dear; our jobs, homes, and future security for ourselves and families. What should have happened versus what did happen? And how can we prevent this great government overreach of power from happening again in the future?

*Informed consent is the answer.* Allow people to decide what to do for themselves after providing them with all the pertinent information and salient facts. If truth and information is spread, and people are

allowed to make their own choices, then the United States can continue to prosper into the future. The American people are a smart people, a noble people, a people born and raised on the precepts promulgated by our founding fathers who thrust their ideals onto the world stage which brought peace and democracy to vast areas of the earth and resulted in widespread freedoms throughout the world that we have enjoyed. Other nations adopted these principles upon which our great nation is based and copied our system to a certain degree. The goal was life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, just as it is written in our *Declaration of Independence*. This document is a testimony against all forms of tyranny, and against the great power of mighty England, which oppressively ruled the United States at that time.

The people of the United States wanted freedom from tyranny, and they were willing to fight and to die for this right. When people are given freedom, it unleashes a powerful ability of creativity that opens doors of thought so that progress of humanity can occur, and this allows people to live as they choose to live and pursue their own form of happiness as they strive towards their own dreams and goals. Any unjustified restriction of our freedoms should be fought against with all our might.

What actually happened with covid and the vaccines which were allegedly made to combat the virus? What adverse events, deaths, and injuries occurred due to these vaccines and are still in the process of occurring? Big Pharma, Big Tech, and our own Big Government and their minions are hiding information and truth of what is really happening. This lack of transparency and withholding of information is a form of oppression against the people of the United States.

Big Pharma is hiding what they are doing in their labs with their experiments, with their test trials, and with their products. This hiding of information is a form of insidious power, because as we have seen, those who control the information can control the message, and therefore can control the narrative given to the people, and therefore can control the people in the end. This is not

what America is about, nor should it be. We must force Big Pharma, Big Tech, and Big Government to unleash the truth into the world, to allow the press to do its job and spread accurate news, and not push propaganda and spin a predetermined narrative. We demand transparency in science. We demand transparency in medicine. And we demand transparency in government, how our laws are created, who is writing, shaping, and pushing legislation, and how these laws and their enactment are affecting our people. *We are and should always be a country of the people, by the people, and for the people, and we demand more consideration in the creation of laws than lobbyists and their special interests.* We are Americans and do not like to be forced into doing anything.

In medical science, what do we have? No transparency. Everything is hidden. We have not truly progressed that much in science and medicine recently, because these Big Pharma companies are hiding what they are working on. They are not sharing their information. There is no real progress being made, not the likes of which would be possible if we were to establish transparency, so that scientists could more easily and readily build upon other scientists' progress. Why? Greed and Control are the likely answers. Instead of true progress in medical science we have only the pursuit of money and power.

In these recent covid times and events, Big Pharma did not provide us information they had on their quickly made covid vaccines. They risked the lives of people with unproven experimental vaccines so that they could make money and wield more power over us. They did not let us know these vaccines were highly experimental and had already caused many problems, injuries, and deaths for people in the trials. They deprived us of all pertinent information required to make an informed decision. Then they forced us to take these unproven experimental vaccines. And if we did not comply, we would have lost our jobs, and we could not travel. We were deprived of informed consent over unproven experimental vaccines, apparently so that Big Pharma could reap enormous profits and Big Government could gain more control over us. This situation is

untenable. It cannot go on any longer if we are to remain a free people. We need to fight back against this medical tyranny.

Not only were enormous profits made, but Big Pharma used all of us as lab rats in their covid vaccine trials for free. Just think how much money that saved them.

“...it is very expensive to test a new drug or vaccine in a randomized clinical trial. It generally costs thousands of dollars per human subject. You have to test the product in animals first, you need 3 human trials phases, and the entire process takes many years... Not so in an emergency. Patients become free human subjects. Regulation gets condensed to almost nothing.” - *Lies My Government Told Me*. Robert W. Malone, MD, MS. 2022. Pg 23

Emergency Use Authorization (EUA). It's a handy way to bypass laws and force people to do what you want them to do, which was to take experimental vaccines, which is against the existing laws of the United States, and also against international laws, as written in the *Nuremberg Code*. All the rules of common sense were broken, along with all other existing applicable laws. It defies reason and logic. All for unproven experimental covid vaccines which were ushered out in a few months of time. If you are not outraged by this, you should be.

“As a person who spent his whole professional career in pharmaceutical and vaccine development, I found the whole concept of going from scratch to a ready-for-use vaccine in a few months simply preposterous. Something did not add up.” - *Lies My Government Told Me*. Robert W. Malone, MD, MS. 2022. Pg 155

Oh, it added up all right. Free test subjects. Big profits for Big Tech and Big Pharma. No liability risk for Big Pharma due to the EUA approval. And more power over the population for Big Government. This in a nutshell is what happened to us during this “plandemic.” It was well-orchestrated. But it should never have happened. And it should never be allowed to happen again. The government has

shown they are no longer protecting their own people. Informed consent would allow us to take back our freedoms and our rights as citizens. Informed consent should become the law of the land.

One of the first areas to be hit with widespread problems from the forced covid vaccinations was the armed services. They had two choices, either take the jab (covid vaccine) or be discharged from the service. This repression from the government is more emblematic of Soviet Russia under Stalin than what should have happened in the United States.

“On February 3, 2022, US Senator Ron Johnson sent a letter to Secretary of Defense Lloyd J. Austin III requesting information about ‘increases in registered diagnoses of miscarriages, cancer, or other medical conditions’ in the Defense Medical Epidemiological Database (DMED) in 2021 compared to the five-year average from 2016 through 2020... Examples of increases in medical conditions reported in DMED for 2021 compared to the previous five-year average include: 2,181% increase in hypertension; a 1,048% increase in diseases of the nervous system; increases from 474% to 894% in various types of cancer; and a 472% increase in female infertility.” - *Lies My Government Told Me*. Robert W. Malone, MD, MS. 2022. Pg 208

There certainly does appear to be a cause-and-effect relationship to the timing of the vaccinations which were required for the armed forces personnel and the adverse events which occurred. They forced all our honorable men and women devoted to serving and protecting our country to take an unproven experimental vaccine, and this vaccine appears to have caused widespread damage to the health and well-being of many of them who submitted to this mandate. It appears there are sinister forces at work, from the top of the government to the bottom.

The numbers of adverse effects listed above are not surprising. We have already seen that these covid vaccines have caused more deaths, disabilities, and injuries than all of the other vaccines combined over a period of 30 years per the VAERS database. And

covid vaccines only needed 18 months to accomplish this dystopian tragedy. This covid vaccine experiment should come to an end. And these covid vaccines should be removed from public use, and put back on the shelf forever unused, or simply thrown into the garbage heap of history. And the mRNA technology should have to be proven safe before it is ever used again in a vaccine.

Senator Johnson likely has good intentions, and wants to bring truth to the American people, and effect change for the better, but he is going to need a lot of luck and a lot of help. He cannot do it alone. Nor can he do this task of bringing truth and light to this covid vaccine disaster that has happened and is continuing to happen in our country. Big Pharma has paid off many of those in Congress, just as they have paid off our local state lawmakers. It will take widespread awareness of the problems in the general population to bring about change that is needed. Only if these lawmakers are faced with losing their careers and positions will they fight for the rights of the people of this country. Until then, they will be content to receive money from Big Pharma and their lobbyist minions and do their bidding. Lawmakers who have accepted this money, which is blood money with myriads killed or injured, have betrayed us and are no better than traitors like Benedict Arnold. They are betraying the people of our country and our health for money. It is a disgrace.

And now, Big Pharma, with the help of Big Media and Big Government, is pursuing vaccine recommendations and/or mandates of these EUA covid vaccines on our young. These are still experimental vaccines by any true measure and have not been proven safe or effective in the least. Aren't the powers that control the world content with the widespread destruction they have already caused? Will they only be content when they bring the population of the planet down significantly? Are they trying to ruin the fertility of men and women well into the future so that the earth's population is more to their liking, as they play out their role as mini gods? When will this madness end?

Informed consent is the answer we need. Vaccines can cause death and/or injury, and people should be given a choice. It should never

be a mandate. We must press those in power to accede to the power of the people. They must submit to the will of the people, and they will, if we come in strength and numbers. We can make this happen. We can make our voices heard. We may have accepted their fearmongering and taken the job to preserve our jobs and our way of life, but now they are pursuing our children with these dangerous unproven experimental covid vaccines. They have stepped over the line of acceptability. We need to protest. We need to rise against them. This evil must be brought swiftly to an end. Please help and be a part of the solution. Let us band together and force this change. Protect our children from these dangerous unproven experimental vaccines, and from the adverse events which will likely occur to them due to this malfeasance of duty that Big Government, Big Pharma, and Big Tech have wrought against the people of the United States before it is too late.

“Silence and compliance are looked upon as weakness and permission to do evil by wicked people. The time to speak up and fight against evil is always now.” – Your author

## **CHAPTER FIVE - A CALL TO ACTION**

### **a. Problems Can be Solved. Have Faith. We Can Do This.**

Many of the arguments outlined here you have seen before and believe them to be an accurate portrayal of the problems and abuses by Big Pharma upon the American people. Tens of millions of people share these same beliefs. But questions arise concerning these issues, and a gloomy sentiment prevails about what can be done to stop the widespread abuse and corruption within this system. I have heard the following statements from many people recently. It is a pitiful condition we are in.

“What can be done about it? This cause appears hopeless. Change of the system isn’t possible. There is no way anything can be changed for the better. Big Pharma has too much money, too much power, too much invested in advertising and control over the media and therefore the minds of people, too much control over our local pediatricians and doctor’s offices, too many lobbyists who exercise too much control over our lawmakers and politicians, and too much control over the FDA and CDC and other government regulators who are supposed to be looking out for the welfare and protect the health of the American people. All is lost.”  
– Prevailing sentiments of many in the United States.

To this let me say that all is not lost. This mountain is not so high that it cannot be climbed. What was missing, what was needed were clearly outlined solutions for people to stand behind and believe in. Solutions which outline the problems that exist and show how these problems can be solved. You already know many of these arguments and believe the problems exist as they are laid out in this book. What is needed is not so much a rehash of these problems, but a way to resolve these issues by being able to do something about them. This book is the answer to this quandary.

In addition to solutions people can agree with and stand behind, we need to create widespread awareness of these problems which are happening and harming the people of this country and its children. *We need to make a wave.* And if this wave involves enough people, then it can no longer be ignored. The people need to rise up and make their wants and demands known. It will take a wave of enough size to wake up those who were misled by watching too much media and “news” showing that any argument cast against any vaccine is proof of being an antivaxxer and other such nonsense. What is needed is common sense, logic, and solutions people can believe, support, and follow. There is too much money being thrown around lavishly so that Big Pharma can keep its power secure while pushing ahead with their future plans of more state and government control and therefore more guaranteed money into their coffers in the future. We need to spoil these plans of Big Pharma.

Remember Vioxx? It was obvious that this drug caused tens of thousands of deaths, and by some estimates, well over one hundred thousand deaths (Cochrane Collaboration). And yet, the Big Pharma drug company just kept making Vioxx and selling it. The foulness and stink of this episode of malfeasance of duty by Big Pharma and our own government organizations had risen so high in this country that there were Congressional hearings going on every day in front of the news cameras, and daily coverage in the media. And yet, the company just kept marketing Vioxx and selling it. Finally, a crescendo was hit, and the company which sold it finally pulled it off the market on its own volition. See how that works? The FDA and our watchdogs in the government did nothing. At least Congress held hearings, and these hearings were giving the company bad press. Perhaps this is why the company finally pulled the drug off the market. Thousands of lawsuits resulted in a historic world record breaking monetary judgement for the plaintiffs against the Big Pharma company which made and sold Vioxx. Such a legal verdict against a Big Pharma company was evidence of the magnitude of the problems and obvious guilt and foreknowledge of the dangers of Vioxx. Can you see this picture? Envision this

scene? This is the widespread type of awareness we need to get our lawmakers to act on our behalf.

If the wave rises high enough and our lawmakers end up proving their loyalty to Big Pharma instead of the people, then we need to replace these Big Pharma paid lawmakers, or we need to force them to action. With the internet and the computers in every house, this is possible. We may now be able to overwhelm the power of Big Pharma with the power of the people, and force our lobbyist paid politicians and often corrupted political leaders to do the will and wishes of the people. The will of the American public needs to reign supreme once again, as it was in the beginning of our great nation. May justice, freedom, and truth live forever in America!

### **b. Awareness of Problems Leads to Action.**

Many of us have looked at America and the world, and have noticed many wrongs taking place, and wondered what could be done to change the current situation. Those who have faith and hope know that something must change, and they want to be a part of this change. Many others feel that the problems are too great, that evil is winning or has already won, and there is nothing that can be done to stop it. I believe change is possible, and this is why I am writing.

I look back at history, and I see great changes that have taken place due to a movement sweeping the land. One in particular comes to mind, and it was known as “The Great Hunger” or “The Potato Famine in Ireland.” Due to this famine and the starvation of the people in Ireland, Jonathan Swift wrote *A Modest Proposal*. This work was a satire written to the people and leaders of England who were idly watching the neighboring country of Ireland and its people starve to death while doing nothing about it. He wrote his story about how to use people as food in this time of food shortage. He wasn’t serious about this suggestion, but the point hit home. The subject gained awareness amongst the people, the people pressured their leaders to do something, and eventually the English people and their government stepped in to help their starving neighbors. The point is that we are meant to be helpful and useful

to others when it is possible, and they need help. If something is adversely affecting a large number of people, then it is affecting all of us.

*A Modest Proposal* proved four things. 1> With awareness of the problems, people will want to help make a difference and create change. 2> This awareness must become common knowledge, like a wave sweeping over the land to make change take place. 3> The problems and solutions must be so obvious that solutions to the problems are easy to agree with and implement. 4> Those in positions of power are forced to adopt and promote these solutions, because people are inundating them with requests, pleas, and pressures to do something about the problems.

This is my response to the naysayers, who believe that nothing can be done about any of the problems we are facing. We must create a wave that those in power must submit to. If change was possible back in Jonathan Swift's day by writing a book, then it should come about much more easily and quickly today with the internet. Our leaders must accept the power of the people as absolute, just as Abraham Lincoln declared in his *Gettysburg Address*: "... government of the people, by the people, for the people..."

The obstacles in the way are great, but they can be overcome with a wave which is large enough to overcome them. Our state and federal government and its leaders appear to be listening to and taking orders from lobbyists, who are minions from Big Pharma businesses that do their bidding. These lobbyists provide money and even write legislation for the pharmaceutical companies, and with this influence this legislation becomes laws. Corruption is everywhere. Do not expect the representatives in your own state to offer their help freely and change laws regarding vaccines, drugs, and healthcare on their own, because many of them have accepted money from Big Pharma. This is blood money. People have been killed or injured due to our elected representatives taking money from Big Pharma and their lobbyists. This is true for our congressmen in Washington DC as well. They will not bite the hand

that feeds them and make laws that benefit people unless they fear they will not be re-elected to their positions. This is their only fear. They are no longer advocates for the American people. Most of them are out for themselves. A large enough wave is needed to compel them to take actions that they know they should take. They will not do it without this pressure and widespread awareness of the problems.

The corrupted representatives we elected are not the only problem. Big Pharma also has great control over Big Tech and the media we watch due to advertising money they spend. This media corruption is widespread. The “news” we are watching is not just news, it is more like paid propaganda. The collusion of this corruption became clearly evident as these three giant heads of the dragon: Big Pharma, Big Tech, and Big Government, coerced an entire generation of Americans to take unproven experimental vaccines to fight against the covid-19 virus. Big Government took away the rights of the American people with this action, broke the *Nuremberg Code* by experimenting on human subjects against their will, and freed Big Pharma from all liability arising due to vaccine injuries and deaths these covid vaccines and boosters caused, and are still in the process of causing. The government is currently in a state of denial over these ongoing injuries and deaths and appears to be suppressing the truth from the American people. We became a totalitarian state during this time, and no longer a democracy ruled by the will of the people.

Big Tech helped with this suppression of the people’s rights and freedoms. They provided news and programming that painted the narrative that Big Pharma and Big Government wanted. This narrative that the vaccines were “safe and effective,” and that we needed to protect ourselves from this extremely dangerous virus, which inevitably came to be considered about as dangerous as a bad flu. About one hundred years ago, the Spanish flu killed 50 million in the world. Covid killed perhaps one or two million. Let’s put this covid disease into proper perspective. Our government and its agencies, along with Big Tech, inflated the danger of covid,

created panic among people and a sense of urgency that we must do something and do it quick, or we may all be at risk of death. Leading scientists and *Nobel Prize* winners vehemently opposed these quickly created vaccines and publicly said so, and they were censored, blacklisted and silenced. The mob mentality created was perfect for the suppression of news and information about the adverse effects of the covid vaccines while also pushing these same vaccines on the public.

When Elon Musk released the information from Twitter, now known as X, regarding this suppression of information, and the promotion of the propaganda against the American people, the wool that covered our eyes was pulled back so we could see the true machinery of collusion at work. Even though the truth was revealed, Big Tech has two things on their side to smooth things over. 1> The people are forgetful, and this realization of truth that Big Government, Big Tech, and Big Pharma worked together in unison, and lied and deceived us will soon be forgotten. 2> Big Tech can and has spun the narrative so that what Big Pharma and Big Government have done to us has been treated as acceptable, like a boyfriend that repeatedly beats up his girlfriend, but then provides flowers to make up. Let's kick this mate to the curb and move on towards a better future.

Big Pharma holds the purse of money, and therefore the strings that go along with this web of power, influence, deceit, and corruption. During this episode of American history, Big Government exercised more control over the American people than ever before, Big Tech reaped record profits as everyone stayed home, quaking in their boots from fear, and Big Pharma reaped a windfall of profits, as they provided highly questionable experimental vaccines with no long-term record of safety or effectiveness, and blatant experimentation of the public took place. Let's make sure this never happens again.

If we do nothing, then nothing will change, and this abuse of power will happen again. Next time it will be worse. And eventually, we as Americans could lose all our freedoms and God given rights.

Encourage everyone you know to become a part of the solution, because if we are not part of the solution, then we are part of the problem. Help us to create a wave that can bring change and healing to our country. Let this work not be in vain.

An important lesson we should have learned from Nazi Germany and that era appears to have been forgotten. The common refrains from those who lived under the Nazi regime stated that what allowed the Nazis to take control of power was: fear, isolation, falling for propaganda and believing it to be true, obeying orders given from above which hurt others, looking the other way when wrongs were being committed, pretending not to see evil as it took place, not wanting to risk jobs or positions of power, and the biggest evil of all, *Silence as it all happened*. Silence and fear about reporting the truth led to the success of the Nazi regime in Germany and the eventual repression of its people and all the resulting horrors and atrocities that were committed after the power of the Nazis was firmly in their hands. We must not allow this to happen again. We must not forget the lessons we learned in history. We must not give in to silence regarding evil. We should become a part of the solution. *We need to boldly proclaim the truth, because only the light of truth can save us and protect us from a dark and desolate future.*

If you think Big Pharma has gotten too powerful, that Big Tech and its media are lying to you, and that Big Government is no longer serving the people of the United States, here is a chance to do something about it. While the solutions outlined here will not solve all the problems we face, they would seem a good place to begin.

I am not a writer by trade, but I saw a problem and wanted to be useful and provide an opportunity to help things change for the better. No man is an island, and no change can come about without the help of others. Louis Pasteur did not act alone. He had a group of scientists working under him, and these scientists went all over France to inoculate livestock with his vaccines. These same scientists also helped him run many tests and experiments in his laboratory. He knew that without them, that his work could not have been completed. He knew how much their help had made his work,

his goals, and his dreams come true. He knew that it was teamwork that made these dreams come true. Likewise, I feel that what I am offering are only ideas, these solutions to these problems. The true work lies ahead. Much help is needed.

### **c. Making Change Happen. The Call to Action.**

#### *Raising Awareness. The Internet.*

Reddit. X (formerly Twitter). TikTok. Instagram. Facebook. YouTube. Our friends and neighbors. Word of mouth. College students. Activists. Whistleblowers. We need to get this information out there, along with the problems and their potential solutions. The snowball of these solutions is a small snowball currently, but it is at the top of the hill and has serious potential. All it needs is a little push. And we can all be a part of these solutions if we have awareness of the issues, we care about the people and the children being hurt, and we are willing to do something about it. The state and federal lawmakers will support and work on these issues only if they become popular causes and help them to stay in power.

#### *State and Federal Lawmakers.*

Among the people who will read this are people who have connections to state and federal lawmakers. Please bend their ears and have them listen to these ideas and solutions. Perhaps the knowledge of what has happened with today's science and healthcare will create a yearning to do something about it. These state and federal lawmakers are a direct way to solve the problems outlined herein by enacting laws which make sense. There are already millions of people who support these solutions. I believe it would be in the interests of any politician or anyone who wants to be a politician to stand behind these issues and their solutions. These issues and solutions need to become widely known popular causes for lawmakers to switch their allegiance from the money offered by Big Pharma and its lobbyists.

Write to your Senators, Congressman, and state lawmakers. Inundate them with the individual ideas and solutions to these problems as outlined here. These *16 Solutions* should be considered as proposed bills that should become laws of the land. Talk to your neighbors. Pass the word. A problem with the lawmakers is that many of them have taken money from Big Pharma. There is therefore a chance they will not want to do anything about these issues. We may need to go over the heads of our lawmakers and make a wave which is high enough to cause them all trouble. If they are threatened with losing their political careers, then perhaps they will be forced to act. Then perhaps things may change, and sanity concerning vaccines, drugs, and healthcare in the United States will prevail.

### *State Law Changes.*

Many of the solutions outlined here can be made into state laws. A grass roots movement is needed by those who care enough to want to make these changes in law to become a reality. There are those of you that have had petitions signed in order to change state laws, and here is a chance for you to act and to be a part of these solutions. Many of you have been part of organizations that have helped improve the laws, the environment, protect the animals, and help people and families in need. We need all of you. We need to create awareness of what has happened, how the power of Big Pharma is affecting our lives and our families, how they are systematically hurting our children, and then we need to act. We need to get these solutions to the problems on the ballot. We need all the help we can get.

### **d. Organizations that Advocate for Transparency, Truth, Freedom, and the Rights of People.**

#### *National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC).*

This organization was quoted often in the pages of this book, and the spokesperson and founder of the organization Barbara Loe Fisher, is one of my heroes. I hope to meet her one day to let her know how important her message of truth and hope is in a world full

of lies, deceit, and treachery. I want to help her in any way that I can to fulfill her lifelong dream of *Informed Consent* for vaccines for every person in this country. It should be a choice to decide whether to vaccinate as a free person, and not a command issued by government edict and forced by medical tyranny. This organization is the main consumer advocate for people of this country regarding vaccines, and they stand and fight for us and our rights as a free people. Please help them as they continue to work for us, educate us regarding vaccines, and fight for us and our liberties. Support this organization with your time and money. They are on the team of truth against Big Pharma, which has far too much power over our government organizations, elected officials and our medical establishment.

*Informed Consent Action Network (ICAN).*

This organization is fighting to win freedom and truth for the American people. They advocate for freedom of choice when it comes to vaccination, as it is implied by the name of this group. And they strive to provide information for the people of this country, often against great odds, for the truth to come out and be known. There was an article I referenced in the book: **“After 2-Year Legal Fight, CDC Releases 780,000 Vaccine Injury Reports from V-Safe System.”** Facts Matter. Epoch Times. 4-11-2024. Do you remember this? This legal action was paid for by ICAN. Big Pharma and the CDC were attempting to prevent information concerning covid vaccines and the deaths and injuries from these vaccines to be released until 75 years later! ICAN forced them to release this information through the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). It is a telling sign when the government and Big Pharma are working together to hide information from the American public. They must have a lot they want to keep hidden. ICAN fought for us. They scored a victory for truth and freedom of information concerning covid vaccines. They fight to bring us information which Big Pharma and government are trying to suppress concerning vaccines, drugs, and healthcare in this country. These legal battles are not cheap or easy. They need our help. They are worthy of our help and support,

and time and money. Only by working together can we overcome Big Pharma and force the truth to be exposed to the light of day. Please contribute to them and help the dream of *Informed Consent* to become a reality.

*Ohio Advocates for Medical Freedom (OAMF).*

I have often been taught the lesson to *Think Globally but Act Locally*. Ohio is my state, and I intend to do just that as I pursue the goals and solutions which are provided in this book. This group OAMF is resonant with the voice of hope for freedom of choice regarding medical treatment and *Informed Consent* for all. Some of these solutions in this book can be achieved through changes to state law, and these changes will not be easy to implement without enough awareness of the problems and support of the people. We are up against Big Pharma, which has its tentacles of power everywhere, including our own doctor's office and pediatrician's clinic. I look forward to working with this group and am hopeful that change may come about for the better, and the people of Ohio and the United States will be free to have *Informed Consent* and responsibility for their medical choices, without being subject to medical tyranny.

***e. All Rights are Not Reserved.***

Usually in a book you will see the words *All Rights Reserved*. This means you are not allowed to copy and distribute the material without the permission of the author and/or publisher. Even though this book is protected under the law from being copied and distributed without permission, ***I am granting permission here to copy and pass along CHAPTER THREE – 16 SOLUTIONS of this book to anyone who may listen and prove to be helpful to this cause.*** Please spread the word. Children are being hurt due to the current health care practices and perversion of “science” happening in this country, and these things need to change. Please help make these changes happen. Please freely pass along and distribute ***Chapter 3 - 16 Solutions*** to anyone who may be helpful.

***If and when you do pass along this information to others, please include the Disclaimer I have included. I am not a doctor, nor am I giving medical advice, and I wanted to make these points absolutely clear. These 16 Solutions provided are my opinions.***

*May God Always Bless America and Its People!*

The people of America are a great people, and the United States is a great country. Why do people from all around the world want to come here? Because of our system of laws. Because of our freedoms. Because people can dream whatever they want to dream, and in this country, they can make their dream become a reality. This is the American Dream. But freedom, liberty, and justice do not come without a price. Corruption always rears its ugly head as those who seek profit can ruin our great country to make gain for themselves. We need to fight against those who place their own interests above and against the lives, health, and welfare of the people and children of America.

## ABOUT THE AUTHOR

As I bring this book to a close, I have a few things I would like to say.

I am a father. I am a citizen of the United States. I am a man who cares about this country, the people and children of this country, and the *Constitution of the United States* of America, which was given to us by the founding fathers to establish a system of government which protects the rights of citizens against repressive and tyrannical forms of government. We need to work together to preserve this delicate form of government our founding fathers have given to us. We need to speak up and fight against all forms of oppression, or we may lose this great country of ours.

### **Please provide feedback/criticism.**

No man is an island. After saying this, I really could use any help you may have to offer. If you have any other ideas that would be helpful, I am eager to read them and to incorporate them into any future editions of this book. Also, I am open to any criticism you have of my ideas, solutions, writing style and/ or other suggestions you may have for me, as this is my first time as a writer. I know that I have much to learn. Thank you in advance for any criticism or suggestions you may have for me. And thank you for reading.

If this book has interested and hopefully inspired you, and you have enjoyed its content and would like me to continue writing more on subject matter regarding vaccines, drugs, and healthcare in the United States, please let me know. There is certainly much material to cover, many lies to expose, and many truths concerning healthcare and our medical system that have been hidden from the public view. The healthcare system which we have today has been perverted due to the rise of allopathy and its focus on vaccines and drugs, which are currently being overused and abused. Vaccines and drugs are where the money is, so it is easy to see why this system was set up the way it was. Perhaps it is time to reimagine this system, and to place Big Pharma where it should be, as a servant of the people.

Time is of the essence. People and their children are being injured and/or killed by the policies currently in place, and I wanted to get this information out as soon as I could. I hope that in my wishes to place this information out into the public arena, that I did not forget anything else that was important. If I did, please let me know, and I will include it in any future editions of this work.

Once again, thank you for reading this book. And many thanks for any comments and/or criticisms you may have for me.

### **How to contact me.**

I would very much appreciate if you would take the time to post a review of my book on my Author Central account at Amazon. My author link is:

<https://www.amazon.com/author/stephenheartland>

Also, if you have comments, suggestions, or information for me that you wish to share but which would not be applicable for the review site, you may reach me on a more personal level at my email address at:

[Stephenheartland630@gmail.com](mailto:Stephenheartland630@gmail.com)

**END NOTES****CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION**

1. *Vaccines, Autoimmunity, and the Changing Nature of Childhood Illness*. Thomas Cowan M.D. 2018. Pg 13-14
2. Ibid. Pg 81

**CHAPTER TWO- LOUIS PASTEUR – SCIENCE AND TRUTH FOR THE BETTERMENT OF MANKIND**

1. *The Life of Pasteur*. Rene Vallery-Radot. 1915. Pg 79
2. Ibid. Pg 375
3. Ibid. Pg 79
4. Ibid. Pg 86
5. *Louis Pasteur - A Biography*. Albert Keim and Louis Lumet. Translated from the French by Frederic Taber Cooper. 1914. Pg 82
6. *The Life of Pasteur*. Rene Vallery-Radot. 1915. Pg 89
7. Ibid. Pg 109
8. Ibid. Pg 111
9. Ibid. Pg 111
10. Ibid. Pg 240
11. Ibid. Pg 160
12. Ibid. Pg 166
13. Ibid. Pg 257
14. Ibid. Pg 257-258
15. Ibid. Pg 284
16. Ibid. Pg 298-299
17. Ibid. Pg 299
18. Ibid. Pg 300
19. Ibid. Pg 314
20. Ibid. Pg 315
21. Ibid. Pg 316-317
22. Ibid. Pg 324-325
23. Ibid. Pg 369
24. Ibid. Pg 366
25. Ibid. Pg 372

26. Ibid. Pg 363
27. Ibid. Pg 288
28. Ibid. Pg 229
29. Ibid. Pg 234
30. Ibid. Pg 238
31. Ibid. Pg 239
32. Ibid. Pg 239-240
33. Ibid. Pg 270-271
34. Ibid. Pg 274
35. Ibid. Pg 289
36. Ibid. Pg 290
37. Ibid. Pg 291
38. Ibid. Pg 291
39. Ibid. Pg 292
40. Ibid. Pg 373-374
41. Ibid. Pg 407
42. Ibid. Pg 408-409
43. Ibid. Pg 391
44. Ibid. Pg 404
45. Ibid. Pg 414
46. Ibid. Pg 414
47. Ibid. Pg 416
48. Ibid. Pg 417
49. Ibid. Pg 422-423
50. Ibid. Pg 424
51. Ibid. Pg 424-425
52. Ibid. Pg 428
53. Ibid. Pg 429
54. Ibid. Pg 435
55. Ibid. Pg 438
56. Ibid. Pg 443

### **CHAPTER THREE – 16 SOLUTIONS**

No quoted material was found in this chapter.

**CHAPTER FOUR - THE SOURCE MATERIAL**

In this chapter, the source was noted along with the quoted material for easy reference for the reader.

**CHAPTER FIVE - A CALL TO ACTION**

No quoted material was found in this chapter.